ON SMOOTH LINEAR PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITHOUT SOLUTIONS

PHILIP HARTMAN

1. H. Lewy [4] has recently constructed a system of first order, linear partial differential equations with coefficients of class $C^\infty$ having the property that it possesses no "solution" on any domain. By "solution" is meant a solution having uniformly Hölder continuous partial derivatives. Since the "Hölder continuity" requirement on the partial derivatives is rather artificial, there arises the question as to whether or not there is such a system which possesses no $C^1$ (or even no weak $L^2$) solution on any domain. The object of this note is to answer this question in the affirmative. The desired system will be obtained by a modification of Lewy's example.

Lewy's system involves two (real) unknown functions $(u_1, u_2)$ and three (real) independent variables $(s, x, y)$. On putting $u = u_1 + iu_2$, Lewy writes his example in the form

$$L(u) = F(s, x, y),$$

where $L$ is the homogeneous, linear, first order, partial differential operator with analytic coefficients.
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(2) \[ L(u) = -(u_x + iu_y) + 2i(x + iy)u. \]

By a category argument, Lewy shows that for a suitable choice of \( F \), say \( F = F_L(s, x, y) \), of class \( C^\infty \) for all \((s, x, y)\), (1) does not possess a solution, having uniformly Hölder continuous partial derivatives, on any domain.

2. It will first be shown that
   (1) There exist functions \( G(s, x, y) \) of class \( C^\infty \) for all \((s, x, y)\) such that
   (3) \[ L(v) = G(s, x, y) \]
   has no \( C^1 \)-solution on any domain.

   In view of the following lemma, this follows from Lewy’s result by choosing \( G = \partial F_L / \partial s \).

**Lemma.** Let \( F(s, x, y) \) be of class \( C^1 \) on some \((s, x, y)\)-domain and let
(4) \[ L(v) = F^*_s (s, x, y) \]
have a solution \( v = v(s, x, y) \) of class \( C^1 \). Then (1) possesses a solution \( u = u(s, x, y) \) having uniformly Hölder continuous partial derivatives on some domain.

**Proof.** Let \( v = v(s, x, y) \) be a \( C^1 \)-solution of (4) on the set \( R(r) \):
\[ \{ |s-c| \leq r \} \times D(r), \]
where \( D(r) : (x-a)^2 + (y-b)^2 \leq r \) and \( r > 0 \). Put
(5) \[ U(s, x, y) = \int_c^s v(t, x, y) dt, \]
so that \( U = v \). On integrating the relation (4) with respect to \( s \) from \( c \) to \( s \), it is seen that \( U \) satisfies
(6) \[ L(U) = F(s, x, y) - f(x, y), \]
where \( f(x, y) = F(c, x, y) - 2i(x + iy)v(c, x, y). \)

Consider the partial differential equation
(7) \[ -(V_x + iV_y) = f(x, y). \]
Since \( f(x, y) \) is of class \( C^1 \), (7) has a \( C^1 \)-solution given by
(8) \[ 2\pi V(x, y) = \int_{D(r)} f(\rho, \eta)(z - w)^{-1} d\rho d\eta, \]
where \( w = \rho + iq \) and \( z = x + iy \); Korn, Lichtenstein.

Define the \( C^1 \)-function \( u = u(s, x, y) \) by
(9) \[ u = U(s, x, y) + V(x, y). \]
Since \( V \) does not depend on \( s \), the left side of (7) is \( L(V) \). Thus the linearity of \( L \), (6) and (7) show that \( L(u) = L(U) + L(V) = F \); that is, (9) is a solution of (1).

The partial derivative \( u_x = U_x = v \) is of class \( C^1 \), hence uniformly Hölder continuous.

By (1), \( u \) satisfies the partial differential equation

\[
(10) \quad u_x + iu_y = 2i(x + iy)v(s, x, y) - F(s, x, y),
\]

in which \( s \) is considered as a parameter. As the right side of (10) is of class \( C^1 \), standard Korn type of estimates show that \( u_x \) and \( u_y \) satisfy a Hölder condition (of any order \(<1\)) with respect to \((x, y)\) which is uniform on \( R(d), 0 < d < r \); cf., e.g., [1]. By (5) and (9),

\[
up(s, x, y) = \int_0^s \vp(t, x, y)dt + V_p(x, y), \quad \text{where} \quad p = x \text{ or } p = y.
\]

These formulae show that \( u_x \) and \( u_y \) satisfy a Lipschitz condition with respect to \( s \) which is uniform on \( R(r) \). Consequently, \( u_x \) and \( u_y \) are uniformly Hölder continuous on \( R(d), 0 < d < r \). This proves the lemma.

3. Assertion (I) will be improved as follows:

(II) There exist functions \( G(s, x, y) \) of class \( C^\infty \) for all \((s, x, y)\) such that (3) has no strong \( L^2 \)-solution on any domain.

It follows from (II) and results of Friedrichs [2] that “strong” can be replaced by “weak” in this assertion.

By a strong \( L^2 \)-solution \( v = v(s, x, y) \) of (3) on a domain \( R \) will be meant a function \( v \) of class \( L^2(R) \) for which there exists a sequence \( v_1, v_2, \cdots \) of functions of class \( C^1 \) satisfying

\[
(11) \quad \|v - v^n\|_R + \|G - L(v^n)\|_R \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty,
\]

where \( \| \cdots \|_R \) is the \( L^2 \)-norm on \( R \),

\[
(12) \quad \|v\|^2_R = \int\int\int_R |v|^2 dsdxdy.
\]

4. By potential theoretical arguments (involving Theorem 2.1, [5, pp. 52–53] and Lemma 2, [3, pp. 393–394]), it can be shown, for example, that \( G = \partial^5 F / \partial s^5 \) satisfies the assertion of (II). In fact, if the corresponding equation (3) has a strong \( L^2 \)-solution \( v = v(s, x, y) \) on some \( R = R(r) \), let \( v_1, v_2, \cdots \) be functions of class \( C^1 \) satisfying (11). By the procedure of the proof of the lemma, \( v, v_1, v_2, \cdots \) can be replaced by other functions, say \( v, v_1, v_2, \cdots \), such that \( v \) is an
strong $L^2$-solution of (3) with $G=\partial^4 F_L/\partial s^4$ on a somewhat smaller $R(r)$, that $v^n$ is of class $C^1$ and satisfies (11), and that

$$\int\int_{D(r)} |v^n(s, x, y)|^2 dxdy \leq M$$

for all $n$ and $|s-c| \leq r$. Another repetition of these arguments, changes $G$ to $\partial^3 F_L/\partial s^3$ and improves (13) to

$$\int\int_{D(r) \cap C(\epsilon)} |v^n(s, x, y)|^2 dxdy \leq Me^\lambda$$

for some $\lambda (0/\lambda < 1)$, for all $n$, $|s-c| \leq r$ and all disks $C(\epsilon)$ of radius $\epsilon$. Another repetition passes to $G=\partial^2 F_L/\partial s^2$ and replaces (14) by

$$|v^n| \leq M, \quad |v^n(s, x+h, y+k) - v^n(s, x, y)| \leq M(|h|^\lambda + |k|^\lambda).$$

For $G=\partial F_L/\partial s$, one obtains $|v^n| \leq M$, $v^n$ satisfies a Lipschitz condition with respect to $s$ and $v^n_s, v^n_y$ satisfy Hölder conditions of order $\lambda$ with respect to $(x, y)$ that are uniform in $n$, and $s, x, y$. Finally, (1) with $F=F_L$ has a solution $u=u(s, x, y)$ with uniformly Hölder continuous partial derivatives $u_s, u_x, u_y$.

This procedure also allows the improvement of $L^2$ to $L^p$, $p>1$ (but not $p=1$) in (II).

5. The details of this procedure will not be given. Instead, it will be indicated how Lewy's arguments can be modified to obtain (II) directly. Let $f(s)$ be a real-valued function of class $C^1$ on some interval $|s-c| \leq r$ and let

$$L(v) = f_s(s)$$

have a strong $L^2$-solution $v=v(s, x, y)$ on

$$R(r): |s-c| \leq r, \quad x^2 + y^2 \leq r.$$

Let $v^1, v^2, \ldots$ be of class $C^1$ on $R(r)$ and satisfy

$$\|v^n - v\|_R + \|F^n(s, x, y) - f_s(s)\|_R \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$

where $L(v^n) = F^n$. Then, as in [4, pp. 155–156], for $t>0$,

$$U^n(s, t) = i \int_0^{2\pi} (x+iy)v^n(s, x, y)d\theta, \quad \text{where } x+iy = t^{1/2} e^{i\theta},$$

satisfies

$$U^n_s + iU^n_l = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} F^n(s, x, y)d\theta, \quad \text{where } x+iy = t^{1/2} e^{i\theta}.$$

From Schwarz's inequality,
\[ \left| U^n_t + i U^n_x - \pi f(s) \right|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \pi \int_0^{2\pi} \left| F^n - f^* \right|^2 d\theta, \]

and from \( d\theta ds = 2dxdyds \), it is easy to show that \( U^1(s,t), U^2(s,t), \ldots \) has a (strong) \( L^2 \)-limit \( U(s,t) \) on \( |s-c| < r, 0 < t < r \) and that

\[ (21) \quad V(s, t) = U(s, t) - \pi f(s) \]

is a strong \( L^2 \)-solution of \( V_s + iV_t = 0 \). Hence, \( V(s,t) \) is an analytic function of \( s + it \).

By (19) and Schwarz's inequality,

\[ \left| U^n(s, t) \right|^2 \leq 2\pi t \int_0^{2\pi} |v^n|^2 d\theta; \]

so that \( |U(s, t)|^2/t \) is Lebesgue summable on \( |s-c| < r, 0 < t < r \). Hence, as \( t \to +0 \) through some sequence \( t_1, t_2, \ldots \), one has

\[ \int_{c-r}^{c+r} |V(s, t) + \pi f(s)|^2 ds \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad t = t_n \to 0. \]

In other words, the boundary values (in the sense of (22)) of the analytic function \( V(s, t) \), for \( t = 0 \), are given by the real-valued continuous function \( -\pi f(s) \).

Standard proofs (cf., [7, pp. 155–156]) of the Schwarz reflection principle show that \( V(s, t) \) can be continued by reflection so as to be analytic for \( |s-c| < r, |t| < r \). In particular, (16) has no strong \( L^2 \)-solution on (17) unless the (real-valued) \( f(s) = -V(s,0)/\pi \) is an analytic function of \( s \) for \( |s-c| < r \). This result corresponds to Lewy's theorem, [4, p. 155].

(It is not clear that the argument leading to this assertion permits the relaxation of \( L^2 \) to \( L^p, p > 1 \), even though the corresponding assertion follows from the procedure described in §4.)

6. The proof of (II) can now be obtained by Lewy's construction and arguments in [4, pp. 157–158]. It is only necessary to replace his class \( H_{nm}^2 \) by a class \( h_m \) of functions \( u \) subject to \( \| u \|_R \leq m \). For if \( u^1, u^2, \ldots \) are functions of class \( L^2 \) on a domain \( R \) such that \( \| u^n \|_R \leq m, u = u^n \) is a strong \( L^2 \)-solution of \( L(u^n) = F^n(s, x, y) \) on \( R \) and there exists an \( F(s, x, y) \) on \( R \) satisfying

\[ (23) \quad \| F^n - F \|_R \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty, \]

then (1) has a strong \( L^2 \)-solution on \( R \). In fact, it can be supposed that \( u^1, u^2, \ldots \) has a weak limit \( u \) in \( L^2(R) \) and \( \| u \|_R \leq m \). Then, by a
Theorem of Banach-Saks, \( u^1, u^2, \ldots \) can be replaced by a subsequence, say \( u^1, u^2, \ldots \), such that \( v^n = (u^1 + \cdots + u^n)/n \) tends strongly to \( u \) in \( L^2(R) \); cf. [6, pp. 80–81]. Since \( v^n \) is a strong \( L^2 \)-solution of \( L(v^n) = (F^1 + \cdots + F^n)/n \), it follows that \( L(v^n) \) tends strongly to \( F \). Hence, \( u \) is a strong \( L^2 \)-solution of (1) on \( R \).

7. Remark. If \( f(s) \) is a real-valued function of class \( C^1 \) for \( s \) near \( c \), then

\[
P(v) = f_s(s)(x - iy),
\]

where \( P(v) = - (v_x + iv_y) + 4i(x - iy)(x + iy)^2 v_z \), has no strong \( L^1 \)-solution in any neighborhood of \( (s, x, y) = (c, 0, 0) \) unless \( f(s) \) is analytic at \( s = c \). This follows from the argument of §5 after multiplying the equation \( P(v^n) = F^n(s, x, y) \) by \( e^{i\theta} \), integrating with respect to \( \theta \), and letting

\[
U^n(s, t) = 4i \int_0^{2\pi} (x + iy)^2 v^n d\theta, \quad \text{where} \quad x + iy = t^{1/4} e^{i\theta}
\]

and \( t > 0 \), to obtain the equation

\[
t^{1/4} (U^n_s + iU^n_t) = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i\theta} F^n(s, x, y) d\theta, \quad \text{where} \quad x + iy = t^{1/4} e^{i\theta}.
\]
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