

# PRIME RINGS SATISFYING A POLYNOMIAL IDENTITY

EDWARD C. POSNER

**THEOREM.** *R is a prime ring satisfying a polynomial identity if and only if R is a subring of the ring of all  $r \times r$  matrices, for some  $r$ , over a division ring D finite dimensional over its center, and R has a two-sided ring of quotients which is all of the matrix ring. (For this result when R has no zero divisors, see [1]).*

**PROOF.** Sufficiency is easy and omitted. We recall that  $B$  is a two-sided quotient ring of its subring  $A$  if every element of  $B$  can be written  $ab^{-1}$ ,  $a, b \in A$ , and also  $c^{-1}d$ ,  $c, d \in A$ , and if every element of  $B$  not a left (right) zero divisor has a right (left) inverse in  $B$ .  $B$  is unique given  $A$  if it exists.

To prove necessity we invoke Goldie's Theorem (2): Let  $R$  be a prime ring satisfying (1l), (1r), (2l), (2r). Then  $R$  has an  $m \times m$  matrix ring over a division ring as its full ring of quotients. Here (1l) is: every direct sum of nonzero left ideals of  $R$  has a finite number of terms. (2l) is: the ascending chain condition holds for the annihilator left ideals of  $R$ . (1r) and (2r) are analogous.

We will prove that if  $R$  is a prime ring satisfying a polynomial identity, then  $R$  satisfies (1l) and (2l). The conditions for right ideals will follow similarly. Let  $\sum a_\pi x_{\pi(1)} \cdots x_{\pi(n)} = 0$ ,  $a_\pi \in C$ , the centroid of  $R$ ,  $\pi$  a permutation of  $1, 2, \dots, n$ , be a homogeneous multilinear identity for  $R$ . We will show that the length of a direct sum of nonzero left ideals is at most  $n-1$ . First let  $I_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , be left ideals invariant under the centroid of  $R$ , and let  $I_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus I_n$  be direct. Let  $x_j \in I_j$ . Then all terms in the identity whose rightmost factor is  $x_1$ , say, must add up to zero by directness and the fact that the  $I_j$  are invariant under the centroid. Here  $x_1$  was so numbered that at least one nonzero coefficient occurs. Since  $R$  is prime,  $I_1$  has no left annihilator, that is, we can now cancel  $x_1$  from this identity. Continuing in this fashion, renumbering if necessary, we find  $I_n = 0$ . If  $I_j$  are not invariant under the centroid  $C$ , the  $CI_j$  are, and  $CI_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus CI_n$  is still direct. For if  $\sum_{j=1}^n c_j i_j = 0$ ,  $c_j \in C$ ,  $i_j \in I_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , then  $\forall r \in R$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^n (rc_j) i_j = 0$ . But  $rc_j \cdot i_j \in I_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , so each  $rc_j i_j = 0$ . Since  $R$  has no absolute right divisors of zero,  $c_j i_j = 0$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ .

To prove that  $R$  satisfies the ascending chain condition for left annihilator ideals, suppose  $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq I_n$  are left annihilator

Received by the editors April 25, 1959.

ideals where we may suppose  $I_j$  is the total left annihilator of a right ideal  $K_j$  and  $K_j \neq K_{j-1}$ ,  $2 \leq j \leq n$ . Let  $k$  ( $\leq n$ ) be the first integer such that  $\exists \{\beta_\pi\} \in C$  not all zero with  $\sum \beta_\pi i_{\pi(1)} \cdots i_{\pi(k)} = 0$  whenever  $i_j \in I_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$ , the sum extended over all permutations of  $1, 2, \dots, k$ , and such that  $\beta_\pi \neq 0$  when  $\pi$  is the identity permutation. Multiply this identity on the right by  $K_{k-1}$ .  $\sum' \beta_\pi i_{\pi(1)} \cdots i_{\pi(k-1)} i_{\pi(k)} K_{k-1} = 0$  where  $\sum'$  is taken over those  $\pi$  with  $\pi(k) = k$ . In other words  $\sum \beta_\pi i_{\pi(1)} \cdots i_{\pi(k-1)} i_k K_{k-1} = 0$ , the sum extended over all permutations of  $1, 2, \dots, k-1$ ,  $\forall i_k \in I_k$ . Or  $\sum \beta_\pi i_{\pi(1)} \cdots i_{\pi(k-1)} I_k K_{k-1} = 0$ ,  $\forall i_j \in I_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k-1$ . Now  $I_k K_{k-1}$  is a two-sided ideal, and, by assumption, not zero. So by primeness,  $\sum \beta_\pi i_{\pi(1)} \cdots i_{\pi(k-1)} = 0$ , which contradicts the minimality of  $k$ . So the assumption that  $I_k I_{k-1} \neq 0$  must be retracted,  $I_{k-1} = I_k$  and not  $I_{k-1} \subsetneq I_k$ .

To prove that the quotient ring  $Q$  of  $R$  is a matrix ring over a finite-dimensional division ring, it suffices to prove that  $Q$  satisfies a polynomial identity. (Actually  $Q$  satisfies the same identity as we shall see.)  $R$  satisfies a standard identity  $\sum \text{sgn } \pi x_{\pi(1)} \cdots x_{\pi(2p)} = 0$  where  $\text{sgn } \pi$  is  $+1$  or  $-1$  according as  $\pi$  is even or odd; in fact  $R$ , having no nilpotent ideals, is a subring of a direct sum of  $p \times p$  matrix rings over fields [3, p. 227, Theorem 2]. We wish to prove  $\sum \text{sgn } \pi x_{\pi(1)} d_{\pi(1)}^{-1} \cdots x_{\pi(2p)} d_{\pi(2p)}^{-1} = 0$  for all  $x_i, d_i \in R, d_i$  regular in  $R, 1 \leq i \leq 2p$ . But resorting to the very definition of rings of quotients [4, p. 118], we can write the condition that the standard identity of degree  $2p$  be satisfied in a form not involving inverses at all by resorting to the definition of addition and multiplication in  $Q$ . The condition is of the form that if a certain (large) set of auxiliary elements of  $R$  satisfy one set of equations involving the  $x_i$  and  $d_i$ , and not their inverses, (namely, the set of equations which describes when  $uw^{-1}, u, v \in R$  involving the  $x_i, d_i$  and auxiliary elements, is  $\sum \text{sgn } \pi x_{\pi(1)} d_{\pi(1)}^{-1} \cdots x_{\pi(2p)} d_{\pi(2p)}^{-1}$  in  $Q$ ), then they satisfy another equation, namely,  $u = 0$ . For  $p \times p$  matrices over fields, we know that whenever the first set of equations is satisfied by auxiliary elements, the second equation is satisfied, since  $p \times p$  matrices satisfy the standard identity of degree  $2p$ . That is, the second equation is satisfied if the  $d_i$  were invertible in the matrix ring. (Our  $d_i$  in  $R$  may not be invertible in each matrix summand in which  $R$  is embedded, where invertible means invertible as a matrix and not as an element of  $R$ .) We wish to show that the second equation is satisfied even if the  $d_i$  are not invertible matrices. Let the coefficients in the  $2p$   $p \times p$  matrices  $d_i$  be  $2p$  independent transcendentals  $\{y_\gamma\}$ . In particular, each  $d_i$  is invertible. We may keep the  $x_i$  fixed at their given values. To say that  $u = 0$  is satisfied whenever the other set of equations is

satisfied says that the set of zeros of the  $p^2$  polynomials  $\{f_\alpha\}$  obtained from  $u=0$  in the indeterminates  $\{u_\delta\}$  (corresponding to the coefficients of the matrices of each auxiliary variable) contains the set of zeros of the other set of polynomials  $\{g_\beta\}$  (obtained from the set of equations defining  $u$ ). By Hilbert's *Nullstellensatz*, for some integer  $q$  which we may take to be the same for every  $\alpha$ ,  $f_\alpha^q = \sum r_{\beta,\alpha} g_\beta$ , all  $\alpha$ , where the  $r_{\beta,\alpha}$  are also polynomials in the  $\{u_\delta\}$ . Now these polynomials involve the  $\{y_\gamma\}$  as parameters. Let  $\{\tilde{f}_\alpha\}$ ,  $\{\tilde{r}_{\beta,\alpha}\}$ ,  $\{\tilde{g}_\beta\}$  be the corresponding polynomials when the  $\{y_\gamma\}$  are specialized to  $\{w_\gamma\}$  say, where the  $\{w_\gamma\}$  arise from a set  $\{d_i\}$  of not necessarily invertible matrices. Then  $\tilde{f}_\alpha^q = \sum \tilde{r}_{\beta,\alpha} \tilde{g}_\beta$ . But this means that all  $\tilde{f}_\alpha$  are zero if all  $\tilde{g}_\beta$  are zero. Referring to the meeting of  $\{\tilde{f}_\alpha\}$ ,  $\{\tilde{g}_\beta\}$ , we conclude that whenever the first set of equations is satisfied by elements of a  $p \times p$  matrix algebra, then so is the second, as promised.  $R$  is a subring of a direct sum of  $p \times p$  matrix rings over fields, so the conclusion of the preceding sentence holds for  $R$  also. And in case the  $\{d_i\}$  are now (ring) invertible elements of  $R$ , we can reverse the process and conclude that  $Q$  satisfies the standard identity of degree  $2p$ . Thus the theorem is proved.

To prove that  $Q$  satisfies the original multilinear homogeneous equation that  $R$  satisfied, consider  $R_1$ , which is  $R$  written with coefficients from the center  $F$  of  $Q$ .  $R_1$  is prime, since  $R_1$  has  $Q$  as a two-sided ring of quotients.  $R_1$  is finite dimensional over  $F$  since  $Q$  is. Then  $R_1$  is a finite dimensional simple algebra and hence is its own ring of quotients. So  $R_1 = Q$ . But  $R_1$  satisfies the original identity and therefore  $Q$  does.

*COROLLARY.* *Let  $A$  be an algebra satisfying a polynomial identity over its field and such that every element of  $A$  is a sum of nilpotent elements. Then  $A$  is nil.*

*PROOF.* We show  $A$  has no prime quotients, thus proving  $A$  is its own lower nil radical. We remark that a prime ideal, in fact any ideal modulo which there are no nilpotent ideals, is an algebra ideal, so that a prime quotient  $R$  of  $A$  is also a polynomial identity algebra in which every element is a sum of nilpotents. But by the last part of the theorem,  $(R_1=Q)$ , the quotient matrix algebra  $Q$  of  $A$  also has this property, and the following known argument completes the proof.  $Q$  is a total matrix algebra over a division algebra  $D$  finite dimensional over its center  $F$ . Let  $K$  be a splitting field for  $D$  over  $F$  so that  $Q_1 = Q \otimes_F K$  is a total matrix algebra over  $K$ . Note that in  $Q_1$ , every element is still a sum of nilpotent elements, so that every element of  $Q_1$  has trace zero. And yet the matrix with a 1 in the  $(1, 1)$

position and zeros elsewhere does not have trace zero. Thus the corollary is proven.

We remark that the corollary is true for rings with identities with one term having  $\pm 1$  as coefficient, but is false for arbitrary polynomial identity rings. For Harris [5] has produced a total  $2 \times 2$  matrix ring over a division ring which we may take to be of characteristic 2 in which every element is a sum of nilpotent elements. The direct sum of this with a trivial algebra of characteristic 0 satisfies  $2x_1x_2 = 0$  but is not nil.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. S. Amitsur, *On rings with identities*, J. London Math. Soc. vol. 30 (1955) pp. 464–470.
2. A. W. Goldie, *The structure of prime rings under ascending chain conditions*, Proc. London Math. Soc. vol. 8 (1958) pp. 589–608.
3. N. Jacobson, *Structure of rings*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications, vol. 37, 1956.
4. ———, *The theory of rings*, Mathematical Surveys, 1943.
5. B. Harris, *Commutators in division rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 9 (1958) pp. 628–630.

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN, MADISON