

ON ENTIRE FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY A DIRICHLET SERIES

F. SUNYER I BALAGUER

1. The first part of the Theorem 2 of Rahman [1]¹ can be improved and we may state the following result:²

THEOREM A. *If $h = \infty$, then the type T_S of $f(s)$ in each horizontal strip $S(\pi a)$, with $a > 0$, satisfies $T_S = T$.*

PROOF. If $a > a' > 0$, evidently

$$M_S(\sigma) \geq M_{S'}(\sigma)$$

where $S = S(\pi a)$ and $S' = S(\pi a')$; and therefore

$$T_S \geq T_{S'}.$$

From Theorem 2 of Rahman it follows

$$T_S \geq T_{S'} \geq e^{-\pi a a'} T$$

and hence, if $a' \rightarrow 0$,

$$T_S \geq \lim T_{S'} \geq T.$$

But obviously $T_S \leq T$, and therefore $T_S = T$.

2. The Theorem A is included in the following theorem.

THEOREM B. *If we suppose $h > 0$, in each horizontal strip $S(\pi a)$, with $a > D$, the type T_S satisfies*

$$T \geq T_S \geq e^{-\beta a} T$$

where $\beta = \pi D + D(7 - 3 \cdot \log(hD))$.

If $h = \infty$, we have $D = 0$ and therefore $\beta = 0$, and since from Theorem B it follows that $T_S = T$, Theorem B includes Theorem A, as we have said before.

PROOF OF THEOREM B. We use the notations of Mandelbrojt [2]. According to a result of Mandelbrojt [2, Theorem a] for any $s_0 = \sigma_0 + it_0$, inside the circle

$$(1) \quad |s - s_0| \leq \pi D + \epsilon,$$

Received by the editors July 10, 1959 and, in revised form, October 30, 1959.

¹ Numbers in brackets refer to the bibliography at the end of the paper.

² For notations see [1].

where ϵ is a given positive quantity, arbitrarily small, but fixed, there will exist a point s' at which³

$$(2) \quad \log |f(s')| > \log |a_n| - \lambda_n \sigma_0 - \log (\lambda_n \Lambda_n^*) - c_\epsilon,$$

where c_ϵ is a constant which depends on ϵ ; and this inequality will hold for every value of n .

On the other hand, according to a result contained in the same paper of Mandelbrojt [2, p. 355] for values of n sufficiently large

$$(3) \quad -\log (\lambda_n \Lambda_n^*) - c_\epsilon > -\lambda_n (D(7 - 3 \log (hD)) + \epsilon).$$

Then evidently, if σ is smaller than a certain negative quantity, the value of n which maximizes the expression:

$$\log |a_n| - \lambda_n \sigma$$

will be one of those which satisfy (3). As a consequence of (2), we conclude that, if σ_0 is smaller than a negative quantity, in the circle (1) there will exist a point s' at which the following inequality will hold:

$$\log |f(s')| > \log \mu(\sigma_0 + d + \epsilon),$$

where $d = D(7 - 3 \log (hD))$. Moreover, according to Sugimura [3, Theorem 5], as D is finite,

$$\log \mu(\sigma) = (1 - o(1)) \log M(\sigma)$$

and consequently we shall have

$$\log |f(s')| > (1 - o(1)) \log M(\sigma_0 + d + \epsilon).$$

Therefore if we write $s' = \sigma' + it'$, we shall have:

$$\log M_s(\sigma') > (1 - o(1)) \log M(\sigma_0 + d + \epsilon).$$

And, since $\sigma' \geq \sigma_0 - \pi D - \epsilon$, and ϵ is arbitrary,

$$T_s \geq e^{-\beta \rho T}.$$

On the other hand, the inequality

$$T \geq T_s$$

is evident.

3. On representing by Δ the maximum density of $\{\lambda_n\}$, introduced by Pólya [4], we can state the following theorem.

³ When the Dirichlet series contains a constant term the definition of the $\Lambda(r)$ and, therefore, of the Λ_n^* given by Mandelbrojt must vary slightly.

THEOREM C. *If we suppose $h > 0$, in each horizontal strip $S(\pi a)$, with $a > \Delta$, the type T_S satisfies $T_S = T$.*

Since Δ can be $> D$, this theorem does not contain Theorem B.

Theorem C is a corollary of my generalization of a result due to Pólya [5, Lemmas 2, 3].

4. The proofs of Theorem 1 and of the second part of Theorem 2 of Rahman are not complete,⁴ since σ_j^* can be a discontinuous function of σ_j , we can neither affirm that

$$\liminf_{\sigma_j \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{\log \log M_S(\sigma_j^*)}{-\sigma_j^*} = \lambda_S$$

nor that

$$\liminf_{\sigma_j \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{\log M_S(\sigma_j^*)}{e^{-\rho \sigma_j^*}} = \tau_S$$

and therefore we can neither affirm that $\lambda_S \geq \lambda$ nor that $\tau_S \geq e^{-\pi \rho a \tau}$.

REMARK. By the same method similar results are proved for the series of Dirichlet and for the integral of Laplace.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Q. I. Rahman, *On entire functions defined by a Dirichlet series*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 10 (1959) pp. 213–215.
2. S. Mandelbrojt, *Sur une inégalité fondamentale*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup (3) vol. 63 (1947) p. 351.
3. K. Sugimura, *Übertragung einiger Sätze aus der Theorie der ganzen Funktionen auf Dirichletschen Reihen*, Math. Z. vol. 29 (1929) p. 264.
4. G. Pólya, *Untersuchungen über Lücken und Singularitäten von Potenzreihen*, Math. Z. vol. 29 (1929) p. 549.
5. F. Sunyer i Balaguer, *Sobre la distribución de los valores de una función entera representada por una serie de Dirichlet lagunar*, Rev. Acad. Ci. Zaragoza (2) vol. 5 (1950) pp. 25–73.

UNIVERSITY OF BARCELONA, BARCELONA, SPAIN

⁴ The results however might be exact; particularly if $\rho < \infty$ I think it likely they are exact, but the proofs of these results seem to be rather difficult.