

ON THE INTERVAL TOPOLOGY OF AN l -GROUP

E. S. WOLK¹

1. **Introduction.** Let G be an l -group in the sense of Birkhoff [1; 2]. We consider the well-known interval topology of G , which is obtained by taking the family of all closed intervals of G as a sub-base for the closed sets. Birkhoff [1, Problem 104, p. 233] raised the question whether an arbitrary partially ordered group is a topological group with respect to its interval topology. This question was answered in the negative by Northam [4], who gave an example of an l -group which is not a Hausdorff space in its interval topology (and hence not a topological group). The purpose of this note is to show that this behavior of an l -group is far from "pathological," but actually is characteristic of large classes of l -groups. Several theorems describing such classes of l -groups are obtained, all following as a consequence of a rather elementary lemma (Lemma 3 below).

2. **Preliminaries.** We shall employ the terminology of nets due to Kelley [3]. For brevity we shall write " G is IH" for the statement " G is a Hausdorff space in its interval topology." By a *closed interval* in G we shall mean any subset of the form $\{x \in G \mid a \leq x \leq b\}$, $\{x \in G \mid x \geq a\}$, or $\{x \in G \mid x \leq a\}$, where a and b are arbitrary elements of G .

LEMMA 1. *If there exists a net $\{f(n), n \in D\}$ in a partially ordered set G such that $f(n)$ is eventually in the complement of any closed interval of G , then G is not IH.*

PROOF. A base for the open sets of the interval topology of G consists of all subsets of the form $\bigcap \{K_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$, where each K_i is the complement of a closed interval. Thus any net f satisfying the above hypothesis is eventually in each open set of G . Hence f converges to each point of G and G is not IH.

Our terminology and notation for l -groups is that of Birkhoff [1; 2]. Let G be any commutative l -group and \mathfrak{M} its set of meet-irreducible l -ideals. For each $M \in \mathfrak{M}$ it is known that the l -quotient-group G/M is a simply ordered group. Furthermore, $\bigcap \{M \mid M \in \mathfrak{M}\}$ is empty for any commutative l -group G . Hence there exists an isomorphism of G onto an l -subgroup of the direct product of a set of simply ordered groups $\{G_i \mid i \in I\}$, where each G_i is the l -quotient-group of G by

Received by the editors May 11, 1960.

¹ This research was supported by a National Science Foundation grant (G-5650).

some meet-irreducible l -ideal M [2, Theorem 36]. We identify any element x of G with the corresponding element $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i, \dots)$ of the direct product $\prod\{G_i \mid i \in I\}$. The direct product $\prod\{G_i \mid i \in I\}$ is ordered "componentwise": i.e., if a and b are elements of this product, we define $a \leq b$ if and only if $a_i \leq b_i$ for all $i \in I$. The identity element of G will be denoted by 0 , the identity of G_i by 0_i . The additive notation will be used.

The following result, which is a consequence of Theorems 23 and 27 of [2], is also known.

LEMMA 2. *If G is a commutative l -group and M is a maximal l -ideal of G , then G/M is an Archimedean simply ordered group.*

3. Results. Our theorems are a consequence of the following lemma.

LEMMA 3. *Let G be an l -subgroup of the direct product $\prod\{G_i \mid i \in I\}$ of arbitrary l -groups. Let r and s be any members of the index set I . Suppose that there exists a net $\{f(m), m \in D\}$ of elements of G satisfying*

- (i) *for any $k \in G_r$, $f_r(m)$ is eventually greater than k , and*
- (ii) *$f_s(m) \leq 0_s$ for all $m \in D$.*

Then G is not IH.

PROOF. Let $\{b(n), n \in E\}$ be any net of elements of G with the property that, given any $j \in G_s$, $b_s(n)$ is eventually less than j . Note that for any $n \in E$, there exists an element m_n in D such that the r th component of $f(m_n) + b(n)$ is greater than 0_r (one merely chooses m_n so that $f_r(m_n) > -b_r(n)$). Define $g(n) = f(m_n) + b(n)$. Then g is a net on E to G such that (i) $g_s(n)$ is eventually less than any given $j \in G_s$, and (ii) $g_r(n) > 0_r$ for all $n \in E$. Now consider the directed set $D \times E$ consisting of all pairs (m, n) for $m \in D$, $n \in E$, directed as usual by defining $(m_1, n_1) \leq (m_2, n_2)$ if and only if $m_1 \leq m_2$ and $n_1 \leq n_2$. (We are using the same symbol \leq for the order relations in D , E , and $D \times E$.) Define $h(m, n) = f(m) + g(n)$. Then h is a net on $D \times E$ to G which satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 1. To see this, suppose that J_a is the closed interval $\{x \in G \mid x \leq a\}$, where a is an arbitrary element of G . Then there exists $m_0 \in D$ such that $f_r(m) > a_r$ for all $m \geq m_0$, and hence $h(m, n)$ is in the complement of J_a whenever $m \geq m_0$. Likewise, given the closed interval $J'_a = \{x \in G \mid x \geq a\}$, there exists $n_0 \in E$ such that $g_s(n) < a_s$ for all $n \geq n_0$; and hence $h(m, n)$ is eventually in the complement of J'_a . Thus $h(m, n)$ is eventually in the complement of any closed interval, and by Lemma 1 G is not IH.

Note that Lemma 3 does not require that the factor groups G_i be simply ordered.

THEOREM 1. *Let G be a commutative l -group containing a maximal l -ideal M . If there exists an element b in G which is incomparable with 0 and such that $b \notin M$, then G is not IH.*

PROOF. By Lemma 2, G/M is an Archimedean simply ordered group. Thus, since M is meet-irreducible, G may be considered as an l -subgroup of a direct product $\prod\{G_i | i \in I\}$ of simply ordered groups, where for a certain index $r \in I$, G_r is Archimedean. Since $b \notin M$, we have $b_r \neq 0_r$. Assume that $b_r > 0_r$ (otherwise consider $-b$). Since b is incomparable with 0 , for some $s \in I$ we must have $b_s < 0_s$. The sequence $\{nb | n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ then satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 3.

THEOREM 2. *Let G be an l -group such that $G = \prod\{G_i | i \in I\}$, where the index set I contains more than one member. Then G is not IH.*

PROOF. It is obviously possible to construct a net in G satisfying the hypothesis of Lemma 3.

THEOREM 3. *Let G be an l -subgroup of $\prod\{G_i | i \in I\}$, where each G_i is an Archimedean simply ordered group. Then G is IH if and only if G is simply ordered.*

PROOF. If b is an element of G which is incomparable with 0 , then for some $r \in I$ we have $b_r > 0_r$, and for some $s \in I$ we have $b_s < 0_s$. The sequence $\{nb | n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 3: hence G is not IH. The converse is clear.

Since any Archimedean simply ordered group is an l -subgroup of the additive group of the real numbers, Theorem 3 asserts that any l -group of real-valued functions which is not simply ordered is not IH. This result thus includes Northam's example (an l -group of continuous real-valued functions) as a special case.

It remains an open question whether Theorem 3 can be extended (at least for commutative l -groups) to the case where some or all of the factor groups G_i are non-Archimedean. In this case there may exist no net in G satisfying the conditions of Lemma 3, as the example in the next section shows.

We obtain still another application of Lemma 3. If a is a positive element of the l -group G , we say that a is *Archimedean* if and only if for any $x \in G$ there exists a positive integer n with $na \geq x$. We then have

THEOREM 4. *Let G be a commutative l -group containing an element b which is incomparable with 0 and such that $|b|$ is Archimedean. Then G is not IH.*

PROOF. We consider G as an l -subgroup of $\prod\{G_i \mid i \in I\}$, where each G_i is simply ordered. For some $r \in I$, $s \in I$, we have $b_r > 0_r$, $b_s < 0_s$. Since $b_r = |b|_r$, Lemma 3 may be applied to the sequence $\{nb \mid n = 1, 2, \dots\}$.

4. **An example.** We give a simple example of a commutative l -group which is not simply ordered and in which there exists no net satisfying the hypothesis of Lemma 3. Let Z be the integers in the usual ordering, and let $H = Z \times Z$. We order H lexicographically by defining $(m_1, n_1) < (m_2, n_2)$ if and only if $m_1 < m_2$ or, when $m_1 = m_2$, if $n_1 < n_2$. Note that H is non-Archimedean in this ordering. Now consider the l -group $H \times H$ with the usual (componentwise) direct product ordering. Define $G = \{((i, j), (m, n)) \in H \times H \mid i = m\}$. G is an l -subgroup of $H \times H$. Let $F = \{((i, j), (m, n)) \in G \mid i = 0 \text{ and } m = 0\}$. Note that any element of G which is not in F is comparable with the identity element of G . Thus any net in G which has one component eventually positive also has the other component eventually positive.

It should be noted, however, that G is not IH. For consider the sequence defined by $f(n) = ((0, n), (0, -n))$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. The reader may verify that any closed interval of G which contains the range of a subsequence of f also contains the range of the entire sequence. We conclude that if x is any member of the sequence, and J is a closed interval of G which does not contain x , then the sequence f is eventually in the complement of J . This means that f converges in the interval topology to every element x in its range. Hence G is not IH.

REFERENCES

1. G. Birkhoff, *Lattice theory*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications, vol. 25, 1948.
2. ———, *Lattice-ordered groups*, Ann. of Math. vol. 43 (1942) pp. 298–331.
3. J. L. Kelley, *General topology*, Toronto-New York-London, Van Nostrand, 1955.
4. E. S. Northam, *The interval topology of a lattice*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 4 (1953) pp. 824–827.

UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT