

## ON A CLASS OF DOUBLY TRANSITIVE GROUPS<sup>1</sup>

J. L. ZEMMER<sup>2</sup>

The purpose of this note is to prove the following.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $G$  be a group of permutations on a set  $\mathfrak{M}$ . If (i)  $G$  is doubly transitive and only the identity fixes two letters, and (ii) the subgroup fixing one letter is Abelian, then  $G$  is isomorphic to the group of affine transformations  $x \rightarrow ax + b$ ,  $a \neq 0$ , on a field.*

This theorem is related to a result of Hall [2, Theorem 5.6], which states that if a group  $G$  satisfies condition (i) above and in addition either

(i')  $\mathfrak{M}$  is finite,

or

(i'') for some  $i, j \in \mathfrak{M}$  there is at most one element of  $G$  mapping  $i$  into  $j$  which displaces all of the letters, then  $G$  is isomorphic to the group of affine transformations,  $x \rightarrow ax + b$ ,  $a \neq 0$ , on a near-field. A near-field is an algebraic system  $(K, +, \cdot)$  consisting of a set  $K$  and two binary operations  $+$  and  $\cdot$  satisfying:

(a)  $K(+)$  is an Abelian group with identity 0,

(b) the nonzero elements of  $K$  form a group with respect to  $\cdot$  with identity 1,

(c)  $x(y+z) = xy + xz$  for  $x, y, z \in K$ ,

(d)  $0 \cdot a = 0$  for each  $a \in K$ ,

(e) if  $a, b, c \in K$ ,  $a \neq b$ , the equation  $au = bu + c$ , has a unique solution  $u$  in  $K$ .

In [1], Gorenstein has called an *independent ABA group*, any group  $H$  which contains two subgroups  $A$  and  $B$  such that for  $x \in H$ , either  $x \in A$ , or  $x$  can be represented uniquely in the form  $a_1ba_2$ ,  $a_1, a_2 \in A$ ,  $1 \neq b \in B$ . The proof of the Theorem will consist of first showing that a doubly transitive group  $G$ , in which only the identity fixes two letters, is a special kind of independent *ABA group*. This is a corollary of Lemma 2. Using the structure of  $G$  as an independent *ABA group*, it will then be shown that, when  $A$  is Abelian,  $G$  satisfies condition (i'') of Hall's theorem, from which our theorem follows at once.

It should be pointed out that in the finite case Hall's result [2, Theorem 5.6] follows almost immediately from the corollary of

---

Received by the editors April 21, 1960 and, in revised form, August 8, 1960.

<sup>1</sup> This work was supported by the National Science Foundation.

<sup>2</sup> The author is indebted to the referee for several suggestions, in addition to his pointing out the validity of Lemma 2.

Lemma 2 together with a result of Gorenstein [1, Theorem 6].

The original version of this paper began with the Corollary to Lemma 2. The referee has suggested a more general lemma relating doubly transitive groups to certain kinds of  $ABA$  groups. This is stated as Lemma 2. To state Lemma 2 it is necessary first to generalize the notion of independent  $ABA$  group. This will be done with the aid of Lemma 1.

A group  $G$  with subgroups  $A$  and  $B$  is called an  $ABA$  group if for  $x \in G, x = a_1ba_2$ , where  $a_1, a_2 \in A, b \in B$ . If  $G$  is an  $ABA$  group, and  $b \in B, b \neq e$ , the identity, define  $L(G)$  and  $R(G)$  as follows:

$$L(G) = \{x \in A \mid b = xby \text{ for some } y \in A\},$$

$$R(G) = \{x \in A \mid b = ybx \text{ for some } y \in A\}.$$

LEMMA 1. *If  $G$  is an  $ABA$  group with  $B$  of order two, then  $L(G) = R(G)$  is a subgroup of  $A$ , say  $A'$ . Further, if  $A'$  is normal in  $A$  then  $A'$  is normal in  $G$ .*

PROOF. Let  $x \in L(G)$ , and choose  $y$  so that  $b = xby$ . Then  $b = b^{-1} = (xby)^{-1} = y^{-1}bx^{-1}$ , hence  $ybx = b$  and  $x \in R(G)$ . Thus,  $L(G) \subseteq R(G)$ . A slight modification of this argument shows that  $R(G) \subseteq L(G)$ ; it follows that  $L(G) = R(G)$ . Again, let  $x \in A' = L(G) = R(G)$ ; then  $b = xby = y^{-1}bx^{-1}$ , which implies  $x^{-1} \in A'$ . If  $x_1, x_2 \in A'$  then  $b = x_1by_1, b = x_2by_2$ . Clearly  $b = x_1(x_2by_2)y_1 = x_1x_2by_2y_1$ , and  $A'$  is a subgroup of  $A$ .

If  $A'$  is a normal subgroup of  $A$ , and  $x \in A', g \in G$ , then  $g^{-1}xg = a_2^{-1}ba_1^{-1}xa_1ba_2 = a_2^{-1}bx_1ba_2$ , where  $x_1 \in A'$ . Since  $b = x_1by, bx_1b = y^{-1} \in A'$ . Hence  $g^{-1}xg = a_2^{-1}y^{-1}a_2 \in A'$ . Thus  $A'$  is normal in  $G$ .

The following definition is a generalization of an independent  $ABA$  group for the special case where  $B$  has order two. An  $ABA$  group  $G$ , where  $B$  has order two, is called an  $n$ -independent  $ABA$  group if  $n$  is the order of the subgroup  $A'$ , described in Lemma 1.

LEMMA 2. *If  $G$  is a doubly transitive group with subgroup fixing two letters finite, of order  $n$ , then  $G$  is an  $n$ -independent  $ABA$  group.*

PROOF. Let  $G$  be a group satisfying the hypotheses of the lemma. Denote by 0 and 1 a pair of distinct letters of the set on which  $G$  acts. Let  $A$  be the subgroup of  $G$  which fixes 0, and  $A'$  the subgroup of  $A$  which fixes 0 and 1. If  $c$  is an element of  $G$  which interchanges 0 and 1, then the subgroup,  $\{A', c\}$ , of  $G$  generated by  $A'$  and  $c$  is finite of order  $2n$ . Thus,  $\{A', c\}$  contains an element of order two. The double transitivity of  $G$  implies the existence of an element  $b$ , of order two, which interchanges 0 and 1.

Now, let  $g \in G, g(0) = \alpha, g(1) = \beta$ , then  $\alpha \neq \beta$ . If  $\alpha = 0$ , then  $g \in A$ ;

if  $\alpha \neq 0$ , let  $a_1$  be an element of  $A$  such that  $a_1(1) = \alpha$ . Since  $a_1(1) = \alpha \neq \beta$ , it follows that  $a_1^{-1}(\beta) \neq 1$ , and hence  $ba_1^{-1}(\beta) = \eta \neq 0$ . Let  $a_2^*$  be an element of  $A$  such that  $a_2^*(1) = \eta = ba_1^{-1}(\beta)$ ; then  $a_1ba_2^*(1) = \beta$ , and  $a_1ba_2(0) = a_1b(0) = a_1(1) = \alpha$ . It follows that  $(a_1ba_2^*)^{-1}g$  fixes both 0 and 1, hence  $(a_1ba_2^*)^{-1}g = a \in A'$ , and  $g = a_1ba_2^*a = a_1ba_2$ . Thus  $G$  is an  $ABA$  group.

With the notation of Lemma 1, let  $x \in L(G) \subseteq A$ , so that  $b = xby$  for some  $y$  in  $A$ . Since  $x \in A$ ,  $x(0) = 0$ , and since  $x = by^{-1}b$ ,  $x(1) = by^{-1}b(1) = by^{-1}(0) = b(0) = 1$ . Thus  $x$  fixes 1 as well as 0 and  $x \in A'$ , whence  $L(G) \subseteq A'$ . Conversely, let  $a \in A'$ ; then  $ba^{-1}b$  fixes 0 and 1. Thus,  $ba^{-1}b = a' \in A'$ , and  $b = aba'$ , and  $a \in L(G)$ . It follows that  $A' \subseteq L(G)$ . Thus  $L(G) = A'$  has order  $n$ , and it is seen that  $G$  is an  $n$ -independent  $ABA$  group.

The converse of Lemma 2 is false. Consider, for example, the non-cyclic group of order ten. It is a 5-independent  $ABA$  group and is not isomorphic to any doubly transitive group. Several modifications of the converse are true, and a particular one is proved in the following.

**COROLLARY.** *A group  $G$  is doubly transitive, with only the identity fixing two letters if and only if  $G$  is an independent  $ABA$  group with  $B$  of order two.*

**PROOF.** Let  $G$  be a doubly transitive group in which only the identity fixes two letters. It follows from Lemma 2 that  $G$  is a 1-independent  $ABA$  group, that is, an independent  $ABA$  group with  $B$  of order two.

Conversely, let  $G$  be an  $ABA$  group of this type, and  $\mathfrak{M}$  the set of right cosets of  $A$  in  $G$ . Each  $g \in G$  determines a permutation  $T_g$  on  $\mathfrak{M}$ , namely the mapping  $Ax \rightarrow Axg$ . The set of mappings  $\{T_g, g \in G\}$  forms a group of permutations on  $\mathfrak{M}$ , and it is readily seen that the mapping  $g \rightarrow T_g$  is an isomorphism of  $G$  onto this permutation group. To see that this group is doubly transitive, let  $Ax_1 \neq Ax_2$ ,  $Az_1 \neq Az_2$  be any two pairs of left cosets. Since  $x_2x_1^{-1}$ ,  $z_2z_1^{-1} \notin A$ , we have  $x_2x_1^{-1} = a'ba''$ ,  $z_2z_1^{-1} = \bar{a}b\bar{a}$ . Let

$$y = x_1^{-1}a''^{-1}\hat{a}z_1;$$

then

$$Ax_1y = Ax_1x_1^{-1}a''^{-1}\hat{a}z_1 = Az_1$$

and

$$Ax_2y = Ax_2x_1^{-1}a''^{-1}\hat{a}z_1 = Aa'ba''a''^{-1}\hat{a}z_1 = Ab\hat{a}z_1 = Abb\bar{a}^{-1}z_2 = Az_2.$$

Finally, to see that no element, other than the identity, has more

than one fixed point, let  $Ax_1 \neq Ax_2$  and suppose that for some  $y \in G$ ,  $Ax_1y = Ax_1$  and  $Ax_2y = Ax_2$ . Then  $x_1y = a_1x_1$ ,  $x_2y = a_2x_2$ , where  $a_1, a_2 \in A$ . It follows that  $y = x_1^{-1}a_1x_1 = x_2^{-1}a_2x_2$ , or that

$$a_1x_1x_2^{-1} = x_1x_2^{-1}a_2.$$

But,  $x_1x_2^{-1} \notin A$ , so that  $x_1x_2^{-1} = \bar{a}b\bar{a}$ . Thus,

$$a_1\bar{a}b\bar{a} = \bar{a}b\bar{a}a_2,$$

which implies  $a_1\bar{a} = \bar{a}$ ,  $a_1 = e$ ,  $x_1y = x_1$ ,  $y = e$ . Thus  $G$  is isomorphic to a doubly transitive group in which only the identity fixes two letters.

Before proceeding to the next two lemmas, we list some lemmas of Hall which will be needed. In [2] Hall proves that in a doubly transitive permutation group with only the identity fixing two letters, the following hold:

I. *There exists one and only one element of order 2 which interchanges a given pair of elements of  $\mathfrak{M}$ .*

II. *The elements of order 2 are in a single conjugate class.*

Two cases arise from II:

CASE 1. The elements of order 2 displace all elements of  $\mathfrak{M}$ .

CASE 2. Every element of order 2 fixes an element of  $\mathfrak{M}$ .

III. *In Case 2 there is one and only one element of order 2 with a given fixed point.*

IV. *If  $b_1, b_2$  are distinct elements of order 2 then  $b_1b_2$  displaces all elements of  $\mathfrak{M}$ .*

In terms of its representation as an  $ABA$  group we see that in Case 1,  $A$  contains no element of order 2; and in Case 2,  $A$  contains a unique element of order 2, which will be denoted by  $t$ .

With the notation of Lemma 2, let  $A^*$  be the set of nonidentity elements of  $A$ , and let  $a \in A^*$ . Then  $bab \notin A$  and hence  $bab = \phi(a)b\psi(a)$ , where  $\phi(a), \psi(a) \in A$ . Further,  $\phi(a) \neq e \neq \psi(a)$ , so that  $\phi, \psi$  are mappings of  $A^*$  into  $A^*$ . Also since  $b$  has order 2,  $ba^{-1}b = (bab)^{-1}$ , whence,  $\phi(a^{-1})b\psi(a^{-1}) = [\phi(a)b\psi(a)]^{-1} = [\psi(a)]^{-1}b[\phi(a)]^{-1}$ . From the uniqueness of the representation it follows that

$$(1) \quad \phi(a^{-1}) = [\psi(a)]^{-1}.$$

In the following two lemmas it is assumed that the subgroup  $A$  is Abelian. The element  $t_0$  of  $A$  will be the identity,  $e$ , in Case 1 and the unique element,  $t$ , of order two in Case 2.

LEMMA 3. *If  $a \in A$ ,  $a \neq t_0$  then  $\psi(t_0a) \cdot \phi(t_0a) = a$ .*

PROOF. First, from  $bab = \phi(a)b\psi(a)$ , we obtain  $ab = b\phi(a)b\psi(a) = \phi^2(a)b\psi(\phi(a))\psi(a)$ , whence,  $\phi^2(a) = a$ , and

$$(2) \quad \psi(\phi(a)) \cdot \psi(a) = e.$$

Similarly,  $\psi^2(a) = a$  and it follows that  $\phi, \psi$  are 1-1 mappings of  $A^*$  onto  $A^*$ . From  $ba_1b = \phi(a_1)b\psi(a_1)$  and  $ba_2b = \phi(a_2)b\psi(a_2)$ , we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} ba_1a_2b &= \phi(a_1)b\psi(a_1)\phi(a_2)b\psi(a_2) \\ &= \phi(a_1)\phi[\psi(a_1)\phi(a_2)]b\psi[\psi(a_1)\phi(a_2)]\psi(a_2). \end{aligned}$$

Also,  $ba_1a_2b = \phi(a_1a_2)b\psi(a_1a_2)$ , whence,

$$(3) \quad \phi(a_1a_2) = \phi(a_1)\phi[\psi(a_1)\phi(a_2)],$$

$$(4) \quad \psi(a_1a_2) = \psi[\psi(a_1)\phi(a_2)] \cdot \psi(a_2).$$

Since  $A$  is Abelian we may interchange  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  in the right-hand sides of (3) and (4) to obtain

$$(5) \quad \phi(a_1a_2) = \phi(a_2) \cdot \phi[\psi(a_2) \cdot \phi(a_1)],$$

$$(6) \quad \psi(a_1a_2) = \psi[\psi(a_2) \cdot \phi(a_1)] \cdot \psi(a_1).$$

Now, suppose that for some  $a \neq e$ , we have  $\psi(a) \neq a$ , so that  $[\psi(a)]^{-1}a \neq e$ , and  $d = \phi([\psi(a)]^{-1}a)$  is defined. It follows that  $\phi(d) = [\psi(a)]^{-1}a$ , or

$$(7) \quad \psi(a)\phi(d) = a.$$

Upon replacing  $a_1$  by  $a$  and  $a_2$  by  $d$  in (3), (4), (5) and (6) and using (7), we obtain

$$(8) \quad \phi(ad) = \phi(a) \cdot \phi(a),$$

$$(9) \quad \psi(ad) = \psi(a) \cdot \psi(d),$$

$$(10) \quad \phi(ad) = \phi(d) \cdot \phi[\psi(d) \cdot \phi(a)],$$

$$(11) \quad \psi(ad) = \psi[\psi(d)\phi(a)] \cdot \psi(a).$$

Comparison of (9) and (11) yields,

$$\psi(d) = \psi[\psi(d) \cdot \phi(a)],$$

or

$$d = \psi(d)\phi(a).$$

Replacing  $\psi(d)\phi(a)$  by  $d$  in (10), we obtain

$$(12) \quad \phi(ad) = \phi(d) \cdot \phi(d).$$

Comparing (8) and (12) we obtain  $[\phi(a)]^2 = [\phi(d)]^2$ , or  $(\phi(a) [\phi(d)]^{-1})^2 = e$ .

In Case 1, we note that  $a \neq e$  implies  $\psi(a) \neq a$ . For otherwise  $bab$

$=\phi(a)ba$ , from which it follows that  $ba=\phi(a)bab=[\phi(a)]^2ba$ , or  $[\phi(a)]^2=e$ , which is not possible, since  $A$  contains no element of order 2. Thus, we have shown that  $a\neq e$  implies the existence of an element  $d$  in  $A$ , such that  $\psi(a)\cdot\phi(d)=a$  and  $(\phi(a)[\phi(d)]^{-1})^2=e$ . The last equation implies  $\phi(a)=\phi(d)$ , whence  $a=d$ , and  $\psi(a)\phi(a)=a$ . This completes the proof for Case 1.

In Case 2,  $t_0=t$ , the unique element of order 2 in  $A$ . In this case, if  $a\neq e$ , and  $a\neq\phi(t)$  then  $\psi(a)\neq a$ . Otherwise, we have, as in Case 1,  $[\phi(a)]^2=e$ , which implies  $\phi(a)=t$ , or  $a=\phi(t)$ . Thus, there exists a  $d\in A$  such that  $\psi(a)\cdot\phi(d)=a$  and  $(\phi(a)\cdot[\phi(d)]^{-1})^2=e$ . This last equation implies that either  $\phi(a)=\phi(d)$  or  $\phi(a)=t\phi(d)$ . Suppose that  $\phi(a)=\phi(d)$ ; then  $a=d$  and we have  $\psi(a)\cdot\phi(a)=a$ . Consider the two elements of order 2,  $b$  and  $aba^{-1}$ . Since  $a\neq e$ , they are distinct, and hence by IV, their product  $baba^{-1}$  displaces all elements of  $\mathfrak{M}$ . We see, however, that  $baba^{-1}=\phi(a)b\psi(a)a^{-1}$ , and since  $\psi(a)\cdot\phi(a)=a$ ,  $\psi(a)a^{-1}=[\phi(a)]^{-1}$ , and hence  $baba^{-1}=\phi(a)b[\phi(a)]^{-1}$  is conjugate to  $b$ . Since  $b$  fixes an element of  $\mathfrak{M}$ , so do its conjugates. This contradiction implies  $\phi(a)=t\phi(d)$ . We see, then, that for  $a\neq e$ ,  $a\neq\phi(t)$ ,

$$(13) \qquad \psi(a)\phi(a) = ta.$$

If  $a=\phi(t)$ , then  $\psi(a)\cdot\phi(a)=\psi(\phi(t))\cdot t=[\psi(t)]^{-1}\cdot t$ , by (2). Further, by (1)  $[\psi(t)]^{-1}\cdot t=\phi(t^{-1})\cdot t=\phi(t)\cdot t$ . Hence (13) holds for all  $a\neq e$ . It follows that

$$\psi(ta)\cdot\phi(ta) = a$$

for all  $a\neq t$ . This completes the proof of the lemma.

The next lemma follows readily from the preceding one.

LEMMA 4. *With  $t_0$  defined as in Lemma 3, if  $a\in A$ ,  $a\neq t_0$  then there exists an  $x\in A$  such that  $[\psi(x)]^{-1}\cdot x=a$ .*

PROOF. Since  $a\neq t_0$ ,  $t_0a\neq e$ . Let  $x=\phi(t_0a)$ . By (2) we have  $\psi(x)=\psi(\phi(t_0a))=[\psi(t_0a)]^{-1}$ , and hence  $[\psi(x)]^{-1}=\psi(t_0a)$ . Thus,  $[\psi(x)]^{-1}\cdot x=\psi(t_0a)\cdot\phi(t_0a)=a$ , by Lemma 3.

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. A group  $G$ , satisfying the hypotheses of the theorem is, by the Corollary to Lemma 2, an independent  $ABA$  group, with  $B$  of order two. As such it can be represented isomorphically as a group of permutations of the right cosets  $\{Ax\}$  of  $A$  in  $G$ . To see that  $G$  is isomorphic to the group of affine transformation on a near-field, it is sufficient, in view of Hall's theorem, to show that there is exactly one element  $g\in G$  which maps  $A$  into  $Ab$  and displaces every coset. It is clear that the set of elements of  $G$  which map  $A$  into  $Ab$  are all of the form  $ab$ , where  $a$  ranges over  $A$ . From IV

it follows that  $t_0b$  displaces all of the cosets. Suppose then that  $a \neq t_0$ . By Lemma 4 there exists an  $x \in A$  such that  $[\psi(x)]^{-1} \cdot x = a$ . Let  $a' = xa^{-1}$ ; then  $x = a'a$  and we have  $[\psi(a'a)]^{-1} \cdot a'a = a$ . Hence,  $a' = \psi(a'a)$ . We then see that the coset  $Aba'$  is fixed by  $ab$ , thus  $(Aba')ab = A\phi(a'a)b\psi(a'a) = Aba'$ . That is, of all the elements  $ab$ , mapping  $A$  into  $Ab$ , only  $t_0b$  displaces all of the cosets. Thus,  $G$  is isomorphic to the group of affine transformations on a near-field  $(K, +, \cdot)$ , in which the multiplicative group  $K(\cdot)$  is isomorphic to the subgroup  $A$  of  $G$ , and hence is Abelian. Since a commutative near-field is a field, the proof of the theorem is completed.

#### REFERENCES

1. Daniel Gorenstein, *A class of Frobenius groups*, *Canad. J. Math.* vol. 11 (1959) pp. 39-47.
2. Marshall Hall, *Projective planes*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* vol. 54 (1943) pp. 229-277. Correction, *ibid.* vol. 65 (1949) pp. 473-474.

UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI