

A NOTE ON INVERSE FUNCTION THEOREMS

E. B. LEACH

In this note we use the Newton-Raphson approach to inverse function theorems. We draw natural conclusions when only a left or a right inverse to the differential at a point is available. By using a strengthened version of differential, we are able to use differentiability at a single point as the smoothness condition. Although the method has been used before (cf. [2, p. 167 ff.]), analysis books have tended to use an approach that assumes finite dimensionality of the reference spaces.

DEFINITION. Let U and V be Banach spaces and $f: U \rightarrow V$ a function. A *strong differential* of f at a point x_0 in U is a bounded linear transformation $\alpha: U \rightarrow V$ which approximates changes of f in the sense that for every $\epsilon > 0$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that if x' and x'' are nearer than δ to 0, then:

$$(1) \quad |f(x') - f(x'') - \alpha(x' - x'')| \leq \epsilon |x' - x''|. ^1$$

A relation such as (1) for any ϵ and δ implies a Lipschitz condition, and so continuity of f in the sphere $\{|x - x_0| < \delta\}$. If f should have a Fréchet differential α_1 at a point x_1 of that sphere, it is clear that $|\alpha_1 - \alpha| \leq \epsilon$. The following theorem is specialized by considering $x_0 = 0$ in U , and by the condition that $f(0) = 0$. It is evident that a more general situation reduces to this by translation of range and domain; however, the statement and the proof of the theorem are a little more complicated in the general case.

THEOREM. Let $f: U \rightarrow V$ be a function such that $f(0) = 0$ and f has strong differential α at 0. Let $\beta: V \rightarrow U$ be a bounded linear transformation such that $\beta\alpha\beta = \beta$. Then there is a function $g: V \rightarrow U$ such that $g(0) = 0$, g has strong differential β at 0, and g satisfies for y near 0 the identities:

$$(2') \quad \beta(f(g(y))) = \beta(y),$$

$$(2'') \quad \beta(\alpha(g(y))) = g(y),$$

$$(2''') \quad g(f(\beta(y))) = \beta(y).$$

Any two g 's satisfying these conditions are identical for y near 0.

Presented to the Society, February 25, 1961; received by the editors April 5, 1960 and, in revised form, November 3, 1960.

¹ We will use the notation $|x|$ or $|\alpha|$ for the norms of vectors or of linear transformations.

PROOF. For any y in V , sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are defined by $x_0=0$ and the recursion formulas:

$$(3) \quad y_n = f(x_n), \quad x_{n+1} = x_n + \beta(y - y_n) \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

We define $g(y)$ to be the limit of $\{x_n\}$ for all y such that the limit exists, and to be 0 otherwise. For all y sufficiently near to 0, $g(y)$ is the limit of $\{x_n\}$.

To prove convergence of $\{x_n\}$ for y near 0, consider $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\epsilon|\beta| < 1/2$ and $\delta > 0$, chosen so that (1) is valid for f at the origin of U . We prove inductively that $|x_n| < \delta$ and that $|x_{n+1} - x_n| < (\epsilon|\beta|)^n \delta/2$, provided $|y| < \delta/2|\beta|$, for $n=0, 1, 2, \dots$. The bound on $|x_1 - x_0|$ is an immediate consequence of (3), for $|y| < \delta/2|\beta|$. If $|x_{m+1} - x_m| \leq (\epsilon|\beta|)^m \delta/2$ for $m \leq n-1$, then $|x_n| \leq \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} (\epsilon|\beta|)^m \delta/2 < \delta$, since $\epsilon|\beta| < 1/2$. Now we have by (3) and the relation $\beta\alpha\beta = \beta$, for $n \geq 1$:

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} x_{n+1} - x_n &= \beta(y - y_n) = \beta(y - y_{n-1}) - \beta(y_{n-1} - y_n) \\ &= \beta\alpha\beta(y - y_{n-1}) - \beta(y_{n-1} - y_n) \\ &= \beta[\alpha(x_n - x_{n-1}) - f(x_{n-1}) + f(x_n)]. \end{aligned}$$

The vector in brackets is essentially the vector of the left side of (1), with x' and x'' replaced by x_n and x_{n-1} , and since these fall within δ of 0, (1) implies $|x_{n+1} - x_n| \leq |\beta| \cdot \epsilon |x_n - x_{n-1}|$, from which the asserted bound on $|x_{n+1} - x_n|$ follows by induction. The sequence $\{x_n\}$ therefore converges uniformly to $g(y)$ if $|y| < \delta/2|\beta|$.

The identities (2') and (2'') are readily derived for all y such that $|y| < \delta/2|\beta|$. For (2'), let $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3), and taking account of the fact that $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(g(y))$ by continuity of f , we have $g(y) = g(y) + \beta(y - f(g(y)))$, which simplifies immediately to $\beta f g = \beta$ for $|y| < \delta/2|\beta|$. For (2''), $\beta\alpha(x_{n+1} - x_n) = \beta\alpha\beta(y - y_n) = \beta(y - y_n) = x_{n+1} - x_n$, and inductively it follows that $\beta\alpha x_n = x_n$. Making $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\beta\alpha g(y) = g(y)$ for all y such that $\{x_n\}$ converges (and for all other y , since then $g(y) = 0 = \beta\alpha g(y)$).

Each of the remaining steps of proof involves estimating $x' - x''$ for some choice of x', x'' nearer than δ to 0 in U . In each case a differential relation involving $\beta(f(x')) - \beta(f(x''))$ is invoked. First we prove a Lipschitz condition on g . If y' and y'' are nearer than $\delta/2|\beta|$ to 0 in V , $g(y')$ and $g(y'')$ are nearer than δ to 0 in U , and by (1), with this choice of x' and x'' :

$$|f(g(y')) - f(g(y'')) - \alpha(g(y') - g(y''))| \leq \epsilon |g(y') - g(y'')|.$$

Application of β to the vector in brackets leads to the relation:

$$\begin{aligned} & | \beta(f(g(y'))) - \beta(f(g(y''))) - \beta\alpha(g(y') - g(y'')) | \\ & \leq \epsilon | \beta | | g(y') - g(y'') | . \end{aligned}$$

The vector on the left simplifies, because $\beta f g = \beta$ and $\beta\alpha g = g$, to:

$$| \beta(y' - y'') - (g(y') - g(y'')) | \leq \epsilon | \beta | | g(y') - g(y'') | .$$

By this relation and the triangle inequality we obtain $|\beta(y' - y'')| \geq |g(y') - g(y'')| - \epsilon|\beta||g(y') - g(y'')|$, and since $|\beta(y' - y'')| \leq |\beta||y' - y''|$ and $1 - \epsilon|\beta| > 0$, we obtain the Lipschitz condition valid if y' and y'' are nearer than $\delta/2|\beta|$ to 0:

$$(5) \quad | g(y') - g(y'') | \leq | \beta | | y' - y'' | / (1 - \epsilon | \beta |) .$$

Instead of proving differentiability of g at 0 only, we prove differentiability of g at any y in V such that $|y| < \delta/2|\beta|$, provided f is differentiable at $g(y)$ with differential α_1 . For y' and y'' near to y and within $\delta/2|\beta|$ of 0, $g(y')$ and $g(y'')$ are within δ of 0 and near to $g(y)$. For any $\epsilon_1 > 0$, differentiability of f at $g(y)$ implies the relation on f valid for y', y'' near y :

$$| fg(y') - f(g(y'')) - \alpha_1(g(y') - g(y'')) | \leq \epsilon_1 | g(y') - g(y'') | .$$

Application of β on the left gives:

$$\begin{aligned} & | \beta(f(g(y'))) - \beta(f(g(y''))) - \beta\alpha_1(g(y') - g(y'')) | \\ & \leq \epsilon_1 | \beta | | g(y') - g(y'') | . \end{aligned}$$

As before, we apply $\beta f g = \beta$ to simplify the first two terms. We write $\beta\alpha_1 = \beta\alpha + \beta(\alpha_1 - \alpha)$ and since $\beta\alpha g = g$, we may replace $\beta\alpha$ by 1, leading to:

$$\begin{aligned} & | \beta(y' - y'') - (1 + \beta(\alpha_1 - \alpha))(g(y') - g(y'')) | \\ & \leq \epsilon_1 | \beta | | g(y') - g(y'') | . \end{aligned}$$

Now it was observed in the remarks following the definition of strong differential that $|\alpha_1 - \alpha| \leq \epsilon$, so $|\beta(\alpha_1 - \alpha)| < \epsilon|\beta| < 1/2$. So $1 + \beta(\alpha_1 - \alpha)$ has a bounded inverse of norm $\leq 1/(1 - \epsilon|\beta|)$. Applying $-(1 + \beta(\alpha_1 - \alpha))^{-1}$ to the vector on the left above and changing the order of the terms gives:

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} & | g(y') - g(y'') - [1 + \beta(\alpha_1 - \alpha)]^{-1}(\beta(y' - y'')) | \\ & \leq \epsilon_1 | \beta | | g(y') - g(y'') | / (1 - \epsilon | \beta |) . \end{aligned}$$

But by (5) the right side may be replaced by $\epsilon_1|\beta|^2|y' - y''|/(1 - \epsilon|\beta|)^2$, if y' and y'' are near to y . Since the coefficient of $|y' - y''|$ approaches 0 as $\epsilon_1 \rightarrow 0$, g is differentiable at y with differential $[1 + \beta(\alpha_1 - \alpha)]^{-1}\beta$.² In particular, this reduces to β at $y = 0$.

² By letting $y'' = y$ the same proof gives the existence of a Fréchet differential provided f has a Fréchet differential at $g(y)$.

We now prove the third identity (2'''). If y is near to 0, both y and $f(\beta(y))$ are within $\delta/2|\beta|$ of 0. We wish to compare $g(f(\beta(y)))$ and $\beta(y)$, which therefore serve as the x' and x'' in this case. The relation about βf is:

$$\begin{aligned} |\beta(f(g(f(\beta(y)))))) - \beta(f(\beta(y))) - \beta\alpha(g(f(\beta(y)))) - \beta(y)| \\ \leq \epsilon |\beta| |g(f(\beta(y))) - \beta(y)|. \end{aligned}$$

Now $\beta fg = \beta$ cancels the first two terms on the left, while $\beta\alpha g = g$ and $\beta\alpha\beta = \beta$ causes the relation to simplify to $|g(f(\beta(y))) - \beta(y)| \leq \epsilon |\beta| |g(f(\beta(y))) - \beta(y)|$, a contradiction unless $g(f(\beta(y))) = \beta(y)$, since $\epsilon |\beta| < 1/2$.

There remains only to prove uniqueness of g ; if g' has similar properties, then $g'(y) = g(y)$ in a neighborhood of 0. We consider y so near to 0 that g and g' satisfy (2') and (2''), and so that $|g(y)|$ and $|g'(y)|$ are nearer than δ to 0 in U . Then, using the same method as before, we obtain the relation:

$$|\beta(f(g(y))) - \beta(f(g'(y))) - \beta\alpha(g(y) - g'(y))| \leq \epsilon |\beta| |g(y) - g'(y)|$$

The first two terms cancel, for $\beta(f(g(y))) = \beta(y) = \beta(f(g'(y)))$. Then $\beta\alpha g = g$ and $\beta\alpha g' = g'$, so the expression simplifies to $|g(y) - g'(y)| \leq \epsilon |\beta| |g(y) - g'(y)|$, and so $g(y) = g'(y)$.

REMARK. The equation $\beta\alpha\beta = \beta$ in the hypothesis and the equations (2'), (2''), (2''') are cases where $\alpha\beta$, $\beta\alpha$, fg or gf can be cancelled locally from a triple composite mapping V into U . The list of identities of this sort is completed with $g\alpha\beta = g$ and $gfg = g$. These may be proved in the same way that (2''') is proved. In contrast, if we propose such an identity for a triple composition from U to V , we always have $\beta = 0$ as a possible choice of β , and the resulting g is then 0. The triple composition then gives 0, while the cancellation gives α or f , which may be different from 0.

COROLLARY. *If in particular $\beta\alpha$ is the identity of U or $\alpha\beta$ is the identity of V , then correspondingly $g(f(x)) = x$ for x near 0, or $f(g(y)) = y$, for y near 0.*

PROOF. In the first case $g(f(x)) = g(f(\beta(\alpha(x)))) = \beta(\alpha(x)) = x$, using (2'''). In the second case, $f(g(y)) = \alpha(\beta(f(g(y)))) = \alpha(\beta(y)) = y$, using (2').

REFERENCES

1. T. M. Apostol, *Mathematical analysis*, Reading, Massachusetts, Addison-Wesley, 1957.
2. L. V. Kantorovich, *Functional analysis and applied mathematics* (translated from the Russian by Curtis D. Benster), National Bureau of Standards Report, 1952.