

## THE MONOTONE UNION OF OPEN $n$ -CELLS IS AN OPEN $n$ -CELL

MORTON BROWN

In a research announcement [2] B. Mazur indicated that modulo the Generalized Schoenflies Theorem, the following theorem could be proved:

“If the open cone over a topological space  $X$  is locally Euclidean at the origin, then it is topologically equivalent with Euclidean space.”

Ronald Rosen [3] has described an ingenious proof of this theorem based on the now known [1] Generalized Schoenflies Theorem. In the present paper we prove a stronger theorem without employing the Generalized Schoenflies Theorem.

**DEFINITIONS AND NOTATION.** If  $Q$  is an  $n$ -cell then  $\dot{Q}$ ,  $\bar{Q}$  denote the interior and boundary of  $Q$ , respectively. An  $n$ -annulus is a homeomorph of  $S^{n-1} \times [0, 1]$ . If  $S$  is an  $(n-1)$ -sphere in an  $n$ -cell, then  $I(S)$  denotes the interior (complementary domain) of  $S$ . If  $S_1, S_2$  are  $(n-1)$ -spheres in an  $n$ -cell and  $S_1 \subset I(S_2)$ , then  $[S_1, S_2]$  (or equivalently  $[S_2, S_1]$ ) denotes the set  $\text{Cl } [I(S_2)] - I(S_1)$ . An  $(n-1)$ -sphere  $S$  embedded in a space  $X$  is *collared* if there is a homeomorphism  $h$  of  $S^{n-1} \times [0, 1]$  into  $X$  such that  $h(S^{n-1} \times 1/2) = S$ . Finally  $B_r$  will denote the  $n$ -ball of radius  $r$  in  $E^n$  and centered at the origin.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $S$  be a collared  $(n-1)$ -sphere in the interior of an  $n$ -cell  $Q$  such that  $\text{Cl } [I(S)]$  is an  $n$ -cell.<sup>1</sup> Let  $h$  be a homeomorphism of  $Q$  upon itself such that  $S \subset I(h(S))$  and  $h|U=1$  where  $U$  is a nonempty open subset of  $I(S)$ . Then  $h(S)$  is a collared  $(n-1)$ -sphere in  $\dot{Q}$ ,  $\text{Cl } [I(h(S))]$  is an  $n$ -cell and  $[S, h(S)]$  is an  $n$ -annulus.*

**PROOF.** Let  $f$  be a homeomorphism of  $S^{n-1} \times [0, 1]$  into  $\dot{Q}$  such that  $f(S^{n-1} \times 0) = S$ ,  $f(S^{n-1} \times [0, 1]) \cap h(S) = 0$ , and  $f(S^{n-1} \times [0, 1]) \cap I(S) = 0$ . Evidently  $I(S) \cup f(S^{n-1} \times [0, 1])$  is an  $n$ -cell. Hence there is a homeomorphism  $g$  of  $Q$  upon itself such that:

- (1)  $g(S) \subset U$ ,
- (2)  $gf(S^{n-1} \times 1/2) = S$ ,
- (3)  $g|_h(S) = 1$ .

Then

---

Presented to the Society, September 2, 1960; received by the editors September 30, 1960.

<sup>1</sup> The results of [1] make this last part of the hypothesis unnecessary.

$$\begin{aligned}
 g^{-1}hgf(S^{n-1} \times [0, 1/2]) &= g^{-1}hg[f(S^{n-1} \times 0), f(S^{n-1} \times 1/2)] \\
 &= g^{-1}h[g(S), S] \\
 &= g^{-1}[g(S), h(S)] \\
 &= [S, h(S)].
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $[S, h(S)]$  is an  $n$ -annulus. Obviously  $h(S)$  is collared and  $\text{Cl } [I(h(S))]$  is an  $n$ -cell.

LEMMA 2. *Let  $S$  be a collared  $(n-1)$ -sphere in the interior of an  $n$ -cell  $Q$  such that  $\text{Cl } [I(S)]$  is an  $n$ -cell.<sup>1</sup> Suppose  $M$  is a compact subset of  $\overset{\circ}{Q}$ . Then there is a collared  $(n-1)$ -sphere  $S'$  in  $\overset{\circ}{Q}$  such that  $I(S) \supset M \cup S$ ,  $\text{Cl } [I(S')]$  is an  $n$ -cell, and  $[S, S']$  is an  $n$ -annulus.*

PROOF. We may suppose without loss of generality that  $Q$  is the unit ball  $B_1$  in  $E^n$  and that  $I(S)$  contains the origin. Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be small enough so that  $B_\epsilon \subset I(S)$  and  $M \cup S \subset \overset{\circ}{B}_{1-\epsilon}$ . Let  $h$  be a homeomorphism of  $B_1$  upon itself such that  $h|_{B_{\epsilon/2}} = 1$  and  $h(\overset{\circ}{B}_\epsilon) \supset B_{1-\epsilon}$ . Then  $S' = h(S)$  contains  $M \cup S$  in its interior. Lemma 1 insures that  $h(S)$  is collared and that  $[S, h(S)]$  is an  $n$ -annulus.

THEOREM. *Let  $X$  be a topological space which is the union of a sequence  $V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \dots \subset V_i \subset \dots$  of open subsets where each  $V_i$  is homeomorphic to  $E^n$ . Then  $X$  is homeomorphic to  $E^n$ .*

PROOF. Let  $h_i$  map  $E^n$  homeomorphically onto  $V_i$ . Then  $h_1(B_1)$  is an  $n$ -cell in  $V_1$ . There is an integer  $n_2$  such that

$$h_2(\overset{\circ}{B}_{n_2}) \supset h_1(B_2) \cup h_2(B_2).$$

Inductively, there is a sequence of integers  $n_3, n_4, \dots$ , such that for all  $i$ ,

$$h_i(\overset{\circ}{B}_{n_i}) \supset h_1(B_i) \cup \dots \cup h_i(B_i) \cup h_{n_i-1}(B_{i-1}).$$

Since  $X$  is locally Euclidean,  $h_i(B_{n_i})$  is an  $n$ -cell in  $X$  containing  $h_{i-1}(B_{n_{i-1}})$  in its interior  $h_i(\overset{\circ}{B}_{n_i})$ . Finally  $\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty B_{n_i} = X$ . For if  $x \in X$  there is an integer  $j$  such that  $x \in V_j$ . Hence there is an integer  $k > j$  such that  $x \in h_j(B_k)$ . But then  $x \in h_k(B_{n_k})$ . Let  $Q_i = h_i(B_{n_i})$ . Then  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty Q_i$  where  $Q_i$  is an  $n$ -cell,  $Q_i \subset \overset{\circ}{Q}_{i+1}$ , and  $\overset{\circ}{Q}_{i+1}$  is open in  $X$ .

Let  $S_1$  be a collared  $(n-1)$ -sphere in  $\overset{\circ}{Q}_1$  such that  $\text{Cl } [I(S_1)]$  is an  $n$ -cell. Applying Lemma 2 to the  $n$ -cell  $Q_2$ , we obtain a collared  $(n-1)$ -sphere  $S_2$  in  $\overset{\circ}{Q}_2$  such that  $I(S_2) \supset Q_1 \cup S_1$ ,  $[S_1, S_2]$  is an  $n$ -annulus, and  $\text{Cl } [I(S_2)]$  is an  $n$ -cell. The same lemma applied to  $Q_3$  and  $S_2$  yields us a collared sphere  $S_3$  in  $\overset{\circ}{Q}_3$  such that  $I(S_3) \supset S_2 \cup Q_2$ ,  $[S_2, S_3]$  is an  $n$ -annulus, and  $\text{Cl } [I(S_3)]$  is an  $n$ -cell. Continuing this argument, we

get a sequence  $S_1, S_2, \dots$ , of  $(n-1)$ -spheres such that  $[S_i, S_{i+1}]$  is an  $n$ -annulus and  $X = I(S_1) \cup [S_1, S_2] \cup [S_2, S_3] \cup \dots$ . Evidently  $X$  is homeomorphic to  $E^n$ .

## REFERENCES

1. Morton Brown, *A proof of the generalized Schoenflies theorem*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 66 (1960) pp. 74-76.
2. Barry Mazur, *Embeddings of spheres*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 65 (1959) p. 65.
3. Ronald Rosen, *A weak form of the star conjecture for manifolds*, Abstract 570-28, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 7 (1960) p. 380.

UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

---

**THREADS WITHOUT IDEMPOTENTS**

C. R. STOREY<sup>1</sup>

If a thread  $S$  has no idempotents and if  $S^2 = S$ , then  $S$  is isomorphic with the real interval  $(0, 1)$  under ordinary multiplication [2, Corollary 5.6]. Although the result is not nearly as pleasing as the special case just quoted, we shall give here a description of any thread without idempotents. Recall from [1] that a thread is a connected topological semigroup in which the topology is that induced by a total order.

First some examples. Let  $X$  be a totally ordered set which is a connected space in the interval topology, let  $T$  be a subset of  $X$  containing, with  $t$ , all elements less than  $t$ , and let  $\phi$  be any continuous function from  $X$  into  $(0, 1)$  whose restriction,  $\phi_0$ , to  $T$  is a strictly order-preserving map of  $T$  onto  $(0, a^2)$  where  $a = \text{l.u.b. } \phi(X)$ . (We admit that  $a$  might be 1.) For such a  $\phi$  to exist it is evidently necessary that  $X$  not have a least element, that  $T$  not have a greatest element and, provided  $T \neq X$  so that the least upper bound,  $q$ , of  $T$  exists, that  $\phi(q) = a^2$ .

If  $\phi(X)$  is the open interval  $(0, a)$ , define a multiplication in  $X$  by:  $x \circ y = \phi_0^{-1}(\phi(x)\phi(y))$ . With this definition it is quite easy to see that  $X$  is a thread without idempotents and that  $\phi$  is a homomorphism.

In the event that  $\phi(X)$  is the half closed interval  $(0, a]$  (which implies of course that  $a < 1$ ), put  $A = \phi^{-1}(a)$  and  $B = \phi^{-1}(a^2)$ , observe that  $q$  must be the least element of  $B$ , and let  $\psi$  be any continuous

---

Received by the editors July 28, 1960.

<sup>1</sup> This paper was prepared while the author held a National Science Foundation Postdoctoral Fellowship.