SOME RATE INvariant SEQUENCE TRANSFORMATIONS
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The basis for comparing convergence rates of sequences used here is as follows: If \( \{x_p\} \) converges to \( X \) and \( \{y_p\} \) converges to \( Y \), then "\( \{x_p\} \) converges faster than \( \{y_p\} \)" means that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} (x_n - X)/(y_n - Y) = 0 \). Similarly, if \( \sum a_p \) and \( \sum b_p \) are convergent complex series, then "\( \sum a_p \) converges faster than \( \sum b_p \)" means that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} a_p}{\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} b_p} = 0
\]

[3]. "A sequence-to-sequence transformation \( A \) is rate (of convergence) invariant" means that if each of \( x \) and \( Ax \) is a convergent sequence, then neither sequence converges faster than the other. If \( \{x_p\} \) and \( \{y_p\} \) diverge to \( \infty \), then "\( \{y_p\} \) diverges faster than \( \{x_p\} \)" means that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n/y_n = 0 \).

In this note, certain matrices, regarded here as sequence-to-sequence transformations, which are convergence invariant (sum only convergent sequences) are given and shown to be rate invariant. In general, a convergence invariant matrix need not be rate invariant, as shown by the following example. Suppose \( \{x_p\} \) converges to 1, \( x_p \neq 1, x_p \neq 0, p = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \). Let \( A \) be the diagonal matrix such that \( a_{ii} = x_i \), and let \( x' = \{1/x_p\} \). Then \( Ax' \) converges faster than \( x' \), while \( y = \{1, 1, 1, \ldots\} \) converges faster than \( Ay \). Thus the convergence invariant matrix \( A \) speeds up convergence in one case and slows it down in another.

As a corollary to our study, we have the result (Theorem 3) that if \( \{p_i\} \) is a complex sequence such that \( \sum a_i \) and \( \sum p_i a_i \) converge or diverge together for all choices of \( \sum b_i \), then for each series \( \sum b_i \), which is convergent, neither of the series \( \sum b_i \) and \( \sum p_i b_i \), converges faster than the other, i.e., the series \( \sum b_i \) and \( \sum p_i b_i \) are rate invariant.

**Theorem 1.** If \( \sum |a_p| \) converges and \( d_p \to k \), then the convergence preserving matrix \( A = (a_{pq}) \), defined by \( a_{pq} = 0 \) if \( q > p \), \( a_{pq} = d_p \) if \( p = q \), \( a_{pq} = a_q \) if \( q < p \), speeds up the convergence of some null sequence if and only if \( k = 0 \).

**Proof.** Suppose \( k \neq 0 \), but there exists a complex sequence \( x = \{x_p\} \)
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convergent to 0 such that $Ax$ converges faster than $x$. Let $Ax = \{y_p\}$ and note that $\lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = \sum_{p=1}^n a_p x_p$. Let $\lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = Y$. Thus our assumption is that $\lim_{n \to \infty} (y_n - Y)/(x_n - 0) = 0$, which means that $x$ contains at most a finite number of zero terms. Let $N$ be a positive integer such that if $q > N$, then $x_q \neq 0$. Thus, if $n > N$, then
\[
\frac{y_n - Y}{x_n - 0} = d_n - \frac{a_n x_n + a_{n+1} x_{n+1} + \cdots}{x_n}.
\]
We note that for infinitely many values of $i$, $|x_i| \geq |x_{i+p}|$, $p = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, since $x$ converges to 0. Hence, since $\sum |a_p|$ converges,
\[
\liminf_{i \to \infty} \left| \frac{a_i x_i + a_{i+1} x_{i+1} + \cdots}{x_i} \right| = 0,
\]
and therefore, since our assumption, in light of (1), implies that $\lim_{p \to \infty} (a_p x_p + a_{p+1} x_{p+1} + \cdots)/x_p$ exists, we have
\[
\lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{a_i x_i + a_{i+1} x_{i+1} + \cdots}{x_i} = 0.
\]
Thus from (1) and the fact that $d_p \to k$, we see that
\[
\lim_{i \to \infty} (y_i - Y)/(x_i - 0) = k \neq 0.
\]
But this is a contradiction. Hence if $k \neq 0$, there exists no complex null sequence $x$ such that $Ax$ converges faster than $x$.

On the other hand, if $k = 0$, it is easily seen from (1) that any null sequence $x = \{x_p\}$ such that for each positive integer $i$, $|x_i| > |x_{i+p}|$, $p = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, has the property that $Ax$ converges faster than $x$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

We now add a condition to the hypothesis of Theorem 1 which gives a kind of “translation” property and makes the conclusion valid for convergent sequences which need not be null.

**Theorem 2.** If the complex sequence $\{d_p\}$ is of bounded variation, $k$ being its limit, and $a_p = d_p - d_{p+1}$, $p = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, then the matrix $A$, as defined in the statement of Theorem 1, speeds up the convergence of some sequence if and only if $k = 0$.

**Proof.** Suppose $k \neq 0$, but there exists $x = \{x_p\}$ convergent to $X$ such that $Ax$ converges faster than $x$. Let $N$ be a positive integer such that if $n > N$, then $x_n \neq X$. Denote $Ax$ by $\{y_p\}$ and let $\lim_{n \to \infty} y_n$
Our assumption is that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{y_n - Y}{x_n - X} = 0 \). If \( n > N \), then

\[
y_n - Y = d_n x_n - kX - \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} a_i x_i
\]

and so

\[
y_n - Y = d_n x_n - X \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} a_i + \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} a_i (X - x_i) - kX
\]

and so

\[
y_n - Y = d_n x_n - d_n X + kX + \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} a_i (X - x_i) - kX,
\]

and so

\[
\frac{y_n - Y}{x_n - X} = d_n + \frac{a_n (X - x_n) + a_{n+1} (X - x_{n+1}) + \cdots}{x_n - X}.
\]

As in the proof of Theorem 1,

\[
\liminf_{t \to \infty} \left| \frac{a_i (X - x_i) + a_{i+1} (X - x_{i+1}) + \cdots}{x_i - X} \right| = 0,
\]

and so \( \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{y_t - Y}{x_t - X} = k \neq 0 \), which contradicts the assumption that \( Ax \) converges faster than \( x \).

If \( k = 0 \), then, as in the proof of Theorem 1, any null sequence \( x = \{x_p - X\} \) such that for each positive integer \( i \), \( |x_i - X| > |x_{i+p} - X|, p = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \), has the property that \( Ax \) converges faster than \( x \). This completes the proof of the theorem.

**Theorem 3.** If \( \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} |1 - p_{i+1}/p_i| \) converges for some \( N \), then for each convergent series \( \sum a_i \), the series \( \sum a_i \) and \( \sum p_i a_i \) are rate invariant.

**Proof.** Suppose no \( p_i = 0 \). Let \( H = (h_{ij}) \) and \( H' = (h'_{ij}) \) be matrices defined as follows [1]:

\[
h_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } i < j , \\
p_i & \text{if } i = j , \\
p_j - p_{j+1} & \text{if } j < i .
\end{cases}
\]

\[
h'_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } i < j , \\
1/p_i & \text{if } i = j , \\
1/p_j - 1/p_{j+1} & \text{if } j < i .
\end{cases}
\]

It is easily verified that if \( \sum a_p \) is a complex series, then \( H \) transforms the sequence \( \{a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \) into the sequence \( \{p_1 a_1 + p_2 a_2 + \cdots + p_i a_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \) and \( H \cdot H' = H' \cdot H = I \) (the identity matrix). Since \( \sum |1 - p_{i+1}/p_i| \) converges, \( \{p_i\} \) converges to a complex number \( k \neq 0 \) and each of the series \( \sum |p_{i+1} - p_i| \) and
\[ \sum \left| \frac{1}{p_{i+1}} - \frac{1}{p_i} \right| \] converges [2]. Hence \( H \) and \( H' \) both have the properties attributed to the matrix \( A \) of Theorem 2, and the principal diagonals converge, respectively, to \( k \neq 0 \) and \( 1/k \). Thus by Theorem 2, for each convergent series \( \sum a_i \), neither of the series \( \sum a_i \) and \( \sum p_i a_i \) converges faster than the other. In case some \( p_i = 0 \), we consider \( \{ p_i \}^{N} \) and the series \( \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} a_i \) and \( \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} p_i a_i \), where \( p_i \neq 0 \) for \( i \geq N \), and the proof is similar to the above.

As partial converses of Theorem 3, we have the two theorems which follow.

**Theorem 4.** If \( \{ p_i \} \) is such that \( H \) is convergence preserving and for each convergent series \( \sum a_i \), the series \( \sum a_i \) and \( \sum p_i a_i \) are rate invariant, then \( \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} \left| 1 - \frac{p_{i+1}}{p_i} \right| \) converges for some \( N \).

**Proof.** Consider first the case that no \( p_i = 0 \). Suppose \( H' \) is not convergence preserving. This means that \( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left| p_{i+1} - p_i \right| \) converges and \( \{ p_i \} \) converges to 0. Hence by Theorem 2 there exists a sequence \( x \) such that \( Hx \) converges faster than \( x \). Thus for the hypothesis to hold, both \( H \) and \( H' \) must be convergence preserving, and so \( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left| 1 - \frac{p_{i+1}}{p_i} \right| \) converges, and the proof is complete for the case we considered. In case some \( p_i = 0 \), the proof is similar, since there exists \( N \) such that \( p_i \neq 0 \) for \( i \geq N \).

**Theorem 5.** If \( \{ p_i \} \) is such that \( H' \) is convergence preserving and for each convergent series \( \sum p_i a_i \), the series \( \sum a_i \) and \( \sum p_i a_i \) are rate invariant, then \( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left| 1 - \frac{p_{i+1}}{p_i} \right| \) converges.

**Proof.** Suppose \( H \) is not convergence preserving. Then \( |p_i| \to \infty \) as \( i \to \infty \). Hence by Theorem 2, there exists a convergent sequence \( x \) such that \( H'x \) converges faster than \( x \). But this is a contradiction, and so \( H \) is convergence preserving. Thus \( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left| 1 - \frac{p_{i+1}}{p_i} \right| \) converges, and the proof is complete.

The remainder of the paper is devoted to theorems which involve divergence, but which are analogous to some of the above theorems. The proof of Theorem 6 will be given, but the proof of Theorem 7 will be omitted because of the similarity with Theorem 3.

**Theorem 6.** If \( \sum |a_p| \) converges and \( d_p \to k \neq 0 \), then the matrix \( A \), as defined in Theorem 1, has the following properties:

\( a) \) there exists a sequence \( x \) such that both \( x \) and \( Ax \) diverge to \( \infty \), and

\( b) \) if both \( x \) and \( Ax \) diverge to \( \infty \), then \( x \) does not diverge faster than \( Ax \).

**Proof.** We will prove (b) first. Suppose \( x = \{ x_p \} \) and \( Ax \) both diverge to \( \infty \) and \( x \) diverges faster than \( Ax \). Let \( Ax = \{ c_p \} \). Then
Let \( \lim_{n \to \infty} c_n/x_n = 0 \). Let \( N_1 \) be a positive integer such that \( x_i \neq 0 \) if \( i > N_1 \). Let \( N_2 > 1 \) be an integer such that \( \sum_{j=N_2}^{\infty} |a_j| < |k|/2 \). Let \( N > N_1 + N_2 \). Then if \( n > N \), we have

\[
\frac{c_n}{x_n} = \frac{a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \cdots + a_{n-1}x_{n-1} + d_nx_n}{x_n} \tag{2}
\]

\[
= d_n + \frac{a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_{N_2-1}x_{N_2-1} + a_{N_2}x_{N_2} + \cdots + a_{n-1}x_{n-1}}{x_n}.
\]

We note that for infinitely many values of \( i \), \( |x_i| \geq |x_{i-1}|, j = 1, 2, \ldots, i-1 \), since \( x \) diverges to \( \infty \). Thus

\[
\liminf_{i \to \infty} \left| \frac{a_{N_2}x_{N_2} + \cdots + a_{i-1}x_{i-1}}{x_i} \right| < \frac{|k|}{2}.
\]

Thus from our assumption that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} c_n/x_n \) exists, we see from (2) that \( \lim_{i \to \infty} |c_i/x_i| > |k|/2 > 0 \). But this is a contradiction of the assumption that \( x \) diverges faster than \( Ax \).

To prove (a), we let \( x = \{x_p\} \) be any sequence which diverges to \( \infty \) such that \( |x_n| < |x_{n+1}| \), \( n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \). Let \( Ax = \{y_p\} \). Then from (2) we see that \( \liminf_{i \to \infty} |y_i/x_i| > |k|/2 \). Hence \( \{y_p\} \) diverges to \( \infty \).

**Theorem 7.** If \( \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} |1 - p_{i+1}/p_i| \) converges for some \( N \) and \( \sum p_i \alpha_i \) diverge to \( \infty \), then neither series diverges faster than the other.
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