

2. R. M. Smullyan, *Theory of formal systems*, Annals of Mathematics Studies No. 47, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1961.

3. S. C. Kleene, *Introduction to metamathematics*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1952.

4. J. C. E. Dekker, *A theorem of hypersimple sets*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 5 (1954), 791-796.

YESHIVA UNIVERSITY

ENTROPY FOR NONINVERTIBLE TRANSFORMATIONS

JOHN D. FERGUSON¹

1. **Introduction.** In 1959, Sinai (see [1]) gave an improved version of the definition of entropy for a measure-preserving transformation on a probability space. Included in the same paper was a theorem which made possible the computation of the entropy of certain invertible measure-preserving transformations. In this paper we prove a theorem, similar to that of Sinai, for measure-preserving transformations which are not necessarily invertible.

2. **Preliminaries.** Let (X, \mathbf{S}, μ) be a probability space, and T a measure-preserving transformation on X . If \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{C} are subfields of \mathbf{S} with \mathbf{A} finite, then the "entropy" and "conditional entropy" of \mathbf{A} , denoted $\overline{H}(\mathbf{A})$ and $\overline{H}(\mathbf{A}/\mathbf{C})$, respectively, are defined in Halmos [2]. Using these concepts, the entropy of T is defined as follows. Let \mathbf{A} be a finite subfield of \mathbf{S} , then $\bigvee_{j=0}^m T^{-j}\mathbf{A}$ is a finite subfield of \mathbf{S} , and it follows from a theorem in information theory that

$$h(T, \mathbf{A}) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (1/(m+1))\overline{H}\left(\bigvee_{j=0}^m T^{-j}\mathbf{A}\right)$$

exists. Then the entropy of T is $h^*(T)$, where

$$h^*(T) = \sup\{h(T, \mathbf{A}) \mid \mathbf{A} \text{ a finite subfield of } \mathbf{S}\}.$$

Sinai's essential idea was to avoid taking the supremum by exhibiting a finite subfield \mathbf{A} of \mathbf{S} for which $h(T, \mathbf{A})$ gave the supremum, but his proof depended on the invertibility of T . The theorem proved below replaces the supremum over all finite subfields \mathbf{A} by a supre-

Received by the editors February 2, 1963 and, in revised form, August 1, 1963.

¹ Some of the contents of this paper were included in the author's doctoral dissertation, under the direction of Professor G. A. Hedlund, at Yale University, where the author held a National Science Foundation Graduate Fellowship.

num over a countable collection of subfields, and allows noninvertible T . We require the following results, which may be found in Halmos [2].

Let A, B, C, D be subfields of S with A and B finite, then

(1) $\bar{H}(A) = - \sum_A \mu(A) \log \mu(A)$, where the summation is over all the atoms A of A .

(2) $\bar{H}(A \vee B) = \bar{H}(B) + \bar{H}(A/B)$.

(3) If $A \subseteq B$, then $\bar{H}(A) \leq \bar{H}(B)$.

(4) If $A \subseteq C$, then $\bar{H}(A/C) = 0$.

(5) $\bar{H}(T^{-1}A/T^{-1}C) = \bar{H}(A/C)$.

(6) $\bar{H}(A \vee B/C) \leq \bar{H}(A/C) + \bar{H}(B/C)$.

(7) If $C \subseteq D$, then $\bar{H}(A/D) \leq \bar{H}(A/C)$.

(8) If $C_1 \subseteq C_2 \subseteq \dots$ are subfields of S then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{H}(A/C_n) = \bar{H}\left(A / \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n\right).$$

3. Computing entropy.

THEOREM. *Let T be a measure-preserving transformation on X . Suppose there exists a sequence $\{A_n\}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, of finite subfields of S , such that, if B_n denotes $\bigvee_{j=0}^{\infty} T^{-j}A_n$, then*

(a) $B_1 \subseteq B_2 \subseteq \dots$, and

(b) $S = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$.

Then $h^*(T) = \limsup h(T, A_n)$.

PROOF. Let B be a finite subfield of S . Then, for $m, k \geq 0$, and $n \geq 1$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{H}\left(\bigvee_{i=0}^k T^{-i}B\right) &\leq \bar{H}\left(\bigvee_{i=0}^k T^{-i}B \vee \bigvee_{j=0}^{m+k} T^{-j}A\right) \\ &= \bar{H}\left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{m+k} T^{-j}A_n\right) + \bar{H}\left(\bigvee_{i=0}^k T^{-i}B / \bigvee_{j=0}^{m+k} T^{-j}A_n\right), \end{aligned}$$

by (3) and (2). Now the second term in the sum above is dominated by

$$\sum_{i=0}^k \bar{H}\left(T^{-i}B / \bigvee_{j=0}^{m+k} T^{-j}A_n\right),$$

according to (6), and this is less than or equal to

$$\sum_{i=0}^k \bar{H}\left(T^{-i}B / \bigvee_{j=i}^{m+i} T^{-j}A_n\right),$$

according to (7). Now for any pair of subfields \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} of \mathbf{S} , $T^{-1}(\mathbf{A} \vee \mathbf{B}) = T^{-1}\mathbf{A} \vee T^{-1}\mathbf{B}$, therefore

$$\bigvee_{j=i}^{m+i} T^{-j}\mathbf{A}_n = T^{-i} \left(\bigvee_{j=0}^m T^{-j}\mathbf{A}_n \right).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{H} \left(\bigvee_{i=0}^k T^{-i}\mathbf{B} \bigg/ \bigvee_{j=0}^{m+k} T^{-j}\mathbf{A}_n \right) &\leq \sum_{i=0}^k \overline{H} \left(T^{-i}\mathbf{B} \bigg/ T^{-i} \bigvee_{j=0}^m T^{-j}\mathbf{A}_n \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^k \overline{H} \left(\mathbf{B} \bigg/ \bigvee_{j=0}^m T^{-j}\mathbf{A}_n \right) \\ &= (k+1) \overline{H} \left(\mathbf{B} \bigg/ \bigvee_{j=0}^m T^{-j}\mathbf{A}_n \right), \end{aligned}$$

by (5). Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{k+1} \overline{H} \left(\bigvee_{i=0}^k T^{-i}\mathbf{B} \right) &\leq \frac{m+k}{1+k} \cdot \frac{1}{m+k} \cdot \overline{H} \left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{m+k} T^{-j}\mathbf{A}_n \right) \\ &\quad + \overline{H} \left(\mathbf{B} \bigg/ \bigvee_{j=0}^m T^{-j}\mathbf{A}_n \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, letting $k \rightarrow \infty$,

$$h(T, \mathbf{B}) \leq h(T, \mathbf{A}_n) + \overline{H} \left(\mathbf{B} \bigg/ \bigvee_{j=0}^m T^{-j}\mathbf{A}_n \right),$$

and, letting $m \rightarrow \infty$,

$$h(T, \mathbf{B}) \leq h(T, \mathbf{A}_n) + \overline{H}(\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{B}_n), \text{ by (8).}$$

Finally, letting $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} h(T, \mathbf{B}) &\leq \limsup h(T, \mathbf{A}_n) + \overline{H} \left(\mathbf{B} \bigg/ \bigvee_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{B}_k \right) \\ &= \limsup h(T, \mathbf{A}_n), \text{ by (8) and (4).} \end{aligned}$$

It now follows that $h^*(T) = \sup h(T, \mathbf{A}_n) = \limsup h(T, \mathbf{A}_n)$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

4. An example. Let S denote the set of all infinite sequences of zeros and ones, with uniform product measure (see [3, p. 5]), and let n be an integer greater than one. If $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is a polynomial over GF2, then f induces a mapping, f_∞ , of S into S , defined as follows: if $y = \{y_m\}$, $m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$, then $f_\infty(y) = z$ where $z = \{z_m\}$, and

$z_m = f(y_m, y_{m+1}, \dots, y_{m+n-1})$, $m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$. Concerning f_∞ , the following statements are valid:

- (a) f_∞ is a measurable transformation of S into S .
- (b) f_∞ is measure-preserving iff f_∞ is onto.
- (c) If $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = x_1 + g(x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}) + x_n$, where g is a polynomial over GF2, then:
 - (i) f_∞ is precisely 2^{n-1} to 1, and onto;
 - (ii) f_∞ is ergodic and strongly mixing;
 - (iii) the entropy of f_∞ is $(n-1) \log 2$.

The proof of (c) (iii) may be accomplished by using the theorem proved above (see [4]); however, since f_∞ is not invertible, Sinai's theorem cannot be used.

REFERENCES

1. Y. Sinai, *On the concept of entropy for a dynamical system*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 124 (1959), 768-771.
2. P. R. Halmos, *Entropy in ergodic theory*, Lecture Notes, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, 1959.
3. ———, *Lectures on ergodic theory*, Chelsea, New York, 1956.
4. J. D. Ferguson, *Some properties of mappings on sequence spaces*, Dissertation, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut, 1962.

INSTITUTE FOR DEFENSE ANALYSES