ON HAMILTONIAN CIRCUITS IN FINITE GRAPHS

C. ST. J. A. NASH-WILLIAMS

Let G be a finite graph with $n (\geq 3)$ vertices and no loops or multiple edges. Two vertices are adjacent if they are joined by an edge. The degree of a vertex v will be denoted by d(v). A way is an alternating sequence of distinct vertices and edges of G in which each pair of successive terms are incident and the first and last terms are vertices. The ith vertex of a way W will be denoted by w_i . A circuit is obtained from a way with more than two vertices whose first and last terms are adjacent by adding the edge joining them. The number of edges in a way or circuit is its length. A circuit of length n is Hamiltonian. Pósa [1] proved the following interesting theorem.

Suppose that G satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) for every positive integer k less than $\frac{1}{2}(n-1)$, the number of vertices of degree not exceeding k is less than k,
- (ii) the number of vertices of degree not exceeding $\frac{1}{2}(n-1)$ is less than or equal to $\frac{1}{2}(n-1)$.

Then G has a Hamiltonian circuit.

(We remark that Condition (ii) is contained in Condition (i) if n is even.)

This note presents a slightly different proof of Pósa's theorem, which avoids the construction of additional graphs.

Suppose that G satisfies (i) and (ii). If a component of G has r vertices, the degrees of these vertices cannot exceed r-1 and therefore $r > \frac{1}{2}n$ by (i). Therefore each component of G has more than $\frac{1}{2}n$ vertices and so G must be connected. Let m be the maximum of the lengths of the ways in G. Choose a way G of length G such that $G(w_1) + G(w_{m+1})$ is as large as possible. Let G be the set of all vertices G such that G is adjacent to G is not adjacent to any vertex not in G and hence is adjacent to G in G is not adjacent to any vertex not in G in

(1)
$$w_i, w_{i-1}, \cdots, w_1, w_{i+1}, w_{i+2}, \cdots, w_{m+1}$$

are the vertices of a way of length m, and therefore $d(w_i) \leq d(w_1)$ by the manner in which W was chosen. Hence the degrees of the $d(w_1)$ elements of S do not exceed $d(w_1)$ and therefore by (i), $d(w_1) \geq \frac{1}{2}(n-1)$.

Received by the editors January 29, 1965.

By a similar argument, $d(w_{m+1}) \ge \frac{1}{2}(n-1)$. Moreover, if $d(w_1)$ and $d(w_{m+1})$ were both $\frac{1}{2}(n-1)$, it would follow, since S has $d(w_1)$ elements with degrees not exceeding $d(w_1)$, that $S \cup \{w_{m+1}\}$ was a set of $\frac{1}{2}(n+1)$ vertices with degrees not exceeding $\frac{1}{2}(n-1)$, which is precluded by (ii). It follows that $d(w_1) + d(w_{m+1}) \ge n$. Therefore w_{m+1} is nonadjacent to at most $d(w_1)$ vertices, and, since w_{m+1} itself is one of these, the $d(w_1)$ elements of S include a vertex w_i adjacent to w_{m+1} .

For this value of i, let X be the way with vertex sequence (1). We note that $x_1 = w_i$ is adjacent to $x_{m+1} = w_{m+1}$. Then, if m were less than n-1, the connectedness of G would imply that some vertex v not in X was adjacent to a term x_j of X, which is impossible since in this event

$$v, x_j, x_{j+1}, \cdots, x_{m+1}, x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{j-1}$$

would be a way of length m+1. Therefore m=n-1 and X, together with the edge joining x_1 to x_{m+1} , contributes a Hamiltonian circuit of G.

I am indebted to Professor F. Harary for suggesting several improvements in this paper.

REFERENCE

1. L. Pósa, A theorem concerning Hamiltonian lines, Magyar Tud. Akad. Mat. Kutató Int. Közl. 7 (1962), 225-226.

University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada and University of Aberdeen, Scotland