

l-SEQUENCES FOR NONEMBEDDABLE FUNCTIONS

E. JABOTINSKY

Let Ω be the set of all analytic functions $f(z)$ which are regular for $z=0$ and for which $f(0)=0$ and $f'(0)=1$. Then, for $|z| < \rho$, $\rho > 0$:

$$(1) \quad f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{k=\infty} f_k z^k, \quad \text{with } f_1 = 1.$$

Consider the problem of embedding a given function $f(z)$ belonging to Ω in a continuous group of $f(s, z)$ (here s is the group parameter and $f(s, z)$ is considered as a function of z) satisfying:

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} f(1, z) &= f(z), \\ f(s, z) &\in \Omega \text{ (qua function of } z) \text{ for all real } s, \\ f[s, f(t, z)] &= f[(s + t), z] \text{ for all real } s \text{ and } t. \end{aligned}$$

The function $f(s, z)$ is the s -iterate of $f(z)$. If it exists for all real s (that is if conditions (2) are satisfied), it can be shown to be analytic in s [3]. It is then called the *analytic iterate* of $f(z)$.

There exist functions $f(z)$ belonging to Ω which have an analytic iterate. Such, for instance, is the function $f(z) = z/(1-z)$ for which $f(s, z) = z/(1-sz)$. However in 1958 I. N. Baker showed [1] that the function $f(z) = e^z - 1$, which also belongs to Ω , cannot be embedded in a continuous group $f(s, z)$.

It should be noted that for all functions $f(z)$ belonging to Ω there exists a formal power series:

$$(3) \quad f(s, z) = \sum_{k=1}^{k=\infty} f_k(s) z^k, \quad \text{with } f_1(s) = 1,$$

formally satisfying (2) but while for embeddable functions, like $f(z) = z/(1-z)$, the series (3) converges for any given complex s and sufficiently small $|z| > 0$, for nonembeddable functions like $f(z) = e^z - 1$, the corresponding series, for almost all s [3], converges only for $z=0$. However even in this case the series for $f(s, z)$ converges for positive $|z|$ at least for all integer values of s .

It follows that the set Ω splits into two disjoint sets A and B , the set A consisting of all the functions of Ω which are embeddable in a continuous group and the set B of all the nonembeddable ones.

The sequence of coefficients $\{f_k\}$ of $f(z)$ in (1) (note that $f_1=1$)

Received by the editors October 15, 1965.

generates a sequence of numbers $\{l_k\}$ called the *l-sequence* of $f(z)$ [4]. This *l-sequence* has the property [3] that:

(a) if $f(z)$ belongs to A then the series:

$$(4) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{k=\infty} l_k z^{k+1} = L(z)$$

converges for $|z| < r, r > 0$ and represents an analytic function (actually $L(z) = \partial f(s, z) / \partial s |_{s=0}$);

(b) if $f(z)$ belongs to B then the series in (4) has a zero radius of convergence and the function $L(z)$ does not exist.

It is the purpose of this paper to study the sequences $\{l_k\}$ in the case when $f(z)$ belongs to B .

Our results can be summarized in the following two theorems:

THEOREM I. *If $f(z) \in B$ then:*

$$(5) \quad \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} |l_k|^{1/k} = \infty$$

and:

$$(6) \quad \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} |l_k|^{1/k} < \infty.$$

THEOREM II. *If $f(z) \in B$ and if all the l_k are real then it is not true that one of the following inequalities holds for all k :*

$$(7) \quad l_k \geq 0, l_k \leq 0, (-1)^k l_k \geq 0, (-1)^k l_k \leq 0.$$

PROOF. The equation (5) results from the fact that when $f(z)$ belongs to B , the series (4) for $L(z)$ has a zero radius of convergence.

By a previous remark, if j is a positive integer, the j -iterate $f(j, z)$ of $f(z)$ exists.

Let:

$$f(j, z) = \sum_{k=1}^{k=\infty} f_k^{(j)} z^k.$$

In [5] p. 462 the l_k are given by the formula:¹

$$(8) \quad l_k = \sum_{j=1}^{j=k} \frac{(-1)^j}{j} C_{k,j} f_{k+1}^{(j)}.$$

As this sum has only k terms and as $C_{k,j} \leq 2^k$, it follows that:

¹ Remark. Note that in [5, Equation 3.4, p. 462], there is an obvious misprint: the summation should start from $q=1$ and not from $q=0$.

$$(9) \quad |l_k| \leq k \cdot 2^k \cdot \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} |f_{k+1}^{(j)}|.$$

To estimate $\max_{1 \leq j \leq k} |f_{k+1}^{(j)}|$ we note that as $f(z)$ belongs to Ω it has a positive radius of convergence. Since, moreover, $f_1 = 1$, there exists a finite $c > 0$ such that $|f_k| \leq c^{k-1}$. The function $f(z)$ is thus majorized by the function $z/(1 - cz)$. It easily follows that the j -iterate of $f(z)$ is majorized by the j -iterate of $z/(1 - cz)$, which is $z/(1 - jc z)$. Hence

$$|f_{k+1}^{(j)}| \leq (jc)^k \quad \text{and} \quad \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} |f_{k+1}^{(j)}| \leq (kc)^k,$$

so that, finally:

$$|l_k| \leq k \cdot 2^k \cdot (ck)^k,$$

and:

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} |l_k|^{1/k} \leq 2c < \infty,$$

which is (6).

To prove Theorem II we note than, in [5, p. 465] the f_k are given by a formula of the type:

$$f_k = l_{k-1} + P_k(l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{k-1}),$$

where p_k is a polynomial with nonnegative coefficients. Hence, if all $l_k \geq 0$, then $f_k \geq l_{k-1}$ for all k . But the f_k are the coefficients of the power series for $f(z)$ which has a positive radius of convergence so that the series for $L(z)$ has then also a positive radius of convergence, which contradicts the assumption that $f(z)$ belongs to B . Hence not all the l_k are nonnegative.

Next, if $\{l_k\}$ is the l -sequence for $f(z)$, then the sequences $\{-l_k\}$, $\{(-1)^k l_k\}$ and $\{(-1)^{k+1} l_k\}$ are respectively the l -sequences for the functions $f^{-1}(z)$ (the inverse function of $f(z)$), $-f(-z)$ and $-f^{-1}(-z)$. If either of these functions were to belong to A , then $f(z)$ would also belong to A , contrary to our assumption. It follows, as above, that none of the sequences $\{-l_k\}$, $\{(-1)^k l_k\}$ and $\{(-1)^{k+1} l_k\}$ can be nonnegative, which completes the proof.

Theorems I and II show that the l -sequence for nonembeddable functions, even when real, are most unwieldy. Yet many of the usual functions of Ω are nonembeddable. Baker showed this for $e^z - 1$ in 1958 [7]; Lewine, in his M.Sc. Thesis in 1960 [6] [7], showed this for $z + z^2$ and for $z/(1 - z)^2$; Szekeres showed in 1964 [8] that all entire functions and all rational functions except $z/(1 - cz)$ are non-

embeddable. Finally Baker showed this in 1964 [2] for all meromorphic functions (again except $z/(1-cz)$). On the other hand l -sequences appear in the theory of conformal mapping, schlichtness [5] and, possibly, in other problems. The configuration space of these sequences may prove to be important in these problems, yet very little can be said about it. Not a single explicit example of an l -sequence for a nonembeddable function has been exhibited.

Added in proof. It has been brought to our attention that P. C. Rosenbloom proved in *Communications du seminaire mathematique de l'université de Lund, tome supplementaire* (1952) *dedie a Marcel Riesz*, pp. 186–192, that no function of the type $F(z) = z + z^2 I^{G(z)}$ ($G(z)$ an entire function) is the iterate of $[f(z)]$ of an entire function $f(z)$. As it can be easily shown that no entire function of Ω is the iterate of a nonentire function of Ω , this seems to be the first proof on record of the existence of function of type B .

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. I. N. Baker, *Zusammensetzung ganzer Funktionen*, Math. Z. **69** (1958), 121–163.
2. ———, *Fractional iteration near a fixpoint of multiplier 1*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **4** (1964), 143–148.
3. P. Erdős and E. Jabotinsky, *On analytic iteration*, J. Analyse Math. **8** (1960/1961), 361–376.
4. E. Jabotinsky, *On iterational invariants*, Technion. Israel Inst. Tech. Sci. Publ. **6** (1954/1955), 68–80.
5. ———, *Analytic iteration*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **108** (1963), 457–477.
6. M. Lewin, *Analytic iteration of certain analytic functions*, M.Sc. Thesis, Technion, Israel Inst. Tech., Haifa, 1960.
7. ———, *An example of a function with non-analytic iterates*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **5** (1965), 388–392.
8. G. Szekeres, *Fractional iteration of entire and rational functions*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **4** (1964), 129–142.

TECHNION, ISRAEL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, HAIFA