

ON k -SPACES AND FUNCTION SPACES

R. W. BAGLEY AND J. S. YANG

Let F be a family of continuous functions from a topological space X to a topological space Y . Denote by \mathcal{C} the compact-open topology on F . In this paper we consider the problem of when the product of two topological spaces is a k -space and the related question: When does compactness of (F, \mathcal{C}) or, more general, local compactness imply that \mathcal{C} is jointly continuous? It is shown that the product of a locally compact Hausdorff space with a Hausdorff k -space is a k -space. This is used to prove that \mathcal{C} is jointly continuous when (F, \mathcal{C}) is locally compact and X is a Hausdorff k -space. These results are combined with a theorem of R. Arens [1] to construct an example of two k -spaces whose product is not a k -space. We also prove a generalization of the Ascoli Theorem 7.21 [2, Theorem 21, Chapter 7]. In a remark following this theorem Kelley points out that it can be extended to k -spaces by weakening the condition on even continuity. We show that the theorem holds for Hausdorff k -spaces without alteration, Theorem 4. The same remark holds for [2, Theorem 7.17].

THEOREM 1. *If X is a locally compact Hausdorff space and Y is a Hausdorff k -space, then $X \times Y$ is a k -space.*

PROOF. Let C be a subset of $X \times Y$ which intersects every compact set in a closed set. Let $(x, y) \in \bar{C}$, V be a compact neighborhood of x and U any compact neighborhood of x contained in V . Define $T = \pi_1(C \cap (V \times \{y\}))$ and $S = \pi_2(C \cap (U \times Y))$ where π_1, π_2 are the projections into X, Y respectively. If A is any compact subset of Y , then $S \cap A = \pi_2(C \cap (U \times A))$. Thus, S is closed since Y is a k -space and Hausdorff. If W is a neighborhood of y , then $C \cap (U \times W) \neq \emptyset$ and $S \cap W = \pi_2(C \cap (U \times W)) \neq \emptyset$. Thus, it follows that $y \in S$ and $U \cap T \neq \emptyset$. Since T is closed and U was an arbitrary compact neighborhood of x contained in V , $x \in T$ and hence $(x, y) \in C$. The proof is complete.

LEMMA. *Let X and Y be Hausdorff spaces, $F \subset C(X, Y)$ and let τ be a topology on F which contains \mathcal{C} and such that $(F, \tau) \times X$ is a k -space. Then τ is jointly continuous for F .*

PROOF. Let C be a closed subset of Y and K a compact subset of

Received by the editors October 24, 1964 and, in revised form, September 21, 1965.

$(F, \tau) \times X$. Let $M = K \cap P^{-1}(C)$ and $(f, x) \notin M$, where P is the evaluation mapping of $F \times X$ into Y . If $(f, x) \notin K$, then obviously $(f, x) \notin \overline{M}$. Suppose $(f, x) \in K$ and $(f, x) \notin P^{-1}(C)$. Let $U = Y - C$ and K_X be the projection of K into X . There is a compact neighborhood N of x relative to K_X such that $f(N) \subset U$ and $P([N, U] \times N) \subset U$, where $[N, U] = \{g \in F \mid g(N) \subset U\} \in \mathcal{C} \subset \tau$. Thus, $([N, U] \times N) \cap P^{-1}(C) = \emptyset$. It follows that (f, x) is not in the closure, relative to $(F, \tau) \times K_X$, of M . But, since $M \subset K \subset F \times K_X$, we have $(f, x) \notin \overline{M}$. Since $F \times X$ is a k -space, $P^{-1}(C)$ is closed and the proof is complete.

The product of two k -spaces need not be a k -space. As a matter of fact the example below shows that, even if one of the spaces is metric, the product need not be a k -space. We have not been able to settle the question whether the product of two hereditary k -spaces is a k -space.

EXAMPLE. Let X be the dual space of an infinite dimensional Fréchet space with the compact-open topology. As Warner [4, p. 267] points out, X is a hemicompact k -space which is not locally compact. Now $F = C(X, [0, 1])$ with the compact-open topology is metrizable, [4, Theorem 2]. Suppose $X \times F$ is a k -space. Then, by the Lemma the compact-open topology is jointly continuous. Since X is completely regular, it follows from [1, Theorem 3] that X is locally compact which is a contradiction, and consequently the product $X \times F$ is not a k -space. It follows from [3, Proposition 4] that $X \times F$ is paracompact.

The following is a generalization of (b) [1, p. 486]. (Cf. [4, Theorems 13 and 17].)

REMARK. If X is completely regular and $X \times C(X, [0, 1])$ is a k -space, where $C(X, [0, 1])$ has the compact-open topology, then X is locally compact.

PROOF. The proof is immediate using [1, Theorem 3] and the Lemma.

From Theorem 1 and the Lemma we have,

THEOREM 2. *If (F, \mathcal{C}) is locally compact, X a Hausdorff k -space and Y Hausdorff, then \mathcal{C} is jointly continuous for F .*

Using Theorem 2, we now have generalizations of [2, Theorem 7.17 and Theorem 7.21]. The proofs are the same as Kelley's by virtue of Theorem 2.

THEOREM 3. *Let X be a Hausdorff k -space and Y a Hausdorff uniform space. Let $F \subset C(X, Y)$. Then (F, \mathcal{C}) is compact if and only if*

(a) (F, \mathcal{C}) is closed.

- (b) $F(x)$ has compact closure for each $x \in X$.
- (c) F is equicontinuous.

THEOREM 4. Let X be a Hausdorff k -space and Y a regular Hausdorff space. Let $F \subset C(X, Y)$. Then (F, \mathcal{C}) is compact if and only if

- (a) (F, \mathcal{C}) is closed.
- (b) $F(x)$ has compact closure for each $x \in X$.
- (c) F is evenly continuous.

Added in proof. T. S. Wu has referred us to a paper of D. E. Cohen (*Spaces with weak topology*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. 5 (1954), 77–80) in which a theorem of J. H. C. Whitehead was used to obtain Theorem 1. The proof here is direct and simpler.

REFERENCES

1. R. Arens, *A topology for spaces of transformations*, Ann. of Math. (2) 47 (1946), 480–495.
2. J. Kelley, *General topology*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1955.
3. E. Michael, *A note on paracompact spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 4 (1953), 831–838.
4. Seth Warner, *The topology of compact convergence on continuous function spaces*, Duke Math. J. 25 (1958), 265–282.

UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI