

SPACES OF CONSTANCY OF CURVATURE OPERATORS¹

ALFRED GRAY

1. **Introduction.** There are three kinds of Riemannian manifolds whose study is facilitated by the fact that their curvature operators have a particularly nice form; these are flat spaces, spaces of constant [curvature, and spaces of constant] holomorphic curvature. It is natural, therefore, on a general Riemannian manifold M to study the distribution which assigns to each $m \in M$ the subspace of the tangent space M_m to M at m on which the curvature operator behaves like one of the above types. For example, let $\mathcal{R}(m) = \{x \in M_m \mid R_{xy} = 0 \text{ for all } y \in M_m\}$, where R_{xy} denotes the curvature operator. Chern and Kuiper [1] have proved that the distribution $m \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(m)$ is integrable and its integral manifolds are flat. Maltz [4] has shown that the integral manifolds are totally geodesic and investigated their completeness properties.

The subspace $\mathcal{R}(m)$ of M_m is called the *space of nullity* of the curvature operator at m . Similarly we define the *space of constancy* $\mathcal{R}_K(m)$ and the *space of holomorphic constancy* $\mathcal{H}_K(m)$ of the curvature operator at m . Here $\mathcal{R}_K(m)$ is the subspace of M_m on which the curvature operator has constant curvature K , and $\mathcal{H}_K(m)$ is the subspace on which it has constant holomorphic curvature $4K$. We prove that the distributions $m \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_K(m)$ and $m \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_K(m)$ are integrable. The integral submanifolds are totally geodesic, have constant or constant holomorphic curvature and possess the same completeness properties as in the flat case. Ôtsuki [6] has also considered the spaces of constancy $\mathcal{R}_K(m)$.

2. **Riemannian tensors.** Let M be a Riemannian manifold of class C^∞ ; we denote by $\mathcal{F}(M)$ the ring of differentiable real-valued functions on M and by $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ its derivation Lie algebra, which consists of the vector fields on M . The metric tensor field will be denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, the Riemannian connection by ∇_X ($X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$), and the curvature operator by R_{XY} ($X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$). If M is almost complex $J: \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$ will denote the almost complex structure. Since $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, R , and J are tensor fields, they determine tensors on each tangent space, which we denote by the same symbols. In order to unify our proofs we consider a special class of (1, 3) tensor fields.

Received by the editors October 4, 1965.

¹ This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant GP-3990.

(2.1) DEFINITION. A Riemannian tensor field on M is a tensor field A of type $(1, 3)$, which for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ we regard as an $\mathfrak{F}(M)$ -linear map $A_{XY}: \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$. It is required to have the following properties:

$$(2.1.1) \quad A_{XY} = -A_{YX},$$

$$(2.1.2) \quad \langle A_{XY}(Z), W \rangle = -\langle A_{XY}(W), Z \rangle,$$

$$(2.1.3) \quad \mathfrak{S}A_{XY}(Z) = 0,$$

$$(2.1.4) \quad \mathfrak{S}\nabla_X(A)_{YZ} = 0,$$

for $X, Y, Z, W \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, where \mathfrak{S} denotes the cyclic sum. If $\nabla_X(A)_{YZ} = 0$ for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ we say that A is *parallel*, and if $\nabla_X(A) = \alpha(X)A$ for some 1-form α we say that A is *recurrent*.

It is easy to see that (2.1.1)–(2.1.3) imply

$$(2.1.5) \quad \langle A_{XY}(Z), W \rangle = \langle A_{ZW}(X), Y \rangle \text{ for } X, Y, Z, W \in \mathfrak{X}(M).$$

If $\langle A_{XY}(X), Y \rangle = 0$ for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, then $A = 0$ (see Helgason [3, p. 68]). Also, it is clear that the Riemannian tensor fields are closed under addition and multiplication by real numbers.

(2.2) LEMMA. Let A be a Riemannian tensor. Then for $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ we have

$$\mathfrak{S}\{[\nabla_X, A_{YZ}] - A_{[X, Y]Z}\} = 0.$$

PROOF. We have $\nabla_X(Y) - \nabla_Y(X) = [X, Y]$ for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \mathfrak{S}\nabla_X(A)_{YZ} = \mathfrak{S}\{[\nabla_X, A_{YZ}] - A_{\nabla_X(Y)Z} - A_{Y\nabla_X(Z)}\} \\ &= \mathfrak{S}\{[\nabla_X, A_{YZ}] - A_{[X, Y]Z}\}. \end{aligned}$$

(2.3) DEFINITION. Let $m \in M$. We define

$$\mathfrak{Q}(m) = \{x \in M_m \mid A_{xy} = 0 \text{ for all } y \in M_m\},$$

and we denote by \mathfrak{Q} the distribution $m \rightarrow \mathfrak{Q}(m)$. (Here A_{xy} is the operator on M_m determined by A .) We call $\mathfrak{Q}(m)$ the *space of nullity* of A at m , \mathfrak{Q} the *field of nullity* of A , and $\dim \mathfrak{Q}(m)$ the *index of nullity* of A at m .

(2.4) THEOREM. Let U be an open subset of M on which the index of nullity of A is constant. Then the distribution \mathfrak{Q} is integrable on U .

PROOF. Let X and Y be a vector fields in \mathfrak{Q} . From (2.2) it follows that $[X, Y]$ is in \mathfrak{Q} .

It is clear that the curvature operator is a Riemannian tensor field; however, there are two other Riemannian tensors that we shall be particularly interested in, namely B and D , defined as follows:

$$B_{XY}(Z) = R_{XY}(Z) - K(\langle X, Z \rangle Y - \langle Y, Z \rangle X).$$

$$D_{XY}(Z) = R_{XY}(Z) - K(\langle X, Z \rangle Y - \langle Y, Z \rangle X \\ + \langle JX, Z \rangle JY - \langle JY, Z \rangle JX + 2\langle JX, Y \rangle JZ),$$

for $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, where K is a constant. The latter tensor field is defined if M is almost complex. It is not hard to verify that B is Riemannian, and if M is Kählerian, D is Riemannian. It can be shown [3], [7] that $B=0$ if and only if M has constant curvature K , and that $D=0$ if and only if M has constant holomorphic curvature $4K$.

We denote by \mathfrak{R}_K and \mathfrak{H}_K the fields of nullity of B and D respectively, and we call $\mathfrak{R}_K(m)$ and $\mathfrak{H}_K(m)$ the *spaces of constancy and holomorphic constancy* of the curvature operator at m . We shall be concerned only with the tensor fields B and D ; however, there are other interesting Riemannian tensor fields. For example, if M is locally symmetric (i.e., the curvature operator is parallel), the Weyl conformal tensor field is a parallel Riemannian tensor field, and by (2.4) its field of nullity is integrable.

3. Local properties of the integral manifolds. Let L be a Riemannian manifold isometrically imbedded in another Riemannian manifold M . Let $\bar{\mathfrak{X}}(L) = \{X|L|X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)\}$; then we write $\bar{\mathfrak{X}}(L) = \mathfrak{X}(L) \oplus \mathfrak{X}(L)^\perp$ where $\mathfrak{X}(L)^\perp$ is the collection of vector fields normal to L . Let $P: \bar{\mathfrak{X}}(L) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(L)$ be the natural projection. For $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(L)$ we denote the Riemannian connection and curvature operator of L by δ_X and r_{XY} respectively. The *configuration tensor* [2] of L in M is an $\mathfrak{F}(M)$ -linear map $t: \bar{\mathfrak{X}}(L) \times \bar{\mathfrak{X}}(L) \rightarrow \bar{\mathfrak{X}}(L)$ defined by $t_X(Y) = \nabla_X(Y) - \delta_X(Y)$ ($X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(L)$) and $t_X(Z) = P\nabla_X(Z)$ ($X \in \mathfrak{X}(L), Z \in \mathfrak{X}(L)^\perp$). Then $t_X(\mathfrak{X}(L)) \subseteq \mathfrak{X}(L)^\perp$, $t_X(\mathfrak{X}(L)^\perp) \subseteq \mathfrak{X}(L)$ for $X \in \mathfrak{X}(L)$, $t_X(Y) = t_Y(X)$ for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(L)$ and $\langle t_X(Z), W \rangle = -\langle t_X(W), Z \rangle$ for $W, X \in \mathfrak{X}(L), Z \in \mathfrak{X}(L)^\perp$. The configuration tensor vanishes if it vanishes on either $\mathfrak{X}(L)$ or $\mathfrak{X}(L)^\perp$, and so it is equivalent to the second fundamental form, which in our terminology would be the map $X \rightarrow t_X(Z)$ for $X \in \mathfrak{X}(L), Z \in \mathfrak{X}(L)^\perp$.

We now prove that the field of nullity of a Riemannian tensor is totally geodesic. First we state a lemma, the proof of which is obvious.

(3.1) LEMMA. *Let L be an integral manifold of \mathfrak{G} . Then if $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(L)^\perp$, $A_{XY}(Z) \in \mathfrak{X}(L)^\perp$.*

(3.2) THEOREM. *Let L be an integral manifold of \mathfrak{G} ; then L is totally geodesic.*

PROOF. Let $X \in \mathfrak{X}(L)$ and $Y, Z, U \in \mathfrak{X}(L)^\perp$. We first show that $t_X(A_{YZ}(U)) = 0$. Since $A_{YZ}(U) \in \mathfrak{X}(L)^\perp$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
P\mathfrak{S}_{XYZ}\nabla_X(A_{YZ}(U)) &= P\{\nabla_X(A_{YZ}(U)) + \nabla_Z(A_{XY}(U)) + \nabla_Y(A_{ZX}(U))\} \\
&= t_X(A_{YZ}(U)).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand by (2.2) and (3.1)

$$\begin{aligned}
P\mathfrak{S}_{XYZ}\nabla_X(A_{YZ}(U)) &= P\mathfrak{S}\{A_{YZ}(\nabla_X(U)) + A_{[X,Y]Z}(U)\} \\
&= A_{YZ}P\nabla_X(U) + A_{P[X,Y]Z}(U) + A_{P[Z,X]Y}(U) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Next we let $W \in \mathfrak{X}(L)$. Then $t_X(W) \in \mathfrak{X}(L)^\perp$ and so by (3.1) $A_{YZ}(t_X(W)) \in \mathfrak{X}(L)^\perp$; however, $\langle A_{YZ}(t_X(W)), U \rangle = \langle W, t_X(A_{YZ}(U)) \rangle = 0$ and so $t_X(W) \in \mathfrak{X}(L)$. Hence $t_X(W) = 0$; this proves that L is totally geodesic.

(3.3) COROLLARY. *Suppose L is an integral manifold of \mathfrak{R}_K or $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{C}_K$. Then L has constant curvature K or constant holomorphic curvature $4K$. If M is a Kähler manifold and M is an integral manifold of $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{C}_K$, then L is a Kähler submanifold of M .*

PROOF. The first statement follows from the Gauss equation (see [2]):

$$PR_{XY} = r_{XY} - [t_X, t_Y].$$

For the last statement let $X \in \mathfrak{X}(L)$, $Y \in \bar{\mathfrak{X}}(L)$. Then

$$D_{JXY} = JD_{XY} = 0,$$

and so $JX \in \mathfrak{X}(L)$; hence L is a Kähler submanifold of M .

4. The completeness of the integral manifolds. Let G be the set on which the index of nullity μ assumes its minimum value λ .

(4.1) PROPOSITION. *The function μ is upper semicontinuous, and the set G is open.*

PROOF. It suffices to prove that for any $m \in M$ there exists a neighborhood U of m such that $\mu(p) \leq \mu(m)$ for $p \in U$, but this is obvious.

We shall need the following lemma. Let $n = \text{dimension of } M$.

(4.2) LEMMA. *Let $\gamma: [0, b) \rightarrow L$ be a unit speed geodesic in an integral manifold L of \mathfrak{G} in G . Then there exists a frame field $\{e^1, \dots, e^n\}$ on γ such that:*

- (4.2.1) $e^i_{\gamma(t)} \in \mathfrak{G}(\gamma(t))$ ($1 \leq i \leq n, t \in [0, b)$).
- (4.2.2) $\gamma'(t) = e^1_{\gamma(t)}$ ($t \in [0, b)$).
- (4.2.3) *The frame field is parallel on γ .*

PROOF. We may assume (4.2.1)–(4.2.3) hold for $t=0$. The frame field is defined at an arbitrary $t \in [0, b]$ by parallel translation. It is obvious that (4.2.2) and (4.2.3) are satisfied, and (4.2.1) holds because the parallel translation takes place along the submanifold L .

(4.3) THEOREM. *Assume M is complete and that A is recurrent. Then each integral manifold L of \mathcal{G} in G is complete.*

PROOF. If $\lambda = n$ the proof is trivial, so we assume $\lambda < n$. Let $\gamma: [0, b] \rightarrow L$ be a unit speed geodesic. Since M is complete we may extend γ to a geodesic $\gamma: [0, \infty) \rightarrow M$. Let $\{e^1, \dots, e^n\}$ be a frame field on $\gamma| [0, b]$ which satisfies (4.2.1)–(4.2.3). Then we may define $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ at $\gamma(b)$ by parallel translation; (4.2.1)–(4.2.3) now hold for $\gamma| [0, b]$. We extend each e^i to a vector field E^i defined on a neighborhood N of $\gamma| [0, b]$. Also, let X, Y be vector fields on N such that $X_{\gamma(t)}, Y_{\gamma(t)} \in \mathcal{G}(\gamma(t))^\perp$ for $t \in [0, b]$ and X, Y are parallel on $\gamma| [0, b]$.

Let $\lambda + 1 \leq p, q, r \leq n$; we define $\Phi_{pq}: [0, b] \rightarrow R$ and $\Gamma_{pq}: [0, b] \rightarrow R$ by

$$\Phi_{pq} = \langle A_{E^p E^q}(X), Y \rangle \circ \gamma$$

By (2.1.4) and (4.2.1)–(4.2.3) it follows that

$$(4.3.1) \quad \Phi'_{pq} = 0.$$

Since the matrix (Φ_{pq}) is nonzero at 0, it follows from the theory of ordinary differential equations that (Φ_{pq}) cannot vanish at b . The vector fields X and Y are arbitrary and so $\mu(\gamma(b)) = \lambda$. Therefore $\gamma(b) \in G$ and so there exists $c > b$ such that $\gamma([0, c]) \subset G$. Hence every geodesic in L is infinitely extendable (in L) and so L is complete.

5. **Some examples.** (a) Consider the “dishpan surface,” the graph of the function $f: R^2 \rightarrow R$ defined by

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} -\exp\left(\frac{+1}{x^2 + y^2 - r^2}\right), & x^2 + y^2 < r^2, \\ 0, & x^2 + y^2 \geq r^2, \end{cases}$$

where $0 \leq r < \infty$. The index of nullity μ of the curvature operator of this surface is 0 on $G = \{(x, y) | x^2 + y^2 < r^2\}$ except for one circle and 2 on the complement of G . By choosing the function f differently the set G can be made to assume a variety of shapes; for example, polygonal regions or the complement of a finite set.

(b) The index of nullity of the Cartesian product of n dishpan surfaces assumes the values $0, 2, \dots, 2n$.

(c) Let F be a flat manifold of dimension k : for example, R^k or a k -dimensional flat torus T^k . If the index of nullity of a manifold M assumes its minimum value λ on $G \subset M$, then the index of nullity of the curvature operator assumes its minimum value $\lambda + k$ on $G \times F$. If $\lambda = 0$, the foliation of $G \times F$ consists of manifolds of the form $\{p\} \times F$ ($p \in M$).

(d) Let M be an n -dimensional manifold and let \mathcal{Q} be \mathcal{R}_K or \mathcal{H}_K , where $K \neq 0$. The author conjectures that the minimum value of the index of nullity of \mathcal{Q} is either 0 or n .

In the preparation of this paper the author has found conversations with B. O'Neill and R. Maltz helpful.

REFERENCES

1. S. S. Chern and N. H. Kuiper, *Some theorems on the isometric imbedding of compact Riemannian manifolds in Euclidean space*, Ann. of Math. (2) **56** (1952), 422-430.
2. A. Gray, *Minimal varieties and almost Hermitian submanifolds*, Mich. Math. J. **12** (1965), 273-287.
3. S. Helgason, *Differential geometry and symmetric spaces*. Academic Press, New York, 1958.
4. R. Maltz, *The nullity spaces of the curvature operator*, Thesis, University of California, Los Angeles, 1965.
5. B. O'Neill and E. Stiel, *Isometric immersions of constant curvature manifolds*, Mich. Math. J. **10** (1963), 335-339.
6. T. Ôtsuki, *Isometric imbedding of Riemann manifolds in a Riemann manifold*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **6** (1954), 221-234.
7. K. Yano, *The theory of Lie derivatives and its applications*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1957.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY