

# INVARIANCE OF THE HOMOLOGY OF A LATTICE<sup>1</sup>

JOHN MATHER

G.-C. Rota, D. Kan, F. Peterson, and G. Whitehead have constructed a homology theory for finite lattices. Their definition is given in terms of a choice of "cross-cut" for the given lattice; however it was conjectured by G.-C. Rota [4, p. 356] that the Betti numbers so obtained are independent of the choice of cross-cut. It is an immediate consequence of the theorem proved in this paper that this is so. Jon Folkman [2] has given an independent proof of the invariance of the homology of a lattice. He shows that the homology of any cross-cut is the same as the homology of the complex whose vertices are the elements of the lattice other than 0 or 1 and whose simplices are the totally ordered subsets of the vertices. His proof is valid for infinite as well as finite lattices.

As in [4], a *cross-cut* of a finite lattice is a subset  $C$  of  $L$  such that:

- (a)  $C$  contains neither 0 nor 1,
- (b) no two elements of  $C$  are comparable,
- (c) every chain stretched from 0 to 1 meets  $C$ .

A *spanning subset* of  $L$  is a subset whose join is 1 and whose meet is 0. Given a cross-cut  $C$  of  $L$ , we define a simplicial complex  $K(C, L)$ . The vertices of  $K(C, L)$  are the elements of  $C$ . The simplices of  $K(C, L)$  are the subsets of  $C$  which do not span  $L$ .

**THEOREM.** *If  $C$  and  $C'$  are two cross-cuts of  $L$ , then  $K(C, L)$  and  $K(C', L)$  have the same homotopy type.*

Let  $U_L$  (resp.  $V_L$ ) denote the cross-cut of  $L$  whose elements are the maximal (resp. minimal) elements in  $L - \{0, 1\}$ . If  $C$  is any cross-cut of  $L$ , we denote the first barycentric subdivision of  $K(C, L)$  by  $K'(C, L)$ , and define a map  $f_{C,L}$  from the set of vertices of  $K'(C, L)$  to the set of vertices of vertices of  $K'(U_L, L)$  as follows. If  $S$  is a vertex of  $K'(C, L)$  (in other words, a simplex of  $K(C, L)$ , i.e., a subset of  $C$  which does not span  $L$ ) then

$$\begin{aligned} f_{C,L}(S) &= \{x \in U_L: x \geq \vee S\} && \text{if } \vee S \neq 1, \\ &= \{x \in U_L: x \geq \wedge S\} && \text{if } \vee S = 1. \end{aligned}$$

To show that  $f_{C,L}(S)$  is really a vertex of  $K'(U_L, L)$ , we must show that it does not span  $L$ . If  $\vee S \neq 1$  then  $\wedge f_{C,L}(S) > \vee S > 0$ . If  $\vee S = 1$

---

Received by the editors December 17, 1964.

<sup>1</sup> This work was supported by the National Bureau of Standards.

then, since  $S$  does not span,  $\wedge S > 0$ . Hence,  $\wedge f_{C,L}(S) \geq \wedge S > 0$ .

We will prove our theorem by showing that  $f_{C,L}$  is a simplicial map and that its geometric realization (which will also be denoted by  $f_{C,L}$ ) is a homotopy equivalence.

LEMMA 1.  $f_{C,L}$  is a simplicial map.

PROOF. It suffices to show that if  $S$  and  $T$  are simplices of  $K(C, L)$  with  $S \subseteq T$ , then either  $f_{C,L}(S) \subseteq f_{C,L}(T)$  or  $f_{C,L}(T) \subseteq f_{C,L}(S)$ . There are two cases. If  $\forall T < 1$ , then  $\forall S < 1$  and it follows from  $\forall S \leq \forall T$  that  $f_{C,L}(T) \subseteq f_{C,L}(S)$ . If  $\forall T = 1$  then  $x \in f_{C,L}(S)$  implies  $x \geq \wedge S \geq \wedge T$  and hence  $x \in f_{C,L}(T)$ . Hence  $f_{C,L}(S) \subseteq f_{C,L}(T)$  in this case.

The proof that  $f_{C,L}$  is a homotopy equivalence will be by induction on

$$p(C, L) = \text{card}\{x \in L: \exists y \in C, x < y\}.$$

*Basis for the induction:*  $p(C, L) = 1$ . (This is a special case of Theorem 1 of [1].) In this case  $C = V_L$ , and the map  $f = f_{C,L}$  is given by

$$f(S) = \{x \in U_L: x \geq \forall S\}$$

for any vertex  $S$  of  $K'(V_L, L)$ . Dually there is a simplicial map

$$g: K'(U_L, L) \rightarrow K'(V_L, L)$$

given by

$$g(S) = \{x \in V_L: x \leq \wedge S\}$$

for any vertex  $S$  of  $K'(U_L, L)$ .

Observe that there is a partial order ( $\leq$ ) on the vertices of the barycentric subdivision  $K'$  of any simplicial complex  $K$ , induced by the inclusion relation between simplices of  $K$  via the correspondence between simplices of  $K$  and vertices of  $K'$ . In this notation it is easily seen that if  $S$  and  $T$  are vertices of  $K'(U_L, L)$  and  $S \leq T$  then

$$(1) \quad S \leq fg(S) \leq fg(T).$$

We define a homotopy

$$h: |K'(U_L, L)| \times I \rightarrow |K(U_L, L)|$$

by setting

$$h(x, t) = t \cdot fg(x) + (1 - t) \cdot x, \quad x \in |K'(U_L, L)|, \quad t \in I.$$

Clearly if the definition of  $h$  is meaningful, then  $h$  is a homotopy connecting  $fg$  and the identity. In order to show that the definition makes sense, we must show that for any  $x \in |K'|$  there is a simplex in  $K$

whose geometric realization contains both  $x$  and  $fg(x)$ . To see this, let  $\sigma = \langle V_1, \dots, V_n \rangle$  be a simplex of  $K'$  whose geometric realization contains  $x$ . Suppose the  $V$ 's are ordered so that  $V_1 \leq V_2 \leq \dots \leq V_n$ . Let  $\tau$  be the simplex of  $K$  corresponding to  $fg(V_n)$ . Since  $V_i \leq fg(V_n)$  and  $fg(V_i) \leq fg(V_n)$ , by (1),  $V_i \in |\tau|$  and  $fg(V_i) \in |\tau|$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Hence,  $|\sigma| \subseteq |\tau|$  and since  $f$ , as a map from  $|K'|$  to  $|K|$ , is linear,  $fg[|\sigma|] \subseteq |\tau|$ . In particular  $x \in |\tau|$  and  $fg(x) \in |\tau|$ . Hence,  $fg \sim 1$ . Similarly  $gf \sim 1$ .

*Inductive step:*  $p(C, L) > 1$ . The proof of the inductive step is based upon the following lemma which will be proved at the end of the paper.

LEMMA 2. *Let  $X \cup Y$  and  $Z \cup W$  be simplicial complexes such that  $X, Y, Z, W$  are subcomplexes. Let  $F: X \cup Y \rightarrow Z \cup W$  be a simplicial map such that*

$$(2) \quad F[X] \subseteq Z, \quad F[Y] \subseteq W,$$

and also such that

$$(3) \quad F_1 = F|X: X \rightarrow Z,$$

$$(4) \quad F_2 = F|Y: Y \rightarrow W,$$

$$(5) \quad F_3 = F|X \cap Y: X \cap Y \rightarrow Z \cap W,$$

are homotopy equivalences. Then  $F$  is a homotopy equivalence.

Since  $p(C, L) > 1$  there is an  $x \in V_L - C$ . Let

$$L_1 = L - \{x\},$$

$$L_2 = \{y \in L: y \geq x\}.$$

The partial order on  $L$  induces partial orders on  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  in which  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are lattices. The zero of  $L_2$  is  $x$ . Let  $C_2 = C \cap L_2$ . Let  $\sigma$  be the closed simplex on  $C_2$ , i.e., the simplicial complex whose vertices are the members of  $C_2$  and whose simplices are all subsets of  $C_2$ . Similarly let  $\tau$  be the closed simplex on  $U_{L_2}$ . Then  $\sigma \subseteq K(C, L)$  and  $\tau \subseteq K(U_{L_2}, L)$ . It is easily verified that

$$(6) \quad K(C, L) = K(C, L_1) \cup \sigma,$$

$$(7) \quad K(U_L, L) = K(U_L, L_1) \cup \tau,$$

$$(8) \quad K(C_2, L_2) = K(C, L_1) \cap \sigma,$$

$$(9) \quad K(U_{L_2}, L_2) = K(U_L, L_1) \cap \tau,$$

and

- (10)  $f_{C,L}[|K(C, L_1)|] = f_{C,L}[|K'(C, L_1)|]$   
 $\subseteq |K'(U_L, L_1)| = |K(U_L, L_1)|,$
- (11)  $f_{C,L}[|\sigma|] = f_{C,L}[|\sigma'|] \subseteq |\tau'| = |\tau|,$
- (12)  $f_{C,L}[|K(C, L_1)|] = f_{C,L_1},$
- (13)  $f_{C,L}[|K(C, L_1)| \cap |\sigma|] = f_{C_2,L_2}.$

Set  $|K(C, L_1)| = X, |\sigma| = Y, |K(U_L, L_1)| = Z$  and  $|\tau| = W$ . Let  $F = f_{C,L}$ . Then  $F$  maps  $X \cup Y$  to  $Z \cup W$ , by (6) and (7), and  $F, X, Y, Z, W$  satisfy (2), by (10) and (11). Since  $p(C, L_1) < p(C, L)$  and  $p(C_2, L_2) < p(C, L)$  it follows from the inductive hypothesis that  $f_{C,L_1}$  and  $f_{C_2,L_2}$  are homotopy equivalences. Hence by (12),  $F_1$  (defined by (3)) is a homotopy equivalence. Also by (8), (9), and (13),  $F_3$  (defined by (5)) is a homotopy equivalence. Finally  $Y = |\sigma|$  and  $W = |\tau|$  are contractible, so  $F_2$  is a homotopy equivalence. Hence, by Lemma 3,  $F = f_{C,L}$  is a homotopy equivalence.

PROOF OF LEMMA 2. Let  $G: P \rightarrow Q$  be any continuous map. Let  $M(G)$  denote the mapping cylinder of  $G$ , namely  $(P \times I) \cup Q/E$  where  $E$  is the equivalence relation generated by the relations  $(x, 1)EG(x)$  for all  $x \in P$ . To prove Lemma 2 we will need the following lemma.

LEMMA 3. *If  $G: P \rightarrow Q$  is a cellular map of CW complexes then  $G$  is a homotopy equivalence if and only if  $P \times \{0\}$  is a deformation retract of  $M(G)$ .*

To say  $P \times \{0\}$  is a deformation retract of  $M(G)$  is to say that there is a deformation retraction of  $M(G)$  on  $P \times \{0\}$ , i.e., a map

$$H: M(G) \times I \rightarrow M(G)$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} H(x, 0) &= x, & x \in M(G), \\ H(x, t) &= x, & x \in P, \quad t \in I, \\ H(x, 1) &\in P, & x \in M(G). \end{aligned}$$

The "if" part of Lemma 3 is straightforward. The "only if" part follows from the fact that if  $G$  is a homotopy equivalence then  $\pi_r(M(G), P \times \{0\}) = 0, r = 1, 2, \dots$ , by the homotopy exact sequence, and from Theorem 1.7 of Chapter VII of [2] and the comment following it.

Returning to the proof of Lemma 2, it follows from Lemma 3 that there is a deformation retraction  $H_3$  of  $M(F_3)$  on  $(X \cap Y) \times \{0\}$ . By two applications of the homotopy extension property of CW complexes it follows that there is an extension

$$H'_3; M(F) \times I \rightarrow M(F)$$

of  $H_3$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} H'_3(x, 0) &= x, & x \in M(F), \\ H'_3(x, t) &= x, & x \in (X \cup Y) \times \{0\}, \quad t \in I, \\ H'_3(M(F_1) \times I) &\subseteq M(F_1), \\ H'_3(M(F_2) \times I) &\subseteq M(F_2). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3 there are deformation retractions  $H_1$  of  $M(F_1)$  on  $X \times \{0\}$  and  $H_2$  of  $M(F_2)$  on  $Y \times \{0\}$ . We define a homotopy  $H: M(F) \times I \rightarrow M(F)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} H(x, t) &= H'_3(x, 2t), & x \in M(F), & \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1/2, \\ H(x, t) &= H_1(H'_3(x, 1), 2t - 1), & x \in M(F_1), & \quad 1/2 \leq t \leq 1, \\ H(x, t) &= H_2(H'_3(x, 1), 2t - 1), & x \in M(F_2), & \quad 1/2 \leq t \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

It is easily verified that  $H$  is well defined and is a deformation retraction on  $(X \cup Y) \times \{0\}$ . Hence, by Lemma 3,  $H$  is a homotopy equivalence.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. C. H. Dowker, *Homology groups of relations*, Ann. of Math. **56** (1952), 84–95.
2. J. Folkman, *The homology groups of a lattice*, Rand Corp. Report, 1964.
3. P. J. Hilton, *An introduction to homotopy theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1953.
4. G.-C. Rota, *On the foundations of combinatorial theory*. I, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete **2** (1964), 340–368.

PRINCETON UNIVERSITY