

ON FILIPPOV'S IMPLICIT FUNCTIONS LEMMA¹

E. J. MCSHANE AND R. B. WARFIELD, JR.

In 1959 A. F. Filippov published a paper [1] containing a lemma designed for use in the study of optimal control problems. Stated somewhat imprecisely, let k be a continuous function on a compact set Q in a finite dimensional space and with values in a finite dimensional space, and let $(u'(t): a \leq t \leq b)$ be a function with values in Q such that $k(u'(\cdot))$ is measurable; then there exists a measurable function u from $[a, b]$ to Q such that $k(u(t)) = k(u'(t))$.

For purposes of stochastic control theory it is desirable to extend this to allow arbitrary measure spaces, instead of intervals of real numbers (Kushner [4]); for purposes of the calculus of variations it is desirable to relax the requirement of compactness on Q . We do both of these (Q may be any separable metric space), and at the same time we permit the values of k to lie in any Hausdorff space; it costs nothing. In §2 we give an application to optimal control theory.

ADDED IN PROOF. In an abstract in Amer. Math. Monthly 73 (1966), p. 927, M. Q. Jacobs announces a generalization of Filippov's lemma. This generalization is a special case of our Theorem 1.

1. Definitions and first theorem. If \mathfrak{N} is a σ -ring of subsets of a set M , and S is a topological space, a function $g: M_0 \rightarrow S$ from a set M_0 of the class \mathfrak{N} to S is called measurable if the inverse image of every compact set in S belongs to \mathfrak{N} .

THEOREM 1. *Let M be a measure space, A a Hausdorff space, and Q a topological space which is the union of a countable number of compact metrizable subsets. Let $k: Q \rightarrow A$ be continuous, and $y: M \rightarrow A$ a measurable function such that $y(M) \subseteq k(Q)$. Then there exists a measurable function $u: M \rightarrow Q$ such that*

$$k(u(x)) = y(x) \quad \text{for all } x \text{ in } M.$$

PROOF. We proceed through a number of special cases.

Case 1. Let Q be a closed subset of the right half line $[0, \infty)$. This set Q we also call L , for convenience later, and we construct a measurable function $T: M \rightarrow L$ such that $k \circ T = y$.

Received by the editors June 21, 1966.

¹ This research was carried out while one author (E. J. McS.) was Principal Investigator on Army Research Office grant ARO-D-31-124-G662, and one (R. B. W. Jr.) was a National Science Foundation fellow.

For each nonnegative integer q we partition $k(L)$ into a disjoint union of differences of compact sets, $B_j^q, j = 1, 2, \dots$. We define the sets B_j^q as follows:

$$B_j^q = k(L \cap [0, j \cdot 2^{-q}]) - k(L \cap [0, (j - 1) \cdot 2^{-q}]).$$

For each $x \in M$ and each nonnegative integer q , set

$$T_q(x) = \inf k^{-1}(B_j^q),$$

where j is that integer for which $y(x) \in B_j^q$. This function is trivially measurable, since it takes on only the countable set of values $\inf k^{-1}(B_j^q), j = 1, 2, \dots$, and the inverse images of these sets B_j^q are measurable.

We claim now that the T_q are an increasing sequence converging to our desired function T .

The sequence is increasing since for each x and each q there are integers i, j such that $y(x)$ belongs to B_j^q and B_i^{q+1} ; in fact we have $i = 2j - 1$ or $i = 2j$. By definition

$$(1) \quad B_i^{q+1} \subseteq B_j^q.$$

Since $T_{q+1}(x) = \inf k^{-1}(B_i^{q+1})$ and $T_q(x) = \inf k^{-1}(B_j^q)$ we have $T_{q+1}(x) \geq T_q(x)$.

For each x , the sequence $T_q(x)$ is bounded above, for if $x \in k^{-1}(B_j^0)$ then $T_q(x) \leq j$ for all q . Hence T_q converges to a measurable function T with values in L (since L is closed).

We finally claim that $k \circ T = y$. If this is false, then for some $x \in M$ there exists an open subset U of A such that $k(T(x)) \in U, y(x) \notin U$. Since k is continuous, $k^{-1}(U)$ contains some neighborhood of $T(x)$. Therefore there is some q and some j such that

$$T(x) \in L \cap ((j - 1) \cdot 2^{-q}, j \cdot 2^{-q}]$$

and

$$L \cap [(j - 1) \cdot 2^{-q}, j \cdot 2^{-q}] \subseteq k^{-1}(U).$$

This implies that $T_q(x) = \inf k^{-1}(B_j^q)$ for this j . (Otherwise, by (1), we would have for all $n \geq q, T_n(x) \leq (j - 1) \cdot 2^{-q}$, while $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n(x) = T(x) > (j - 1) \cdot 2^{-q}$.) Since for this $j, B_j^q \subseteq U$, we have, by the definition of T_q , that $y(x) \in B_j^q \subseteq U$, giving a contradiction. This completes the proof of Case 1.

Case 2. We now let Q be any space such that there is a closed subset L of $[0, \infty)$ and a continuous map $\phi: L \rightarrow Q$, taking L onto Q . We then have the following picture:

$$L \xrightarrow{\phi} Q \xrightarrow{k} A \xleftarrow{y} M,$$

where k and ϕ are continuous and y is measurable, and $y(M) \subseteq k(Q) = k(\phi(L))$. By Case 1, there is a measurable function $T: M \rightarrow L$ so that $(k \circ \phi) \circ T = y$. We set $u = \phi \circ T$ and claim that this is our desired function. We have $y = k \circ u$ immediately, since $y = k \circ \phi \circ T$. u is also measurable for, if C is a compact subset of Q , $\phi^{-1}(C)$ is closed in L and hence is a countable union of compact subsets of L (namely the sets $L \cap [0, n] \cap \phi^{-1}(C)$). $T^{-1}(\phi^{-1}(C))$ is therefore measurable, and this is exactly the desired statement that $u^{-1}(C)$ is measurable.

Case 3. We now prove the theorem as stated. Let K_1, K_2, \dots be a sequence of compact metrizable sets whose union is Q . Since every compact metric space is the continuous image of the Cantor discontinuum [2, Theorem 3.28], for each positive integer n there is a closed subset L_n of $[2n-1, 2n]$ (a translate of the Cantor set) and a continuous function $\phi_n: L_n \rightarrow K_n$ whose range is K_n . Define $L = \bigcup L_n$, and define ϕ to be the function on L which coincides with ϕ_n on L_n . Now the hypotheses of Case 2 are satisfied and the proof is complete.

2. An application. We give an application of Theorem 1 to optimal control theory. Let B be a subset of R^n and C^* a Hausdorff space, and let f^1, \dots, f^n be continuous real-valued functions on $R \times B \times C^*$. An *admissible control function* is a measurable function $v: [a, b] \rightarrow C^*$, where $[a, b]$ is an interval in R ; a *trajectory* corresponding to this control is an absolutely continuous function $x: [a, b] \rightarrow B$ such that

$$x^{i'}(t) = f^i(t, x(t), v(t)) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

for almost all t in $[a, b]$.

Two generalizations of this have been considered in optimal control theory and our application concerns the relation between them. For each (t, x) in $R \times B$, let $K(t, x)$ be the smallest convex set in R^n that contains the set

$$(2) \quad \{(f^1(t, x, v), \dots, f^n(t, x, v)) : v \in C^*\}.$$

We almost, but not quite, follow J. Warga ([7], [4]) in defining a *relaxed admissible curve* to be an absolute continuous function $x: [a, b] \rightarrow B$ such that for almost all t in $[a, b]$

$$(3) \quad x'(t) \in K(t, x).$$

(Warga's definition has the closure of $K(t, x)$ in the right member of (3), which in the especially important case of compact C^* makes no difference.)

Let \mathcal{P} be the set of probability measures defined on the σ -algebra of Borel subsets of C^* . A *relaxed control function* ([5], [6], [9]) is a func-

tion $(P_t: a \leqq t \leqq b)$ from $[a, b]$ to \mathcal{P} such that for all bounded continuous functions $(\phi(t, v): a \leqq t \leqq b, v \in C^*)$ the function whose value at t is

$$(4) \quad \int_{C^*} \phi(t, v) P_t(dv)$$

is measurable on $[a, b]$. A trajectory corresponding to this relaxed control is an absolutely continuous function $x: [a, b] \rightarrow B$ such that for almost all t in $[a, b]$ the functions $(f^i(t, x(t), v): v \in C^*)$ are P_t -integrable over C^* , and

$$(5) \quad x^i(t) = \int_{C^*} f^i(t, x(t), v) P_t(dv).$$

Since the point whose i th coordinate is the right member of (5) is in $K(t, x(t))$, every trajectory corresponding to a relaxed control is a relaxed admissible curve. We now prove a partial converse.

THEOREM 2. *If C^* is the union of a countable set K_1, K_2, K_3, \dots of compact metrizable sets, every relaxed admissible curve $(x(t): a \leqq t \leqq b)$ is a trajectory corresponding to a relaxed control function, and more specifically to a relaxed control function $(P_t: a \leqq t \leqq b)$ such that for each t there is a compact subset K_t of C^* for which $P_t(C^* - K_t) = 0$.*

This theorem generalizes Theorem 4.1 of [7].

For $q = 1, 2, \dots$, let \mathcal{P}_q be the set of those probability measures P on Borel subsets of C^* for which $P(C^* - K_q) = 0$. Then the union \mathcal{P}_0 of the \mathcal{P}_q is contained in \mathcal{P} .

For each q there is a countable set $\{\phi_{q,1}, \phi_{q,2}, \dots\}$ of continuous functions from K_q to R which is dense in the unit ball of the space $C(K_q)$ of all such functions [3, p. 245]. For each pair P', P'' of members of \mathcal{P}_q we define

$$\rho_q(P', P'') = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-j} \left| \int_{K_q} \phi_{q,j}(v) P'(dv) - \int_{K_q} \phi_{q,j}(v) P''(dv) \right|.$$

This is a metric on \mathcal{P}_q . Convergence of $\rho_q(P^{(n)}, P^{(0)})$ to 0, $(P^{(n)}, P^{(0)} \in \mathcal{P}_q)$ is equivalent to convergence of $\int_{K_q} \phi(v) P^{(n)}(dv)$ to $\int_{K_q} \phi(v) P^{(0)}(dv)$ for all ϕ in the set $\{\phi_{q,1}, \phi_{q,2}, \dots\}$, hence for all ϕ in $C(K_q)$. Given any sequence $P^{(1)}, P^{(2)}, \dots$ in \mathcal{P}_q , by the diagonal process we can choose a subsequence (for which we retain the same notation) such that the limits

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{K_q} \phi_{q,j}(v) P^{(n)}(dv) \quad (j = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

exist. It follows at once that the limit

$$I(\phi) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{K_q} \phi(v) P^{(n)}(dv)$$

exists for all ϕ in $C(K_q)$; it is linear, is nonnegative, if $\phi \geq 0$, and is 1 if $\phi = 1$. Hence by the Riesz representation theorem there is a measure $P^{(0)}$ in \mathcal{O}_q for which

$$I(\phi) = \int_{K_q} \phi(v) P^{(0)}(dv).$$

Then $\lim \rho_q(P^{(n)}, P^{(0)}) = 0$, so \mathcal{O}_q is compact.

We shall need the following fact.

(6) If $\phi: [a, b] \times K_q \rightarrow R$ is continuous, the function $\Phi_\phi: [a, b] \times P_q \rightarrow R$ whose value at (t, P) is $\int_{K_q} \phi(t, v) P(dv)$ is continuous.

Since ϕ is uniformly continuous, $\Phi_\phi(\tau, P')$ is uniformly continuous on $[a, b]$ for each fixed P' in \mathcal{O}_q . By definition of ρ_q , it is continuous in P' for each fixed t in $[a, b]$. Hence (6) holds.

Now we topologize \mathcal{O}_0 with the topology generated by the \mathcal{O}_q . A set $G \subseteq \mathcal{O}_0$ is open if and only if $G \cap \mathcal{O}_q$ is an open subset of \mathcal{O}_q for $q = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Then a function on \mathcal{O}_0 is continuous if and only if its restriction to each \mathcal{O}_q is continuous on \mathcal{O}_q . In particular, from (6) we obtain

(7) If $\phi: [a, b] \times C^* \rightarrow R$ is continuous, the function $\Phi_\phi: [a, b] \times \mathcal{O}_0 \rightarrow R$ whose value at (t, P) ($t \in [a, b], P \in \mathcal{O}_0$) is $\int_{C^*} \phi(t, v) P(dv)$ is continuous.

Let $x: [a, b] \rightarrow R$ be a relaxed admissible curve. There is a set M , consisting of almost all points of $[a, b]$, such that (3) holds for all t in M . We apply Theorem 1, letting A be R^{n+1} and Q be $[a, b] \times \mathcal{O}_0$, and defining k to be the function whose value at (t, P) is

$$k(t, P) = \left(t, \int_{C^*} f^1(t, x(t), v) P(dv), \dots, \int_{C^*} f^n(t, x(t), v) P(dv) \right).$$

By (7), this is continuous on Q . For each t in M , the point $x'(t)$ of $K(t, x)$ is the weighted mean of finitely many points of the set (2), so that (5) holds with a P' concentrated on a finite subset of C^* , which is in \mathcal{O}_q for all large q . So if we define

$$y(t) = (t, x^1(t), \dots, x^n(t)) \quad (t \in M)$$

we have $y(M) \subseteq k(Q)$. Clearly y is measurable, so by Theorem 1 there is a measurable function $u: M \rightarrow Q$ (whose value at t we denote by $(\tau(t), P_t)$) such that $k(u(t)) = y(t)$ on M ; that is,

$$\tau(t) = t, \int_{C^*} f^i(t, x(t), v) P_t(dv) = x^{i'}(t) \quad (t \in M, i = 1, \dots, n).$$

We complete this by letting P_t be any measure in \mathcal{P}_0 on $[a, b] - M$.

If A is a closed subset of R , by (7) $\Phi_\phi^{-1}(A)$ is a closed subset of Q , hence is a countable union of compact sets. Therefore $u^{-1}(\Phi_\phi^{-1}(A))$ is a measurable set, and $\Phi_\phi \circ u$ is measurable. That is, (4) is measurable, so $(P_t: a \leq t \leq b)$ is a relaxed control function and x is a trajectory corresponding to it.

3. A generalization. If we permit the continuum hypothesis to be invoked, we can generalize Theorem 1 as follows.

THEOREM 4. *Let M be a measure space, A a Hausdorff space, and Q a separable metric space. Let $k: Q \rightarrow A$ be continuous, and $y: M \rightarrow A$ a measurable function such that $y(M) \subseteq k(Q)$. Then (assuming the continuum hypothesis) there is a measurable function $u: M \rightarrow Q$ such that $y = k \circ u$.*

Let v_1, v_2, \dots be a countable dense subset of Q . We map the set of closed subsets of Q into the set of all sequences of real numbers thus: to a closed set F in Q there corresponds the sequence (d_1, d_2, \dots) where d_n is the distance of v_n from F . This map is one-to-one and the cardinal of the set of sequences is the cardinal c of the continuum, so there are at most c closed subsets of Q .

By the continuum hypothesis, there is an ordinal Ω which is preceded by c ordinals, while if $\alpha < \Omega$, α is preceded by countably many ordinals. We can therefore label all compact subsets of Q with a subset of the ordinals less than Ω . Without loss of generality we may suppose that there is an ordinal $\Omega' \leq \Omega$ and for every ordinal $\alpha < \Omega'$ a compact set C_α such that the C_α ($\alpha < \Omega'$) are all the compact subsets of Q .

Now for each $\alpha < \Omega'$ we define $Q_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta \leq \alpha} C_\beta$. This is the union of countably many compact sets. For each $\alpha < \Omega'$ define M_α to be the set of all $x \in M$ such that $y(x) \in k(Q_\alpha)$ and $y(x) \notin k(Q_\beta)$ for all $\beta < \alpha$. These sets are disjoint, and their union is M . Since Q_β and $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} C_\beta$ are countable unions of compact sets, M_α is measurable. By Theorem 1 there is a measurable function $u_\alpha: M_\alpha \rightarrow Q_\alpha$ such that $k(u_\alpha(x)) = y(x)$ for $x \in M_\alpha$. We define $u: M \rightarrow Q$ as follows: $u(x) = u_\alpha(x)$ if $x \in M_\alpha$. Since the M_α are disjoint, this is unambiguous.

We need only check that u is measurable. If K is a compact subset of Q then $K = C_\alpha$ for some α (fixed hereafter). Then $K \subseteq Q_\alpha$ and

$$u^{-1}(K) = \bigcup_{\beta \leq \alpha} u_\beta^{-1}(K \cap Q_\beta).$$

Since the u_β are measurable functions and this is a countable union,

it suffices to show that $K \cap Q_\beta$ is a countable union of compact sets for all $\beta \leq \alpha$. This, however, is true since

$$K \cap Q_\beta = \bigcup_{j \leq \beta} K \cap C_j.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.

The proof given above actually applies to a larger class of spaces Q . Q could be any topological space with a family of compact metrizable subsets with cardinality at most c such that the union of the subsets in this family is all of Q and such that any compact subset of Q lies in the union of a countable subfamily.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. A. F. Filippov, *On certain questions in the theory of optimal control*, Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. Mat. Meh. Astronom. **2** (1959), 25–42; English transl., J. Soc. Indust. Appl. Math. Ser. A. Control **1** (1962), 76–84.
2. John G. Hocking and Gail S. Young, *Topology*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1961.
3. J. Kelley, *General topology*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1955.
4. Harold J. Kushner, *On the existence of optimal stochastic controls*, J. Soc. Indust. Appl. Math. Ser. A Control **3** (1966), 463–474.
5. E. J. McShane, *Generalized curves*, Duke Math. J. **6** (1940), 513–536.
6. ———, *Relaxed controls and variational problems*, J. Soc. Indust. Appl. Math. Ser. A Control (to appear).
7. J. Warga, *Relaxed variational problems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **4** (1962), 111–128.
8. ———, *Variational problems with unbounded controls*, J. Soc. Indust. Appl. Math. Ser. A Control **3** (1966), 424–438.
9. L. C. Young, *Generalized curves and the existence of an attained absolute minimum in the calculus of variations*, C. R. de la Soc. des Sci. et des Lettres de Varsovie, Classe III **30** (1937), 214–234.

UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA AND
HARVARD UNIVERSITY