

A COUNTEREXAMPLE TO A THEOREM OF CHASE

PHILLIP GRIFFITH¹

1. Introduction. Throughout this note all groups are abelian. The notation is that of [2]. If G is a torsion free group and H is a subgroup of G , we shall use the symbol H_* to denote the minimal pure subgroup of G containing H . Also, the symbols \sum and $+$ will be used for direct sums; whereas the subgroup of a group G generated by its subsets S and T will be denoted by $\{S, T\}$.

In answer to a question of Nunke, Chase [1] proved incorrectly the following theorem and its immediate corollary.

THEOREM (CHASE). *Let G be a torsion free group, and let F_0 and F_1 be pure free subgroups of G of rank α and β respectively where $\alpha < \beta$ and β is infinite. Then there exists a pure free subgroup F of G such that F_0 is a direct summand of F and $\text{rank}(F) = \beta$.*

COROLLARY (CHASE). *If X_0 and X_1 are maximal pure independent subsets of a torsion free group G , one of which is infinite, then both have equal cardinality.*

In fact, these results are false as stated. We shall demonstrate this by exhibiting a counterexample to the corollary. Chase's proof is correct when α and β are both infinite, but there is a flaw when α is finite and β is infinite. We construct a countable torsion free group G with maximal pure independent subsets X_0 and X_1 such that $|X_0| = 1$ and $|X_1| = \aleph_0$. Letting F_0 and F_1 be the pure free subgroups generated by X_0 and X_1 respectively, we immediately observe that Chase's theorem and corollary do not hold for G . Finally, we remark that a careful study of Chase's proof yields that counterexamples to the theorem can occur only when α is finite and β is at most countable.

2. Counterexample. We form the direct sum $A = Q + D$ where Q denotes the rational numbers and D is the minimal divisible group containing a free group F of rank \aleph_0 . Let $p_1 < p_2 < \dots$ be the usual ordering of the primes. Define $[b_1, b_2, \dots]$ to be the collection of all nonzero elements x of F such that x generates a pure cyclic subgroup of F . Note that no b_n is divisible in F by any prime. Select a nonzero element y in Q and set $B = [p_n^{-k} (y + b_n)] \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Let G be the subgroup of A generated by the group

Received by the editors March 13, 1967.

¹ The author wishes to acknowledge support by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration Grant NsG(T)—52.

$\{y\} + F$ and the set B . We show that $\{y\}$ and F are pure subgroups of G .

Observe that a typical element $g \in G$ has the form

$$g = f + ty + \sum_{i=1}^r m_i(y + b_i),$$

where $f \in F$, t is an integer, $m_i = t_i p_i^{-k_i}$, and t_i is an integer such that $(t_i, p_i) = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. If $ng \in \{y\}$ for some nonzero integer n , then $nf + n \sum_{i=1}^r m_i b_i = 0$ since $A = Q + D$. Therefore, $f = - \sum_{i=1}^r m_i b_i$. Set $u_i = \prod_{e=1; e \neq i}^r p_e^{k_e}$. It follows that $(p_i, u_i) = 1$ and that $u_i m_e$ is an integer for $e \neq i$. Therefore, $-u_i m_i b_i = u_i f + \sum_{e=1; e \neq i}^r u_i m_e b_e \in F$. Our definition of b_i implies that $u_i m_i$ must be an integer for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Since $(p_i, u_i) = 1$ and since $m_i = t_i p_i^{-k_i}$, it follows that $u_i m_i$ is an integer if and only if m_i is an integer for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Hence, $g = (t + \sum_{i=1}^r m_i)y \in \{y\}$. Thus, $\{y\}$ is a pure subgroup of G . If $ng \in F$, then $nty = (-n \sum_{i=1}^r m_i)y$ since $A = Q + D$. Therefore, $ty = (- \sum_{i=1}^r m_i)y$ implies that $-\sum_{i=1}^r m_i$ is an integer. Hence, as observed above, this implies that each m_i is an integer. Therefore, $g = f + \sum_{i=1}^r m_i b_i \in F$ and F is a pure subgroup of G .

Expressing F as $F = \sum_{i<\omega} \{x_i\}$, we have that $[x_1, x_2, \dots]$ is a pure independent subset of G , since F is a pure subgroup of G . Let X_1 be a maximal pure independent subset of G containing $[x_1, x_2, \dots]$. Then $|X_1| = \aleph_0$. Since $\{y\}$ is a pure subgroup of G , $X_0 = [y]$ is a pure independent subset of G . We establish that X_0 is a maximal pure independent subset of G by showing that $\{y, g\}_*$ is not free for any $g \in G$ which is independent from y .

Let $g \in G$ be such that g and y are independent. Since $A / (\{y\} + F)$ is torsion, there is a nonzero integer n and an integer m such that $ng = my + f$ where $f \in F$. The independence of y and g implies that $f \neq 0$. Therefore $ng - my = f \in \{y, g\}_*$. For some positive integers u and v , we have that $ub_v = f$. Therefore b_v must be an element of $\{y, g\}_*$. Since $y + b_v \in \{y, g\}_*$ and since $\{y, g\}_*$ is a pure subgroup of G , then $p_v^{-k}(y + b_v) \in \{y, g\}_*$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Thus $\{y, g\}_*$ cannot be free.

REFERENCES

1. S. Chase, "On group extensions and a problem of J. H. C. Whitehead" pp. 173–193, in *Topics in abelian groups*, Scott, Foresman and Co., Chicago, Ill., 1963.
2. L. Fuchs, *Abelian groups*, Akad. Kiadó, Budapest, 1958.