

## A PROPERTY OF ANGULAR CLUSTER SETS

PETER LAPPAN

Let  $D$  denote the unit disk, let  $K$  denote the unit circle, and let  $f$  be a complex-valued function in  $D$ . If  $G$  is a subset of  $D$ , the cluster set  $C_G(f, e^{i\theta})$  is defined as the set of all values  $w$  (including possibly  $w = \infty$ ) for which there exists a sequence  $\{z_n\}$  in  $G$  such that  $z_n \rightarrow e^{i\theta}$  and  $f(z_n) \rightarrow w$ . In the case where  $G = D$ ,  $C_D(f, e^{i\theta})$  is usually denoted simply by  $C(f, e^{i\theta})$ . We will be particularly concerned with the cluster sets  $C_{\Delta(\theta)}(f, e^{i\theta})$ , where  $\Delta(\theta)$  is a Stolz angle with vertex at  $e^{i\theta}$ , and with the outer angular cluster set  $C_A(f, e^{i\theta})$ , which is defined to be the union of all of the cluster sets  $C_{\Delta(\theta)}(f, e^{i\theta})$ .

Clearly  $C_{\Delta(\theta)}(f, e^{i\theta}) \subset C_A(f, e^{i\theta})$ , where the containment may, but need not be, proper. It is our purpose to show that this containment is actually an equality except on a subset of  $K$  of linear measure zero.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $f$  be an arbitrary complex-valued function in  $D$ . Then there exists a subset  $F$  of  $K$ , where  $F$  is a set of linear measure zero, such that for each point  $e^{i\theta} \in K - F$  and each Stolz angle  $\Delta(\theta)$  with vertex at  $e^{i\theta}$ ,*

$$C_{\Delta(\theta)}(f, e^{i\theta}) = C_A(f, e^{i\theta}).$$

Theorem 1 can also be restated as follows.

**THEOREM 1'.** *Let  $f$  be an arbitrary complex-valued function in  $D$ . Then there exists a subset  $F$  of  $K$ , where  $F$  is a set of linear measure zero, such that for each point  $e^{i\theta} \in K - F$  and each pair of Stolz angles  $\Delta_1(\theta)$  and  $\Delta_2(\theta)$  with vertex at  $e^{i\theta}$ ,*

$$C_{\Delta_1(\theta)}(f, e^{i\theta}) = C_{\Delta_2(\theta)}(f, e^{i\theta}).$$

We remark that Theorem 1' is known in the case where  $f$  is a meromorphic function (see [3, Theorem 12, p. 68]).

To prove Theorem 1 we make use of the following lemma.

**LEMMA.** *Let  $f$  be an arbitrary complex-valued function in  $D$ , let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be two fixed real numbers satisfying  $-\pi/2 < \alpha < \beta < \pi/2$ , and for each  $e^{i\theta} \in K$  let*

$$\Delta(\theta) = \{z \in D: \alpha < \arg[1 - (z | e^{i\theta})] < \beta\}.$$

*Then there exists a subset  $F(\alpha, \beta)$  of  $K$  such that  $F(\alpha, \beta)$  is a set of linear measure zero and for each  $e^{i\theta} \in K - F(\alpha, \beta)$ ,*

---

Received by the editors May 17, 1967 and, in revised form, June 20, 1967.

$$C_{\Delta(\theta)}(f, e^{i\theta}) = C_A(f, e^{i\theta}).$$

PROOF. Let  $\{V_n\}$  be a countable collection of open sets which form a base of open sets for the Riemann sphere  $W$ , and let  $\{S_n\}$  be the collection of all finite unions of the sets  $V_n$ . For each positive integer  $j$ , let

$$\Delta(\theta, j) = \{z \in D: -\pi/2 + 1/j < \arg[1 - (z/e^{i\theta})] < \pi/2 - 1/j\}$$

and let  $\Delta_r(\theta)$  denote the component of  $\Delta(\theta) \cap \{z: |z| > r\}$  which has  $e^{i\theta}$  as a boundary point. Finally, let

$$E(r, j, n) = \{e^{i\theta} \in K: f(\Delta_r(\theta)) \subset S_n$$

and  $C_{\Delta(\theta, j)}(f, e^{i\theta})$  is not contained in  $\bar{S}_n\}$ .

We claim that for each pair of positive integers  $j$  and  $n$  and each real number  $r$  with  $0 < r < 1$ ,  $E(r, j, n)$  is a set of linear measure zero.

Suppose that for some triple  $r, j, n$ ,  $E(r, j, n)$  is not a set of linear measure zero. Then, since  $E(r, j, n)$  is a measurable subset of  $K$ , it has positive linear measure and hence there exists a perfect subset  $E^*$  of  $E(r, j, n)$  such that  $E^*$  has positive measure. Let  $D(r) = \{z: |z| \leq r\}$  and let  $G$  be the union of  $D(r)$  and all the sets  $\Delta_r(\theta)$  for which  $e^{i\theta} \in E^*$ . Then, by a standard argument (see, for example [3, p. 71]), the boundary of  $G$  is a rectifiable Jordan curve. It follows that on a subset  $E'$  of  $E^*$ , where  $E'$  has positive linear measure, the boundary of  $G$  has a tangent at each point of  $E'$ , and this tangent is the tangent to  $K$  at this point. For such a point  $e^{i\theta} \in E'$  and for some  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\Delta(\theta, j) \cap \{z: |z - e^{i\theta}| < \epsilon\} \subset G.$$

But for each point of  $G \cap \{z: |z| > r\}$ ,  $f(z) \in S_n$ . Thus  $C_{\Delta(\theta, j)}(f, e^{i\theta}) \subset \bar{S}_n$ , in violation of the definition of  $E(r, j, n)$ . Hence  $E(r, j, n)$  must have linear measure zero.

If  $C_{\Delta(\theta)}(f, e^{i\theta}) \neq C_A(f, e^{i\theta})$ , then for some  $j$ ,  $C_{\Delta(\theta, j)}(f, e^{i\theta}) \neq C_{\Delta(\theta)}(f, e^{i\theta})$ . Since each of these cluster sets is compact, there exists an integer  $n$  such that  $C_{\Delta(\theta)}(f, e^{i\theta}) \subset S_n$  and  $C_{\Delta(\theta, j)}(f, e^{i\theta})$  is not contained in  $\bar{S}_n$ . Hence for some real number  $r$ ,  $e^{i\theta} \in E(r, j, n)$ . Let  $F(\alpha, \beta)$  be the union of all the  $E(r, j, n)$ , where the union is taken over all rational numbers  $r$  between 0 and 1 and all pairs of positive integers  $n$  and  $j$ . Since  $F(\alpha, \beta)$  is a countable union of sets of linear measure zero, it is itself a set of linear measure zero. Finally, if  $e^{i\theta} \in K - F(\alpha, \beta)$ , then  $C_{\Delta(\theta)}(f, e^{i\theta}) = C_A(f, e^{i\theta})$ . Thus the lemma is proved.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Let  $\{\alpha_n\}$  and  $\{\beta_n\}$  be two sequences of rational numbers satisfying  $-\pi/2 < \alpha_n < \beta_n < \pi/2$  and such that for each pair of real numbers  $c$  and  $d$  satisfying  $-\pi/2 < c < d < \pi/2$  there

exists an integer  $n$  such that  $c < \alpha_n < \beta_n < d$ . Let  $F = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F(\alpha_n, \beta_n)$ . If  $e^{i\theta} \in K - F$  and if  $\Delta(\theta)$  is any Stolz angle with vertex at  $e^{i\theta}$ , there exists an integer  $n$  such that

$$\Delta'(\theta) = \{z \in D: \alpha_n < \arg[1 - (z/e^{i\theta})] < \beta_n\}$$

and  $\Delta'(\theta) \subset \Delta(\theta)$ . Since  $e^{i\theta} \notin F(\alpha_n, \beta_n)$ , we have  $C_{\Delta'(\theta)}(f, e^{i\theta}) = C_A(f, e^{i\theta})$  and thus  $C_{\Delta(\theta)}(f, e^{i\theta}) = C_A(f, e^{i\theta})$ . Further,  $F$  is a countable union of sets of linear measure zero, and hence is itself a set of linear measure zero. Thus the theorem is proved.

By way of contrast to Theorem 1, we remark that both Collingwood [1, Theorem 4, p. 8] and Erdős and Piranian [2, Theorem 1, p. 155] have independently proved the following result.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $f$  be an arbitrary complex-valued function in  $D$ . Then there exists a subset  $E$  of  $K$ , where  $E$  is of first category, such that for each point  $e^{i\theta} \in K - E$  and each Stolz angle  $\Delta(\theta)$  with vertex at  $e^{i\theta}$ ,*

$$C_{\Delta(\theta)}(f, e^{i\theta}) = C(f, e^{i\theta}).$$

We remark that functions exist for which the exceptional set  $F$  in Theorem 1 is not countable, as the following example shows.

For notational convenience we construct the desired function in the upper half plane  $U$ . Let  $R$  be the real line and let  $P$  denote the Cantor middle third set on the closed interval  $[0, 1]$ . Let  $\{I_n\}$  be the collection of open intervals which are complementary to  $P$  in  $(0, 1)$ , and for each  $n$  let  $T_n$  be the triangular region bounded by the equilateral triangle in  $U, R$  having  $\bar{I}_n$  as base. Let  $T = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n$  and let  $V = U - T$ . Define  $f$  in  $U$  by

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= 0 && \text{for } z \in V, \\ &= 1 && \text{for } z \in T. \end{aligned}$$

For each  $x_0 \in P$  and each Stolz angle  $\Delta(x_0)$  which meets the line  $x = x_0$  and has angle opening less than  $\pi/6$ ,  $C_{\Delta(x_0)}(f, x_0) = \{0\}$ . However, if  $\Delta(x_0)$  is a Stolz angle at  $x_0$  with angle opening greater than  $2\pi/3$ ,  $C_{\Delta(x_0)}(f, x_0) = \{0, 1\}$ . Thus, if  $F$  is as in Theorem 1,  $P \subset F$  and  $F$  is not countable. In fact, in this situation,  $F$  has positive capacity.

REFERENCES

1. E. F. Collingwood, *Cluster set theorems for arbitrary functions with applications to function theory*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I (1963), no. 336/8.
2. P. Erdős and G. Piranian, *Restricted cluster sets*, Math. Nachr. 22 (1960), 155-158.
3. K. Noshiro, *Cluster sets*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1960.