

ON BRITTON'S THEOREM A

CHARLES F. MILLER III¹

In [1] Britton gives a "group-theoretic" proof of the unsolvability of the word problem for finitely presented groups. His proof uses a very powerful result [1, Lemma 4 (the principal lemma)] on presentations which has become known as Britton's Lemma and has since been used by several other authors. In the appendix to [1] Britton states a generalization of this lemma as Theorem A. Britton briefly indicates how Theorem A can be deduced from the previous lemma and how it may be further generalized. We give a direct proof of Theorem A in its most general form which specializes immediately to Britton's Lemma. Our proof appeals more directly to the theory of free products with amalgamation.

By $E = (S; D)$ we mean that the group E is presented by generators S and relations D . By $G = (A * B; H = K, \phi)$ we mean that G is the free product of groups A and B amalgamating their subgroups H and K via the isomorphism ϕ (ϕ will be omitted where the map is obvious from the context). The notation $w \equiv v$ will mean that w and v are identical as words, while $w = v$ refers to equality of words as elements of some appropriate group.

The following lemma is an immediate consequence of the normal form for free products with amalgamation:

LEMMA 1. *Suppose $G = (A_1 * A_2; H_1 = H_2, \phi)$ and let $w \equiv hg_1 \cdots g_n$ ($n \geq 1$), where $h \in H_1 = H_2$ and $g_i \in A_{v(i)}$, where $v(i) \neq v(i+1)$, so that the g_i lie in alternating factors. Then if $w = 1$ in G there is some i such that $g_i \in H_1 = H_2$.*

P will denote a set of letters indexed by a set V . We write $p(v)$ rather than p_v to avoid several levels of subscripting. Let $E = (S; D)$ be any presentation and assume P and S are disjoint. A presentation E^* is said to have *stable letters* P and corresponding *basis* E if it has the form

$$E^* = (S, P; D, p(y_i)^{-1}A_i p(z_i) = B_i (i \in I)),$$

where $y_i, z_i \in V$ and A_i and B_i are words over S .²

Received by the editors June 2, 1967.

¹ This work was partially supported by National Science Foundation contract NSF-GP 6132.

² The concept of stable letters is motivated by a similarity to inner automorphisms* and by an analogy to internal states of a Turing machine. See [3, Chapter 12], in this connection.

We write $p(y) \sim p(z)$ if $p(y) = p(z)$ in the free group obtained from E^* by setting all the letters of S equal to 1. Let $K(v) = \{i \in I: p(y_i) \sim p(v)\}$ denote the induced equivalence classes in I . $A(v)$ denotes the subgroup of E generated by the A_i such that $i \in K(v)$, and similarly for $B(v)$.

E^* as above satisfies the *generalized isomorphism condition (GIC)* if for each $K(v)$ the map $A_i \rightarrow B_i$ ($i \in K(v)$) defines an isomorphism between $A(v)$ and $B(v)$.

Let T, U be words over S and let $y, z \in V$. We say $Tp(y)$ produces $p(z)U$ if the $Tp(y)$ can be transformed into $p(z)U$ by a sequence of operations of the form

$$XA_i p(z_i)Y \rightarrow Xp(y_i)B_i Y \quad \text{or} \quad XA_i^{-1} p(y_i)Y \rightarrow Xp(z_i)B_i^{-1} Y,$$

where X, Y are words over S .

It can be shown that if $Tp(y)$ produces $p(z)U$, then T is a word in $A(y)$, say $T \equiv w(A_i)$, and U the corresponding word $w(B_i)$ in $B(y)$. Moreover $Tp(y) = p(z)U$ in E^* .

A word W involves the letter p if either p or p^{-1} is a subword of W . (In particular, the word pp^{-1} involves p .)

THEOREM A. *Suppose E^* as above satisfies the GIC. Then*

- (I) E is embedded in E^* and
- (II) if $W = 1$ in E^* where W involves at least one letter $p \in P$, then W contains a subword (1) $p(y)^{-1}Cp(z)$ or (2) $p(y)Cp(z)^{-1}$ where C is a word over S . In case (1), C is equal in E to a word $w(A_i) \in A(y)$ and $w(A_i)p(z)$ produces $p(y)w(B_i)$. In case (2), C is equal in E to a word $w(B_i) \in B(y)$ and $w(A_i)p(z)$ produces $p(y)w(B_i)$.

PROOF. Let $[a(v)(v \in V)]$ denote the free group generated by the $a(v)$. Generally, $\langle Q \rangle$ denotes the subgroup generated by the set Q . Define the (ordinary) free products:

$$F = [a(v)(v \in V)] * E, \quad G = [b(v)(v \in V)] * E.$$

Consider the subgroups $M_v = \langle a(y_i)^{-1}A_i a(z_i)(i \in K(v)) \rangle$ of F and $N_v = \langle b(y_i)B_i b(z_i)^{-1}(i \in K(v)) \rangle$ of G .

Then $M_v = M_t$ if and only if $K(v) = K(t)$. Also $\langle M_v, M_t \rangle \cong M_v * M_t$ if $K(v) \neq K(t)$ and $\langle M_v, E \rangle \cong M_v * E$ for each v as subgroups of F . Similar considerations apply to the N_v in G .

By the GIC it follows that $M_v \cong N_v$ by the obvious map. Now we put

$$Y = \langle a(y_i)^{-1}A_i a(z_i)(i \in I) \rangle * E \subset F,$$

$$Z = \langle b(y_i)B_i b(z_i)^{-1}(i \in I) \rangle * E \subset G.$$

Hence $Y \cong Z$ under the map $E \rightarrow E$ and $M_v \rightarrow N_v$, i.e., $a(y_i)^{-1}A_i a(z_i) \rightarrow b(y_i)B_i b(z_i)^{-1}$ for each i . Now setting

$$\bar{E} = (S, a(v), b(v) (v \in V); D, a(y_i)^{-1}A_i a(z_i) = b(y_i)B_i b(z_i)^{-1} (i \in I)),$$

we see immediately that $\bar{E} \cong (F * G; Y = Z)$. The map $S \rightarrow S, p(v) \rightarrow a(v)b(v)$ embeds E^* in \bar{E} . To see this, observe that the relations of \bar{E} are exactly the relations of E^* under this map, so it is certainly a homomorphism. Moreover, if a word $W(S, p(v)) \rightarrow W(S, a(v)b(v)) = 1$ in \bar{E} , W must also equal 1 in the group T obtained from \bar{E} by setting $b(v) = 1$. But clearly $T \cong E^*$ via the map $S \rightarrow S, a(v) \rightarrow p(v)$. Since the composite of all these maps is the identity on E^* , $W(S, p(v)) = 1$ in E^* . Hence E is embedded in E^* and \bar{E} by $S \rightarrow S$ for $E \subset Y = Z$.

Now to prove the theorem we may assume W is freely reduced in E^* and

$$W \equiv S_1 p(v_1)^{\alpha_1} S_2 p(v_2)^{\alpha_2} \cdots S_n p(v_n)^{\alpha_n} S_{n+1},$$

where $n \geq 1$ and all $\alpha_i \neq 0$ and $S_i \in E$. Since $W = 1$ in E^* we must have for its embedded image in \bar{E}

$$W \equiv S_1(a(v_1)b(v_1))^{\alpha_1} S_2 \cdots S_n(a(v_n)b(v_n))^{\alpha_n} S_{n+1} = 1 \quad \text{in } \bar{E}.$$

Notice that $a(v) \in F \setminus Y, b(v) \in G \setminus Z$ and $S_i \in Y = Z$ since $\bar{E} \cong (F * G; Y = Z)$. By hypothesis $n \geq 1$. From Lemma 1 we conclude that for some i one of the following holds:

- (1) $\alpha_i < 0$ and $\alpha_{i+1} > 0$ and $a(v_i)^{-1}S_{i+1}a(v_{i+1}) \in Y$.
- (2) $\alpha_i > 0$ and $\alpha_{i+1} < 0$ and $b(v_i)S_{i+1}b(v_{i+1})^{-1} \in Z$.
- (3) $\alpha_i < 0$ and $\alpha_{i+1} \leq 0$ and $a(v_i)^{-1}S_{i+1} \in Y$.
- (4) $\alpha_i > 0$ and $\alpha_{i+1} \geq 0$ and $b(v_i)S_{i+1} \in Z$.

But cases (3) and (4) are clearly impossible. So consider case (1). It follows from notation and free products that $a(v_i)^{-1}S_{i+1}a(v_{i+1}) \in M_v$ for some v , since $Y \cong E * R$ where R is the free product of the distinct M_v and such a word must have free product normal form length 1 (cancelling $a(v)$ pairs must come from generators of the same M_v by our notation). Hence $S_{i+1} = w(A_i)$ in E for $w(A_i) \in A(v)$. Indeed, we have

$$a(v_i)^{-1}S_{i+1}a(v_{i+1}) = \prod_{j=1}^m a(t_j)^{-1}A_{i_j}^{\epsilon_j} a(t_{j+1})$$

and

$$w(A_i) \equiv \prod_{j=1}^m A_{i_j}^{\epsilon_j} \quad \text{where } \epsilon_j = \pm 1$$

and $a(v_i) = a(t_1)$ and $a(t_{m+1}) = a(v_{i+1})$ and where the $a(t_j)^{-1}A_{i_j}^{\epsilon_j} a(t_{j+1})$

are generators of M_v or their inverses. Hence W contains a subword equal to

$$b(v_i)^{-1}a(v_i)^{-1}w(A_i)a(v_{i+1})b(v_{i+1}),$$

which as a word of E^* has the form $p(v_i)^{-1}w(A_i)p(v_{i+1})$. But in \bar{E} we have

$$\begin{aligned} a(v_i)^{-1}S_{i+1}a(v_{i+1}) &= a(v_i)^{-1}w(A_i)a(v_{i+1}) \\ &= b(v_i)w(B_i)b(v_{i+1})^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $w(A_i) \in A(v)$ and $w(B_i) \in B(v)$. Moreover, $p(v_i)^{-1}w(A_i)p(v_{i+1}) = w(B_i)$ and $w(A_i)p(v_{i+1})$ produces $p(v_i)w(B_i)$ by use of the relations corresponding to the sequence of $a(i_j)$'s. This completes the proof in the situation arising in case (1) of the theorem.

Similarly, case (2) can be handled by the dual proof.||

COROLLARY 1. *Let E^* and E be as in Theorem A. Let n be a positive integer. Then E^* has elements of order n if and only if E has elements of order n .*

PROOF. The claim is true for \bar{E} (and hence E^*) by properties of free products with amalgamation, see [2].||

A group $G = E_n \cong E_{n-1} \cong \dots \cong E_0 = E$ is called a *Britton tower* over E if each $E_i \cong E_{i-1}$ satisfies the conditions for $E^* \cong E$ of Theorem A.

COROLLARY 2. *Let G be a Britton tower over E . Let n be a positive integer. Then G has elements of order n if and only if E has elements of order n .*

PROOF. By induction and Corollary 1.||

In the special case

$$E^* = (S, P; D, p(y_i)^{-1}A_i p(y_i) = B_i (i \in I)),$$

we have $p(v) \sim p(w)$ if and only if $p(v) = p(w)$. Then the GIC becomes the isomorphism condition of Britton (see [1] or [3, Chapter 12]). Also $Tp(v)$ produces $p(w)U$ implies $p(v) = p(w)$. Hence Theorem A just becomes Britton's Lemma. A consequence of E being embedded in E^* is a well-known theorem of Higman, Neumann and Neumann (see [3, Chapter 11]).

REFERENCES

1. J. L. Britton, *The word problem*, Ann. of Math. **77** (1963), 16-32.
2. W. Magnus, A. Karrass and D. Solitar, *Combinatorial group theory*, Wiley, New York, 1966.
3. J. Rotman, *The theory of groups*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, Mass., 1965; Chapters 11 and 12.