

REFLEXIVE MODULES OVER GORENSTEIN RINGS

WOLMER V. VASCONCELOS¹

Introduction. The aim of this paper is to show the relevance of a class of commutative noetherian rings to the study of reflexive modules. They include integrally closed domains, group algebras over these, and Gorenstein rings. We will be basically concerned with a ring R having the following property: Let M be a finitely generated R -module; then M is reflexive if and only if every R -sequence of at most two elements is also an M -sequence.

In the rest of this note, using the above characterization, we examine the closeness between free modules and Macaulay modules of maximum dimension in a local Gorenstein ring. Finally, it is proved that over a one-dimensional Gorenstein ring only projective modules have projective endomorphism rings.

1. Reflexive modules. As a running hypothesis we will assume that all rings considered here are commutative, noetherian and that unspecified modules are finitely generated. Let R be such a ring and M an R -module. Write M^* for the group $\text{Hom}_R(M, R)$ endowed with the usual R -module structure. There is a natural homomorphism $j: M \rightarrow M^{**}$ and, after [5], M is said to be torsion-less if j is a monomorphism, reflexive if j is an isomorphism. We will also say that M is torsion-free to mean that nonzero elements of M are not annihilated by nonzero divisors of R . In other words, if K denotes the full ring of quotients of R , M is torsion-free if and only if the natural homomorphism $M \rightarrow M \otimes K$ is a monomorphism (see Appendix for relation between "torsion-less" and "torsion-free"). Here we want to describe the reflexive modules over a class of rings sensibly vaster than integrally closed domains, but first we recall some notions from [4].

Let R be a local ring. R is said to be a Gorenstein ring if it is Cohen-Macaulay [9] and whenever x_1, \dots, x_n is a maximal R -sequence the ideal (x_1, \dots, x_n) is irreducible, i.e., it is not an intersection of properly larger ideals. Equivalently, R is Gorenstein if as an R -module R has finite injective dimension. For Krull dimension zero, i.e., for artinian rings, this is the same as having all modules (finitely generated!) reflexive and for Krull dimension one, that torsion-less modules are reflexive. Thus, at least at these low dimensions the Gorenstein property and reflexivity are closely related.

Received by the editors August 7, 1967.

¹ This research was supported by an ONR Research Associateship at Cornell University.

We use some definitions and notation from [2] and [8]. Let R be a noetherian local ring and let M be a finitely generated R -module. An M -sequence is a sequence x_1, \dots, x_n of elements in the maximal ideal of R such that, if $M \neq 0$, x_i is not a zero divisor of $M/(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})M$ for $i \leq n$. If $M \neq 0$, the largest integer n for which this happens is called the depth of M or, in symbols, $\text{Depth } M$. If $M = 0$ we write $\text{Depth } M = \infty$. [2] will be used as a reference for the basic properties of Depth , which is there called Codimension .

DEFINITION (1.1). Let R be a commutative noetherian ring, let M be a finitely generated R -module and let k be an integer. M is said to have property S_k if for every prime ideal P , $\text{Depth } M_P \geq \inf(k, \dim R_P)$.

DEFINITION (1.1)'. Let R be a commutative ring and let M be an arbitrary R -module. M is said to satisfy S'_k if every R -sequence x_1, \dots, x_n , with $n \leq k$, is also an M -sequence.

For Cohen-Macaulay rings it is proved in [8] that the two definitions coincide.

We now come to the basic notion in this paper:

DEFINITION (1.2). Let R be a commutative noetherian ring. R is said to be *quasi-normal* if it has property S_2 and for every prime ideal P of height at most one R_P is a Gorenstein ring.

The following are easy consequences of this definition: If R is quasi-normal, so are $R[x] = \text{polynomial ring over } R$ and $R[G] = \text{group algebra of the finite abelian group } G$. Possibly the same is true for the power series ring $R[[x]]$.

Before characterizing reflexive modules over quasi-normal rings we quote [3, Proposition 4.7]:

PROPOSITION (1.3). *Let N be an R -module satisfying S'_2 . Then for any R -module M , $\text{Hom}(M, N)$ also satisfies S'_2 .*

Reflexive modules, being duals, enjoy property S'_2 . Over quasi-normal rings we claim this to be characteristic.

THEOREM (1.4). *Let R be a quasi-normal ring and M a finitely generated R -module. A necessary and sufficient condition for M to be reflexive is that every R -sequence of two or less elements be also an M -sequence.*

PROOF. Let M be a finitely generated R -module satisfying S'_2 . From the definition of R it is clear that R has no embedded primes. Let K be its total ring of quotients. Since the localization of K at a prime ideal is isomorphic to the localization of R at the corresponding minimal prime, K is a zero-dimensional Gorenstein ring. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{j} M^{**} \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$$

where j is the natural map. By tensoring with K we get $M' \otimes K = 0$ since K is Gorenstein and so, as remarked earlier, every K -module is reflexive. This implies that some nonzero divisor of R annihilates the submodule M' of M , which is a contradiction, unless $M' = 0$. Thus M is a torsion-less module. Now localize at any height one prime P . Since by hypothesis R_P is Gorenstein, torsion-less are reflexive and so $M'_P = 0$. This means that the only associated primes of M'' have height at least two. Let P be one of them. We get the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(R/P, M) &\rightarrow \text{Hom}(R/P, M^{**}) \\ &\rightarrow \text{Hom}(M/P, M'') \rightarrow \text{Ext}(R/P, M). \end{aligned}$$

Here $\text{Hom}(R/P, M) = \text{Hom}(R/P, M^{**}) = 0$ for P contains nonzero divisors and M and M^{**} are torsion-free. Since height $P \geq 2$, by assumption P contains an R -sequence of length two and by standard properties of Depth, $\text{Ext}(R/P, M) = 0$. Hence $\text{Hom}(M/P, M'') = 0$, a contradiction if $M'' \neq 0$, i.e., unless M is reflexive. Q.E.D.

COROLLARY (1.5). *If R is quasi-normal and N is a reflexive R -module, for any R -module M $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is also reflexive.*

COROLLARY (1.6). *If R is quasi-normal and*

$$0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence with M' and M'' reflexive, then M is also reflexive.

When R is an integral domain the above characterization of reflexive modules can be expressed in still another way (see [8] for the case of an integrally closed domain). For the moment, R does not have to be noetherian. Let M be a not necessarily finitely generated torsion-free R -module. Then

PROPOSITION (1.7). *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *Every R -sequence of at most two elements is an M -sequence.*
- (ii) *$M = \bigcap_{P \in \mathcal{O}} M_P$, where \mathcal{O} is the set of all prime ideals associated to principal ideals.*

The proof is implicit in [8]. For an example one can take any flat R -module. Using (1.7) then, if in (1.4) R is a domain, one could state that a torsion-free R -module is reflexive if and only if it is the intersection of its localizations at the height one primes.

2. Depth and freeness. In this section R is a Gorenstein local ring and M a finitely generated R -module. If M is free then, of course, $\text{Depth } M = \dim R$. Here it is shown some properties of free modules shared by modules of maximum Depth. Only a few aspects related to reflexivity will be examined and these could be viewed as consequences of [4, §8]. First we recall that the Depth of an R -module M is given by the following (e.g. [1] or [7]):

PROPOSITION (2.1). *Let $M \neq 0$ and let $\dim R = d$. Then $\text{Depth } M = d - r$, where r is the largest integer for which $\text{Ext}^r(M, R) \neq 0$.*

Let P be a prime ideal of the ring R . Since R has finite self-injective dimension, so does R_P . We thus have

COROLLARY (2.2). *If $\text{Depth } M = \dim R$ and $M_P \neq 0$, then $\text{Depth } M_P = \dim R_P$.*

COROLLARY (2.3). *If $\text{Depth } M = \dim R$, then M is reflexive and $\text{Depth } M^* = \dim R$.*

PROOF. By (2.2) $\text{Depth } M_P \geq \inf(2, \dim R_P)$ for any prime ideal P , i.e. M satisfies S_2 . As it was said earlier, since R is Cohen-Macaulay, $S_2 = S'_2$. Now we apply (1.4) for R is quasi-normal. The second statement follows from [4, §8].

REMARK. An open question here is whether $\text{Depth } M = \dim R$ implies that also $\text{Depth } \text{Hom}_R(M, M) = \dim R$.

3. Gorenstein rings in dimension one. Let R be an integrally closed domain. Then for every nonzero ideal I , $\text{Hom}_R(I, I) = \text{End}_R(I) = R$. For other rings this is also true provided the ideal I is large enough. For a ring like $Z[G] = \text{integral group ring of the abelian group } G$ however, $\text{End}(I) = Z[G]$ if and only if I is an invertible ideal. More generally

THEOREM (3.1). *Let R be a one-dimensional Gorenstein ring and M a finitely generated R -module. Then $\text{End}_R(M)$ is a projective module if and only if M itself is projective.*

The proof will follow after a few preliminary steps. One can assume that R is a local ring. Let $M \neq 0$; if $\text{End}(M)$ is projective, to show that M is projective it is enough to prove that M contains a summand isomorphic to R .

(i) If $\text{Hom}(M, M)$ is R -free, it is easy to see that the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of R is not associated to M , i.e., \mathfrak{m} is not the annihilator of a non-zero element of M [3, Lemma 4.5]. Thus $\text{Depth } M = 1$. Let x be an

element of \mathfrak{m} which is not a zero divisor for either R or M . The exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{x} R \rightarrow R/(x) \rightarrow 0$$

leads to

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, R) \xrightarrow{x} \text{Hom}(M, R) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, R/(x)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(M, R).$$

Since $\text{Depth } M=1$, by (2.1) $\text{Ext}^1(M, R)=0$ and we have $\text{Hom}_{R'}(M', R') = \text{Hom}(M, R) \otimes R'$ with $M' = M/xM$ and $R' = R/(x)$.

(ii) Consider the trace map $T: M^* \otimes M \rightarrow R$ given by $T(f \otimes m) = f(m)$. It is obvious that M contains a summand isomorphic to R if and only if T is an epimorphism (R is local!). From the preceding step we have the corresponding diagram of trace maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M^* \otimes M & \xrightarrow{T} & R \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M^* \otimes M \otimes R' & & \\ \downarrow h & & \downarrow \\ (M')^* \otimes M' & \xrightarrow{T'} & R' \end{array}$$

where h is an isomorphism. Thus to show that T is an epimorphism it is enough to show that T' is so.

(iii) The exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{x} M \rightarrow M' \rightarrow 0$$

leads to the exactness of

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, M) \xrightarrow{x} \text{Hom}(M, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M', M')$$

and we have that $\text{Hom}(M', M')$ contains a free R' -module. Thus, in particular, M' is R' -faithful. Now R' is a zero-dimensional Gorenstein ring, i.e., R' is self-injective. Here this just means that R' contains a unique nonzero minimal ideal. From this it follows immediately that every faithful R' -module contains a direct summand isomorphic to R' . This completes the proof of the theorem since the converse is trivial.

REMARK. The theorem is not true if $\dim R > 1$, even if we assume that M is reflexive. This occurs, for instance, in $R[x, y, z]/(xy - z^2)$,

where R denotes the real numbers. This ring is normal, Gorenstein but not locally a UFD and so any height one prime which is not invertible gives a counterexample.

APPENDIX

We shall clarify here the relationship between torsion-less and torsion-free modules. The same finiteness assumptions of before are still in force. If an R -module M is torsion-less, the natural monomorphism $M \xrightarrow{j} M^{**}$ implies that M can be embedded in a free R -module and is thus torsion-free. For the converse

THEOREM (A.1). *For the commutative noetherian ring R , "torsion-free" and "torsion-less" are equivalent notions if and only if R has no embedded primes and R_P is a Gorenstein ring for every minimal prime P .*

PROOF. Assume that R satisfies the ring-theoretic statement above and let M be a torsion-free R -module. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{j} M^{**}$$

for which we want to prove $M' = 0$. If P is a minimal prime, R_P is a zero-dimensional Gorenstein ring and so every R_P -module is reflexive; thus $M'_P = 0$. This says that the annihilator of M' is outside of any minimal prime and so contains a nonzero divisor for R has no embedded prime. Since M' is a submodule of M this implies $M' = 0$.

Conversely, assume "torsion-free" = "torsion-less." Let K be the total ring of quotients of R and let N be a finitely generated K -module. It is clear that we can find a finitely generated R -submodule M of N , such that $K \otimes M = KM = N$. Since M is torsion-free by construction, by assumption it is torsion-less. It follows easily that as a K -module N is also torsion-less. Now it must be shown that K is a zero-dimensional Gorenstein ring, i.e., that K is self-injective. Let I be an ideal of K which is not an annihilator or in other words, $I \neq \text{Ann}(I') = \text{annihilator of the ideal } I'$, for any I' . Denote K/I by M . Then $M^* = \text{Hom}(M, K) = \text{Ann}(I) = J$ and $M^{**} = \text{Hom}(J, K)$. Let $L = \text{Ann}(J)$; I is properly contained in L . Pick $a \in L - I$, then in $M \xrightarrow{j} M^{**}$, $j(a) = 0$. Our assumption is then incorrect and thus every ideal is an annihilator. This is however equivalent to K being self-injective [6].

REFERENCES

1. M. Auslander, *Remarks on a theorem of Bourbaki*, Nagoya J. Math. 27 (1966), 361-369.

2. M. Auslander and D. Buchsbaum, *Homological dimension in local rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **85** (1957), 390–405.
3. M. Auslander and O. Goldman, *Maximal orders*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **97** (1960), 1–24.
4. H. Bass, *On the ubiquity of Gorenstein rings*, Math. Z. **82** (1963), 8–28.
5. ———, *Injective dimension in noetherian rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **102** (1962), 18–29.
6. J. P. Jans, *Duality in noetherian rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (1961), 829–835.
7. G. Levin and W. V. Vasconcelos, *Homological dimensions and Macaulay rings*, Pacific J. Math. **25** (1968), 315–323.
8. P. Samuel, *Anneaux gradués factoriels et modules réflexifs*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **92** (1964), 237–249.
9. O. Zariski and P. Samuel, *Commutative algebra*, Vol. II, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1960.

CORNELL UNIVERSITY