

# A CLASSIFICATION OF ALMOST FULL FORMAL GROUPS

WILLIAM C. WATERHOUSE

**1. Introduction.** Let  $A$  be a complete discrete valuation ring in characteristic zero with algebraically closed residue field of characteristic  $p$ . Let  $F$  be a one-parameter formal group law defined over  $A$ . Then there is an injection  $c$  of  $\text{End}_A F$  onto a subring of  $A$ . If height  $(F) = h < \infty$ ,  $c(\text{End}_A F)$  is a free  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -module of rank  $\leq h$ . We call  $F$  *almost full over  $A$*  if  $c(\text{End}_A F)$  has rank  $h$ ; in this case all the endomorphisms of  $F$  are defined over  $A$ , and we write simply  $\text{End } F$ .  $F$  is *full over  $A$*  if it is almost full over  $A$  and in addition  $c(\text{End } F)$  is integrally closed in its fraction field  $\Sigma_F$ .

The main theorem of the paper by Lubin [2] which contains the above results is a uniqueness theorem: If  $F$  and  $G$  are both full over  $A$  and  $c(\text{End } F) = c(\text{End } G)$  (equivalently,  $\Sigma_F = \Sigma_G$ ), then  $F$  and  $G$  are isomorphic over  $A$ . I will show that this result is a particular case of a classification theorem for formal groups almost full over  $A$ .

**2. Statement of the theorem.** The basic idea is to use the theory of the Tate module  $T(F)$ , as developed in [3]. (The reader should note that the theorems there remain true under our hypotheses.)  $T(F)$  is a free  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -module of rank  $h$ , and is a module over  $R = c(\text{End } F)$ .  $V(F) = T(F) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} \mathbf{Q}_p$  is therefore a vector space over  $\Sigma_F$ , and must be of dimension one if  $F$  is almost full. In this case,  $T(F)$  is isomorphic as an  $R$ -module to a lattice in  $\Sigma_F$ . Furthermore, by [3, 3.1]  $R$  is the order of this lattice, i.e.,  $R = \{x \in \Sigma_F : xT(F) \subseteq T(F)\}$ .

**THEOREM.** *Two groups  $F$  and  $G$  almost full over  $A$  with  $c(\text{End } F) = c(\text{End } G) = R$  are isomorphic if and only if  $T(F)$  and  $T(G)$  are isomorphic as  $R$ -modules. Furthermore, the isomorphism classes of  $R$ -modules occurring are precisely those of the lattices in  $\Sigma_F$  with order  $R$ .*

In particular, the number of nonisomorphic such formal groups is finite and equals the class number of  $R$ , i.e., the number of isomorphism classes of such lattices.

**3. Proof.** All formal groups from now on will be almost full over  $A$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.1 (LUBIN).**  *$F$  and  $G$  are isogenous if and only if  $\Sigma_F = \Sigma_G$ .*

**PROOF.** The necessity of the condition is [3, 3.0]. Conversely, if  $\Sigma_F = \Sigma_G$ ,  $F$  and  $G$  are both isogenous to full groups with the same  $\Sigma$

---

Received by the editors November 19, 1967.

by [3, 3.2], and these in turn are isomorphic by the uniqueness theorem.

**LEMMA 3.2.** *There is an  $H$  with  $c(\text{End } H) = R$  such that  $T(H)$  is free of rank one as an  $R$ -module.*

**PROOF.** We view  $T(F)$  as a lattice in  $V(F)$ . Let  $k$  be the fraction field of  $A$ ,  $K$  its algebraic closure. The Galois group  $\mathfrak{G} = \text{Gal}(K/k)$  acts on  $T(F)$  and commutes with the action of  $A$ -endomorphisms, so it acts  $\Sigma_F$ -linearly on  $V(F)$ . Since  $V(F)$  is one-dimensional over  $\Sigma_F$ , the action is given by a homomorphism  $\rho: \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow \Sigma_F^*$ . As  $T(F)$  is stable under  $\mathfrak{G}$ , and  $R$  is the order of  $T(F)$ , we have  $\rho(\mathfrak{G}) \subseteq R$ . Hence for any  $b \in T(F)$ ,  $Rb$  is a lattice stable under  $\mathfrak{G}$ . Therefore it defines an  $H$  isogenous to  $F$  over  $A$ , with  $T(H)$  isomorphic to  $Rb$  as an  $R$ -module [3, 2.3] and  $c(\text{End } H)$  equal to the order of  $T(H)$ , i.e.,  $R$ .

Now let  $L$  be any sublattice of  $T(H)$  with order  $R$ . As before,  $\rho(\mathfrak{G}) \subseteq R$ , so  $L$  is stable under  $\mathfrak{G}$ ; hence  $L$  defines a formal group  $G$  isogenous to  $H$  over  $A$  and having  $T(G) \simeq L$ . Conversely, if  $G$  is any formal group with  $c(\text{End } G) = R$ , then as we saw  $G$  is isogenous to  $H$  and hence [3, 2.2] occurs as the group associated to some such lattice  $L$ . Now since  $T(H)$  is free of rank one over  $R$ , the lattices in it with order  $R$  correspond precisely to the ideals  $I$  of  $R$  with order  $R$ . We now must prove that such ideals are isomorphic if and only if the corresponding groups are; this will complete the proof of the theorem, since any lattice in  $\Sigma_H$  is isomorphic (under multiplication by an integer) to one lying in  $R$ .

Suppose first that  $I$  and  $J$  are isomorphic  $R$ -modules. As the isomorphism extends to a  $\Sigma_H$  vector space automorphism of  $\Sigma_H$ , it is given by a scalar multiplication, so  $I = \lambda J$ . If  $\lambda \in R$ , let  $f: G \rightarrow H$  be the isogeny with  $f(T(G)) = J T(H)$ . Then  $[\lambda]_H \circ f: G \rightarrow H$  is an isogeny with  $[\lambda]_H \circ f(T(G)) = [\lambda]_H(J T(H)) = \lambda J T(H) = I T(H)$ , and so  $G$  serves also as the formal group corresponding to  $I$ . If  $\lambda \notin R$ , choose an integer  $n$  with  $n\lambda \in R$ ; then the group for  $I$  is isomorphic to that for  $nI = (n\lambda)J$ , which is in turn isomorphic to that for  $J$ .

Conversely, suppose the groups for  $I$  and  $J$  are isomorphic. Then we have a  $G$  and two isogenies  $f, g: G \rightarrow H$  with  $f(T(G)) = I T(H)$ ,  $g(T(G)) = J T(H)$ . Replacing  $I$  by an integer multiple of itself if necessary, we may assume  $I \subseteq J$ . Then by [3, 2.2] there is an isogeny  $h: G \rightarrow G$  such that  $f = g \circ h$ . If  $h = [x]_G$  for  $x \in R$ , then  $g \circ h = [x]_H \circ g$ , since  $c$  of these two maps is the same (see [2, 2.1.1]). Hence  $I T(H) = f(T(G)) = x g(T(G)) = x J T(H)$ . As  $T(H)$  is free,  $I = xJ$  and the modules are isomorphic.

**4. Remarks.** 1. The uniqueness theorem of Lubin is of course a

particular case of our theorem, since the unique integrally closed order in a local field  $\Sigma$  is a discrete valuation ring and hence has just one ideal class. We have not, however, given an independent proof of that theorem; it was used in the proof of Proposition 3.1.

2. Our theorem assumes that  $R$  occurs as  $c(\text{End } F)$  for some  $F$  defined over  $A$ . Lubin has shown in [3, 3.2] that for any  $R$  there is some  $A$  over which  $R$  occurs, but for a fixed  $A$  not all  $R$  are possible. To be more specific, let us fix  $\Sigma$  and take the unique group  $F$  with  $c(\text{End } F)$  maximal in  $\Sigma$ ; this group is full over  $c(\text{End } F)$  [4, Theorem 1], and so is available whenever  $k$  contains  $\Sigma$ . The argument of Lemma 3.2 applied to  $F$  shows then that those  $R$  which occur are precisely those which contain the group  $\rho(\mathfrak{g})$ .

3. If we take the integral closure of  $A$  in a finite extension of  $k$ , we get another ring satisfying our hypotheses. This extension reduces  $\rho(\mathfrak{g})$  and thus makes more orders possible, but the theorem implies that it can have no other effect. Once a single group  $F$  with  $c(\text{End } F) = R$  is defined over  $A$ , so are all the others; expanding  $k$  cannot introduce any new isomorphism types, nor can any nonisomorphic groups become isomorphic over the larger ring.

4. The technique used here resembles that of a standard theorem on the isomorphism types of elliptic curves with complex multiplication. Serre [5] has drawn attention to the fact that that result can be interpreted as saying that the isomorphism classes of curves with endomorphism ring  $R$  are a principal homogeneous space over the group of rank one projective  $R$ -modules. Similar results have been proved for certain abelian varieties when the endomorphism ring is a maximal order. It may therefore be useful to point out that no such formulation is possible here: the modules occurring in the theorem need not be projective, nor need they form a group. (An example is easily constructed following Exercise 18 [1, p. 94].) A similar example shows that the use of Lemma 3.2 cannot be avoided; there is an almost full group  $G$  and ideals  $I \neq J$  of  $c(\text{End } G)$  with  $I T(G) = J T(G)$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. Z. Borevich and I. Shafarevich, *Number theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1966.
2. J. Lubin, *One-parameter formal Lie groups over  $p$ -adic integer rings*, Ann. of Math. (2) 80 (1964), 464–484.
3. ———, *Finite subgroups and isogenies of one-parameter formal Lie groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) 85 (1967), 293–302.
4. J. Lubin and J. Tate, *Formal complex multiplication in local fields*, Ann. of Math. (2) 81 (1965), 380–387.
5. J.-P. Serre, *Algèbre et géométrie*, Ann. Coll. France, Paris, 1964–1965.

HARVARD UNIVERSITY