

CONTINUOUS BOUNDARY VALUES OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS OF SEVERAL COMPLEX VARIABLES

BARNET M. WEINSTOCK¹

1. Let D be a relatively compact domain in \mathbb{C}^n , $n > 1$, with smooth boundary Γ . Let $A(\Gamma)$ be the algebra of continuous, complex-valued functions on Γ which have analytic extensions to D . In this note we derive the following characterization of $A(\Gamma)$:

THEOREM. *A continuous function f on Γ belongs to $A(\Gamma)$ if and only if*

$$(1) \quad \int_{\Gamma} f \omega = 0$$

for each $(n, n-1)$ form ω which is C^∞ in $D \cup \Gamma$ and satisfies $\bar{\partial}\omega = 0$ in D .

Our method is elementary, and was suggested by the proofs of the Hartogs' Extension Theorem given by Bochner [1] and Martinelli [6]. We remark that the differential forms ω appearing in the theorem are a natural generalization to several variables of the "analytic differentials" which play the corresponding role in the well-known one-variable theorem. By appealing to the regularity theorem of Kohn [4] for the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem we obtain as a corollary that if the Levi form on Γ has at least one positive eigenvalue at each point, then $f \in A(\Gamma)$ if and only if f is a weak solution of the tangential Cauchy-Riemann equations. (With this hypothesis on Γ , it follows that if D is connected, then so is Γ .)

This theorem was obtained by Fichera [2] under the additional hypotheses that Γ is connected and f is the boundary value of a function with finite Dirichlet integral. His proof is based on an approximation theorem for harmonic functions in the Dirichlet norm. Kohn and Rossi [5] have shown that the theorem holds for C^∞ functions when D is a finite domain on a complex manifold and the Levi form satisfies the above condition on Γ . They also obtain extension theorems for (p, q) forms.

2. We assume a basic familiarity with the algebra of complex differential forms. If S is an open set in \mathbb{C}^n we denote by $E^{p,q}(S)$ the space of C^∞ forms of bidegree (p, q) on S . The usual exterior differential operator, d , splits as the direct sum $\partial + \bar{\partial}$, where $\partial: E^{p,q} \rightarrow E^{p+1,q}$ differentiates with respect to z_1, \dots, z_n , and $\bar{\partial}: E^{p,q} \rightarrow E^{p,q+1}$ differ-

Received by the editors June 12, 1968.

¹ This work was partially supported by NSF Grant GP-7653.

entiated with respect to $\bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_n$. We recall that the Hodge star operator maps $E^{p,q}$ isomorphically onto $E^{n-q,n-p}$. For 1-forms we have the formulas

$$*dz_k = -2(i/2)^n dz_k \wedge \omega_k, \quad *d\bar{z}_k = 2(i/2)^n d\bar{z}_k \wedge \omega_k$$

where $\omega_k = \prod_{i \neq k} dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_i$. If $g \in C^\infty(S)$ it follows that

$$*dg - 2*\partial g = 2(i/2)^n dg \wedge \sum_{k=1}^n \omega_k.$$

In particular if S is a neighborhood of the compact $(2n-1)$ -manifold Γ , and $g=0$ on Γ , then the $(2n-1)$ -forms $*dg$ and $2*\partial g$ define the same measure on Γ .

Every continuous function f on Γ has a harmonic extension to D given by

$$f(\zeta) = -(n-2)! \pi^{-n} \int_{\Gamma} f(z) *dG(z, \zeta)$$

where $G(z, \zeta)$ is the Green's function for D . Since for fixed $\zeta \in D$ we have $G(z, \zeta)=0$, and also that $H(z, \zeta)=G(z, \zeta) - |z-\zeta|^{2-2n}$ is harmonic throughout D , we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} f(\zeta) &= -(n-2)! \pi^{-n} \int_{\Gamma} f(z) (*\partial |z-\zeta|^{2-2n} + *\partial H(z, \zeta)) \\ (2) \quad &= (-1)^n (n-1)! (2\pi i)^{-n} \int_{\Gamma} f(z) |z-\zeta|^{-2n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\bar{z}_i - \bar{\zeta}_i) dz_i \wedge \omega_i \\ &\quad - (n-2)! \pi^{-n} \int_{\Gamma} f(z) *\partial H(z, \zeta) \end{aligned}$$

where, for each ζ , $\bar{\partial}*\partial H(z, \zeta) = 0$.

Let $\omega_{ij} = \prod_{k \neq i, j} dz_k \wedge d\bar{z}_k$ and

$$\Omega_j(\zeta) = \sum_{i=1}^n |z-\zeta|^{-2n} (\bar{z}_i - \bar{\zeta}_i) dz_j \wedge dz_i \wedge \omega_{ij}.$$

Since $\sum_{i=1}^n \partial(\bar{z}_i - \bar{\zeta}_i) |z-\zeta|^{-2n} / \partial \bar{z}_i = 0$, a straightforward computation shows that for $z \in D - \{\zeta\}$,

$$\bar{\partial} \Omega_j(\zeta) = - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j} (\bar{z}_i - \bar{\zeta}_i) |z-\zeta|^{-2n} dz_i \wedge \omega_i.$$

For each $\zeta \in D$ we denote by $\Omega'_j(\zeta)$ a form in $E^{n,n-2}(D)$ which agrees with $\Omega_j(\zeta)$ in a neighborhood of Γ .

PROOF OF THEOREM. Necessity follows from Stokes' Theorem, since if $f \in A(\Gamma)$ and $\bar{\partial}\omega = 0$ in D , then

$$\int_{\Gamma} f\omega = \int_D d(f\omega) = \int_D \bar{\partial}(f\omega) = \int_D \bar{\partial}f \wedge \omega + f\bar{\partial}\omega = 0.$$

(Here we denote again by f the analytic extension of f to D .) To prove the converse, extend f to a harmonic function in D . Since f satisfies (1), the second integral in (2) vanishes, hence the harmonic extension is given by the Bochner-Martinelli formula, which is the first integral in (2). But then, for each j , we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_j}(\zeta) = (-1)^n (n-1)! (2\pi i)^{-n} \int_{\Gamma} f(z) \bar{\partial} \Omega'_j(\zeta).$$

Since $\bar{\partial} \Omega'_j(\zeta)$ is a smooth, $\bar{\partial}$ -closed $(n, n-1)$ -form on $D \cup \Gamma$ for each j , the integral vanishes by (1), hence f is analytic. Q.E.D.

3. Definition. Let g be a C^∞ function defined in a neighborhood N of Γ such that:

- (i) $\Gamma = \{g = 0\}$.
- (ii) $dg \neq 0$ on Γ .
- (iii) $D \cap N = \{g < 0\}$.

We say that Γ is *1-strongly-pseudoconvex* if at each point of Γ the hermitian form $\sum \partial^2 g / \partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j a_i \bar{a}_j$, on the subspace defined by $\sum a_j \partial g / \partial z_j = 0$ has at least one positive eigenvalue.

If $f \in C^\infty(\Gamma)$, let f' denote an arbitrary C^∞ extension of f to a neighborhood of Γ . If the tangential component (in terms of a "boundary coordinate system") of $\bar{\partial}f'$ vanishes on Γ we say that f is a *solution of the tangential Cauchy-Riemann (C-R) equations*. (See [5].) Equivalently, f is a solution of the tangential C-R equations if $X(f') = 0$ on Γ for all complex vector fields X of the form $\sum \phi_j \partial / \partial \bar{z}_j$ which are tangent to Γ .

Let f be a solution of the tangential C-R equations and μ be a smooth $(n, n-2)$ -form in a neighborhood of Γ . Then

$$\int_{\Gamma} f \bar{\partial} \mu = \int_{\Gamma} \bar{\partial} f' \wedge \mu + f \bar{\partial} \mu = \int_{\Gamma} \bar{\partial}(f' \mu) = 0.$$

If f is a continuous function on Γ we say that f is a *weak solution* of the tangential Cauchy-Riemann equations if the first integral above vanishes for all such μ .

COROLLARY. *If Γ is 1-strongly-pseudoconvex, then $f \in A(\Gamma)$ if and only if f is a weak solution of the tangential C-R equations.*

PROOF. With this assumption on Γ it follows that $H^{n-1}(D, \Omega^n) = 0$ where Ω^n is the sheaf of germs of holomorphic n -forms on D . (See [3].) But by the Kohn regularity theorem [4]

$$H^{n-1}(D, \Omega^n) \approx \frac{\{\omega \in E^{n, n-1}(D \cup \Gamma) : \bar{\partial}\omega = 0\}}{\{\partial\mu : \mu \in E^{n, n-2}(D \cup \Gamma)\}}.$$

Thus for each ω in the hypothesis of the theorem there is an $(n, n-2)$ -form μ which is C^∞ in $D \cup \Gamma$ such that $\omega = \bar{\partial}\mu$.

We remark that if the domain D in \mathbb{C}^n is connected and Γ is 1-strongly-pseudoconvex, then Γ is connected (cf. [5, Corollary 7.3]).

4. It is reasonable to conjecture that the theorem remains true for relatively compact domains on other complex manifolds, e.g., on Stein manifolds. For domains D on Kähler manifolds (see [7] for definition) and C^∞ boundary values f there is the following simple proof:

We can extend f to a harmonic function in D , i.e., a function satisfying $d^*df = 0$. Because of the Kähler metric this implies that f also satisfies $\bar{\partial}^* \partial \bar{f} = 0$. (See [7].) Hence $\int_\Gamma f^* \partial \bar{f} = 0$. But

$$\int_\Gamma f^* \partial \bar{f} = \int_D \bar{\partial} f \wedge * \bar{\partial} f$$

and

$$\|\mu\| = \left(\int_D \mu \wedge * \bar{\mu} \right)^{1/2} \quad \mu \in E^{p, q}(D \cup \Gamma)$$

is a norm on $E^{p, q}(D \cup \Gamma)$. Hence $\bar{\partial} f = 0$ on D , i.e., f is analytic.

REFERENCES

1. S. Bochner, *Analytic and meromorphic continuation by means of Green's formula*, Ann. of Math. **44** (1943), 652-673.
2. G. Fichera, *Caratterizzazione della traccia, sulla frontiera di un campo, di una funzione analitica di più variabili complesse*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. (8) **22** (1957), 706-715.
3. L. Hörmander, *L^2 estimates and existence theorems for the $\bar{\partial}$ operator*, Acta Math. **113** (1965), 89-152.
4. J. J. Kohn, *Harmonic integrals on strongly pseudoconvex manifolds. I*, Ann. of Math. **78** (1963), 112-148.
5. J. J. Kohn and H. Rossi, *On the extension of holomorphic functions from the boundary of a complex manifold*, Ann. of Math. **81** (1965), 451-473.
6. E. Martinelli, *Sopra una dimostrazione di R. Fueter per un teorema di Hartogs*, Comment. Math. Helv. **15** (1942), 340-349.
7. A. Weil, *Variétés Kähleriennes*, Actualités Sci. Indust., No. 1267, Hermann, Paris, 1958.