

## COMMUTATIVE ALGEBRAS OF HOCHSCHILD DIMENSION ONE

A. R. MAGID

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. Call a commutative  $R$ -algebra  $S$  *locally separable* if every finite set of elements of  $S$  is contained in a separable  $R$ -subalgebra which is finitely generated and projective as an  $R$ -module. If in addition the subring of  $S$  left fixed by the group  $\text{Aut}_R(S)$  of all  $R$ -algebra automorphisms of  $S$  is precisely  $R$ ,  $S$  is called a *locally Galois*  $R$ -algebra. An element  $s$  of  $S$  is *almost separable* if for all  $g$  in  $\text{Aut}_R(S)$   $s - g(s)$  is either 0 or not a zero divisor.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $T$  be a locally Galois  $R$ -algebra with no idempotents except zero and one and let  $S$  be a locally separable  $R$ -subalgebra of  $T$  generated by almost separable elements. Then if  $S$  is of Hochschild dimension one,  $S$  is countably generated as an  $R$ -module.*

This theorem partially answers a question raised by Rosenberg and Zelinsky [5]. A similar result for the case of fields was obtained by MacRae [3]. We break the proof into lemmas, retaining throughout the notation of the hypotheses of the theorem. Unsubscripted tensors are over  $R$ . Thanks are due to the referee for some valuable suggestions.

Let  $G = \text{Aut}_R(T)$ .  $G$  is the inverse limit of its restrictions to the separable  $R$ -subalgebras of  $T$  [4, Theorem 2, p. 338] and hence has a topology as a profinite group. Let  $T$  carry the discrete topology and let  $C(G, T)$  be the ring of continuous  $T$ -valued functions on  $G$ . There is an  $R$ -algebra map  $f: S \otimes S \rightarrow C(G, T)$  defined by  $f(s \otimes t)(g) = sg(t)$ .

**LEMMA 1.**  *$f: S \otimes S \rightarrow C(G, T)$  is a monomorphism.*

**PROOF.** Let  $x = \sum x_i \otimes y_i$  lie in the kernel of  $f$  and let  $V$  be a separable  $R$ -subalgebra of  $S$ , finitely generated and projective as an  $R$ -module, containing each  $x_i$  and  $y_i$ . Let  $W$  be the subalgebra of  $T$  generated by the conjugates of  $V$  under  $G$ ;  $W$  is finitely generated and projective as an  $R$ -module and separable as an  $R$ -algebra,  $\text{Aut}_R(W)$  is finite, and the restriction map  $G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_R(W)$  is onto. (These facts are found in [4, Theorem 1, p. 335] and [1, Corollary 3.3, p. 25].) Let  $E$  be the ring of all  $W$ -valued functions on  $\text{Aut}_R(W)$  and let  $h: W \otimes W \rightarrow E$  be defined by  $h(w \otimes v)(g) = wg(v)$ . By [1, Theorem 1.3,

Received by the editors June 2, 1969.

p. 18],  $h$  is an isomorphism. Moreover, the map of  $E$  to  $C(G, T)$  induced by the restriction of  $G$  to  $\text{Aut}_R(W)$  and the inclusion of  $W$  into  $T$  is one-one.  $V$ , being separable over  $R$ , is a direct summand of  $W$ , so the composite  $V \otimes V \rightarrow W \otimes W \rightarrow E \rightarrow C(G, T)$  is one-one. The image of  $\sum x_i \otimes y_i$  (in  $V \otimes V$ ) under this composite is the same as the image of  $x$  under  $f$ , so that  $\sum x_i \otimes y_i = 0$  in  $V \otimes V$  and thus also in  $S \otimes S$ .

For  $y$  an element of  $S \otimes S$ , let  $Z(y)$  be the set of all  $s$  in  $S$  such that  $(s \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes s)y = 0$  and let  $Z'(y)$  be the subring of  $S$  left fixed by the set  $U$  of all  $g$  in  $G$  such that  $f(y)(g) \neq 0$ .

LEMMA 2. *If  $y$  is nonzero,  $Z'(y)$  is a finitely generated separable  $R$ -algebra. If  $s$  is an almost separable element of  $S$ ,  $s$  is in  $Z(y)$  if and only if  $s$  is in  $Z'(y)$ .*

PROOF. Let  $H$  be the subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $U$  and the group  $P$  of automorphisms fixing  $S$ . By [4, Theorem 3, p. 339],  $S$  is precisely the subring left fixed by  $P$ . Thus  $Z'(y)$  is precisely the subring left fixed by  $H$ . Since  $y$  is not zero, Lemma 1 implies that  $U$  is a non-empty open subset of  $G$ . Thus  $H$  is open in  $G$  and  $[G:H]$  is finite. By [4, Theorem 2, p. 338], then,  $Z'(y)$  is a separable  $R$ -algebra, finitely generated as an  $R$ -module. The second assertion follows directly from Lemma 1.

PROOF OF THEOREM. To say that  $S$  has Hochschild dimension one means that the kernel  $J$  of the multiplication map of  $S \otimes S$  to  $S$  is a projective  $S \otimes S$ -module. Let  $\{f_i, x_i\}$  be a projective coordinate system for  $J$ . We may assume that no  $f_i(x_i)$  is zero. For each  $x$  in  $J$ , only finitely many of the  $f_i(x)$  are not zero, and since each  $f_i$  is  $S \otimes S$ -linear,  $f_i(x)x_i = f_i(xx_i) = xf_i(x_i)$ . For each  $i$ , let  $S_i = Z(f_i(x_i))$  and let  $T_i = Z'(f_i(x_i))$ . Each element  $s$  of  $S$  lies in all but finitely many of the  $S_i$ , for  $s \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes s$  is in  $J$  and by the above only finitely many of the  $(s \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes s)f_i(x_i)$  are nonzero. Thus each almost separable element lies in all but finitely many  $T_i$ . There are infinitely many  $x_i$ : for if not, let  $e = \sum f_i(x_i)$ . For each  $s$  in  $S$  we have by the properties of a projective coordinate system  $s \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes s = \sum f_i(s \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes s)x_i = (s \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes s)e$ . Since  $e$  is clearly idempotent and  $S$  has no nontrivial idempotents, either  $e$  or  $1 - e$  lies in  $J$ . In the first case, the map of  $S$  to  $S \otimes S$  sending  $s$  to  $(s \otimes 1)(1 - e)$  is an  $S \otimes S$ -inverse to the multiplication map of  $S \otimes S$  to  $S$  and hence  $S$  is of dimension zero, contrary to hypothesis. The second case is similar. Since there are infinitely many  $x_i$ , each  $s$  in  $S$  lies in some  $S_i$ , and thus each almost separable  $s$  lies in some  $T_i$ . Since  $S$  is not separable, there are infinitely many  $T_i$ . Now let  $s$  be any element of  $S$ . There are almost separable elements  $s_1, \dots, s_n$  such that  $s$  is in  $R[s_1, \dots, s_n]$ . Each  $s_k$  is in all but finitely

many  $T_i$ , hence  $s$  is in all but finitely many  $T_i$ . It follows that any countable union of the  $T_i$  must be all of  $S$ . Since each  $T_i$  is finitely generated,  $S$  must be countably generated.

If  $s$  is an element of  $S$  such that  $R[s]$  is a separable  $R$ -subalgebra of  $S$  (i.e.  $s$  is a separable element) then by [2, Lemma 2.1, p. 467, and Lemma 2.7, p. 469]  $s$  is almost separable. Thus the theorem applies when  $S$  is generated by separable elements. This will always happen if  $R$  is a local ring with infinite residue class field [2, Lemma 3.1, p. 471]. Also, if  $T$  is a domain clearly every element of  $S$  is almost separable.

Now if  $R$  is any connected (i.e. contains no nontrivial idempotents) commutative ring and if  $S$  is any connected, locally separable  $R$ -algebra,  $S$  can always be embedded in a connected locally Galois  $R$ -algebra, namely the separable closure of  $R$  [2, pp. 462–465]. If  $R$  is an integrally closed Noetherian domain, the separable closure of  $R$  is also a domain by [2, Corollary 4.2, p. 473]. Thus we have

*COROLLARY. Let  $R$  be an integrally closed Noetherian domain or a local ring with infinite residue class field. Then any connected, locally separable  $R$ -algebra of Hochschild dimension one is countably generated as an  $R$ -module*

#### REFERENCES

1. S. Chase, D. Harrison and A. Rosenberg, *Galois theory and Galois cohomology of commutative rings*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. No. 52 (1965), 15–33. MR 33 #4118.
2. G. Janusz, *Separable algebras over commutative rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 122 (1966), 461–479. MR 35 #1585.
3. R. MacRae, *On cardinality, cohomology, and a conjecture of Rosenberg and Zelinsky*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 118 (1965), 243–246.
4. T. Nagahara, *A note on Galois theory of commutative rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 18 (1967), 334–340. MR 34 #7580.
5. A. Rosenberg and D. Zelinsky, *Cohomology of infinite algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 82 (1956), 85–98. MR 17, 1181.

NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY