

# FOURIER-STIELTJES TRANSFORMS TENDING TO ZERO

LAWRENCE J. WALLEN

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $\mu$  be a Borel measure on the circle,  $\hat{\mu}$  its Fourier transform. It is shown that a certain thinness condition on the positive part of the support of  $\hat{\mu}$  forces a power of  $\mu$  (in the sense of convolution) to be absolutely continuous.

Let  $\mu$  be a Borel measure on  $[0, 2\pi]$ ,  $\hat{\mu}$  its Fourier transform (i.e. the sequence of Fourier coefficients  $\hat{\mu}(n) = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-inx} d\mu(x)$ ), and let  $S^+$  be the set of positive integers  $n$  such that  $\hat{\mu}(n) \neq 0$ . There are many theorems which permit one to conclude that if  $S^+$  is sparse, then  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous (this class will henceforth be denoted by A.C.). The classical F. and M. Riesz theorem is, of course, the prototype. It asserts that if  $S^+$  is finite,  $\mu \in \text{A.C.}$  Newer and more recondite results permit the same conclusion if  $S^+$  has Hadamard gaps, or  $S^+$  is the set of perfect squares or  $S^+$  is the set of primes (see [1]).

In this note, we prove an elementary theorem that allows us to infer from a certain thinness condition on  $S^+$  that  $\hat{\mu}$  tends to zero. We prove this, not by showing that  $\mu \in \text{A.C.}$ , but by showing that some power of  $\mu$  in the sense of convolution is in A.C. The thinness condition is similar to one used by Glicksberg to obtain the same sort of conclusion (see [2]). However, Glicksberg's theorem seems to be a harmonic analysis theorem, while our much shallower result seems to be function theoretic.

One last bit of notation is this:  $f(\theta)d\theta$  is the measure  $\nu$  defined by  $d\nu/d\mu = f(\theta)$  for  $f \in L^\infty$ .

**THEOREM.** Let  $S^+ = \{n_i\}$  be such that  $\lim_i (n_{i+p} - n_i) = \infty$  for some positive integer  $p$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \star \mu \star \cdots \star \mu &\in \text{A.C.} \\ &(p + 1) \text{ times} \end{aligned}$$

**PROOF.** The condition on  $\{n_i\}$  is clearly equivalent to the following assertion: given  $0 < k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_p$ , the set of  $n$  such that  $n \in S^+$ ,  $n + k_1 \in S^+$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $n + k_p \in S^+$  is finite. Fixing  $k_1, \cdots, k_p$  for the

Received by the editors July 18, 1969.

*AMS Subject Classifications.* Primary 4210; Secondary 4225.

*Key Words and Phrases.* Fourier-Stieltjes coefficients, convolution, absolutely continuous measure, F. and M. Riesz Theorem.

<sup>1</sup> This work was supported by NSF Grant GP 9523.

moment, we have then that

$$\hat{\mu}(n)\hat{\mu}(n+k_1) \cdots \hat{\mu}(n+k_p) = (\mu \star \exp[ik_1\theta]\mu \star \cdots \star \exp[ik_p\theta]\mu)^\wedge(n)$$

vanishes for all but a finite set of positive integers  $n$ . The theorem of F. and M. Riesz implies that  $\mu \star \exp[ik_1\theta]\mu \star \cdots \star \exp[ik_p\theta]\mu \in \text{A.C.}$  Let  $\mu = \alpha + \sigma$  where  $\alpha \in \text{A.C.}$  and  $\sigma$  is singular. Since A.C. is an ideal, we have the fact that  $\sigma \star \exp[ik_1\theta]\sigma \star \cdots \star \exp[ik_p\theta]\sigma \in \text{A.C.}$  Hence if  $P(\theta)$  is a trigonometric polynomial of the form  $P(\theta) = \sum \alpha_j \exp[iq_j\theta]$  where  $q_j > k_{p-1}$ , then  $\sigma \star \exp[ik_1\theta]\sigma \star \cdots \star \exp[ik_{p-1}\theta]\sigma \star P(\theta)\sigma \in \text{A.C.}$  According to a famous Theorem of Szegö (see [3]), there exists a sequence  $P_n$  of such trigonometric polynomials such that  $\int |1 - P_n(\theta)| d|\sigma| \rightarrow 0$ . Thus  $p_n(\theta)\sigma \rightarrow \sigma$  in the variation norm and so  $\sigma \star \exp[ik_1\theta]\sigma \star \cdots \star \exp[ik_{p-1}\theta]\sigma \star \sigma \in \text{A.C.}$  This procedure can now be iterated to finally get  $\sigma \star \cdots \star \sigma \in \text{A.C.}$ , concluding the proof.

#### REFERENCES

1. Y. Meyer, *Spectres des mesures et mesures absolument continues*, Studia Math. **30** (1968), 87-99. MR **37** #3281.
2. I. Glicksberg, *Fourier-Stieltjes transforms with small supports*, Illinois J. Math. **9** (1965), 418-427. MR **33** #506.
3. N. I. Ahieser, *Lectures on the theory of approximation*, OGIZ, Moscow, 1947; English transl., Ungar, New York, 1956. MR **10**, 33; MR **20** #1872.

UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII