

THE DEFICIENCY OF METACYCLIC GROUPS

J. W. WAMSLEY

If a finite group G is generated by n elements and defined by m relations between them then G has a presentation

$$G = \{x_1, \dots, x_n \mid R_1, \dots, R_m\}.$$

Clearly $m \geq n$ and the value $n - m$ is said to be the deficiency of the given presentation. The deficiency of G , denoted $\text{def}(G)$, is the maximum of the deficiencies of all the finite presentations of G .

It is implicit in I. Schur [1] that the minimal number of generators of the Schur "multiplier", as an abelian group, is less than or equal to $-\text{def}(G)$. B. H. Neumann [2] asks whether a finite group with trivial multiplier has deficiency zero, R. G. Swan [3] answers this question by giving a family of finite groups with trivial multiplier and negative deficiency.

In this paper we confirm Neumann's conjecture that $H_2(G, Z) = 0$ if and only if $\text{def}(G) = 0$ in the metacyclic case by proving

THEOREM. *Let G be a finite group with presentation*

$$G = \{a, b \mid b^{-1}aba^{-1-\alpha}, b^\gamma a^{-\delta}, a^\beta\}$$

with $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ nonnegative and such that the order of G is $\gamma\beta$, then the following are equivalent:

- (i) *Deficiency of G is zero.*
- (ii) $H_2(G, Z) = 0$.
- (iii) $\text{G.C.D}(\alpha, \beta, \delta, \alpha\delta/\beta, \{(1+\alpha)\gamma-1\}/\beta, \{(1+\alpha)\gamma-1\}/\alpha) = 1$.

LEMMA 1. *Let G be a finite group with presentation*

$$G = \{a, b \mid b^{-1}aba^{-\alpha}, b^\gamma a^{-\delta}, a^\beta\}$$

with $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ nonnegative integers such that G is of order $\gamma\beta$ then $H_2(G, Z) = Z_n$, the cyclic group of order n , where

$$n = \text{G.C.D}(\alpha - 1, \beta, \delta, (\alpha^\gamma - 1)/\beta, (\alpha^\gamma - 1)/(\alpha - 1), \delta(\alpha - 1)/\beta).$$

PROOF. A resolution given by C. T. C. Wall [4] is

$$0 \leftarrow Z \xleftarrow{\epsilon} A_0 \xleftarrow{d_1} A_1 \xleftarrow{d_2} A_2 \xleftarrow{d_3}$$

Received by the editors September 16, 1968 and, in revised form, May 13, 1969.

where A_n is free on $a_{n,0}, a_{n-1,1}, \dots, a_{0,n}$; with d given in matrix form as

$$d_1 = (a - 1 \quad b - 1),$$

$$d_2 = \begin{pmatrix} N & 1 - bL_1 & M \\ 0 & a - 1 & \sum_{j=0}^{\gamma-1} b^j \end{pmatrix},$$

$$d_3 = \begin{pmatrix} a - 1 & bL_1 - 1 & -(1/\beta)(\alpha^\gamma - 1) & -b(\delta_\alpha - \delta/\beta) \\ 0 & N & -\sum_{j=0}^{\gamma-1} b^j L_j & M \\ 0 & 0 & a - 1 & b - 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$N = \sum_{i=0}^{\beta-1} a^i, \quad L_j = \sum a^i: 0 \leq i < \alpha^j$$

and

$$M = -1 - a - \dots - a^{\delta-1}, \quad \delta \neq 0,$$

$$= 0, \quad \delta = 0,$$

whence the result follows.

LEMMA 2. Let G be a finite group with presentation

$$G = \{a, b \mid b^{-1}ab = a^{1+\alpha}, b^\gamma = a^\delta, a^\beta = 1\}$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ are nonnegative, G of order $\gamma\beta$, and $H_2(G, Z) = 0$, then $\text{def}(G) = 0$.

PROOF. If p and q are integers such that p^r divides q but p^{r+1} does not divide q we write $p^r \parallel q$.

(i) If $p^r \parallel \beta, p^{r+s} \parallel \delta, s > 0, \delta$ nonzero, p prime then replace δ with $\delta(p^s + \beta/p^r)/p^s = \delta'$ giving $p^r \parallel \delta'$. Suppose q is such that $(q, p) = 1$ and $q \parallel \beta, q^n \parallel \delta$ then $q^n \parallel \delta'$ i.e. we may choose δ to be zero or such that if p is a prime with $p^r \parallel \beta$ then $p^{r+1} \nmid \delta$.

(ii) If $\beta = p_1^{\beta_1} \dots p_n^{\beta_n}, p_i$ distinct primes, $\beta_i > 0$. Then we may take $\delta = p_1^{\delta_1} \dots p_n^{\delta_n}$ or 0, $\delta_i \geq 0, \delta_i \leq \beta_i$ for if $\delta = kt, (k, \beta) = 1$ replace a with a^k in the generating set.

(iii) Similarly we may take

$$\alpha = p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_n^{\alpha_n} h, \quad (h, \beta) = 1, \quad \alpha_i \leq \beta_i.$$

(iv) If $(\alpha, \beta) = 1$, then $\delta = 0$ since $\beta \mid \alpha\delta$. We then solve $m\alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{\beta}$ for m . Let $G_1 = \{a, b \mid b^{-1}a^m b = a^{m+1}, b^\gamma = a^\beta\}$ giving $b^{-1}ab = a^{1+\alpha}, a^\beta = 1, b^\gamma = 1$ i.e. $G_1 \cong G$, so we may assume $(\alpha, \beta) \neq 1$.

(v) If $\delta=0$, then $(ab)^\gamma = a^{(1+\alpha)^\gamma - 1/\alpha}$, suppose $p^r \mid \beta$, $p^s \mid \alpha$, $s \leq r$, $s \neq 0$, $p^s \neq 2$, then $p \nmid \{(1+\alpha)^\gamma - 1\}/\beta$, otherwise $H_2(G, Z) \neq 0$, i.e., $p^{r-s} \parallel \gamma$, and $p^r \nmid \{(1+\alpha)^\gamma - 1\}/\alpha$, giving $(ab)^\gamma \neq 1$. In the case $p^s = 2$ we have

$$2 \nmid \{(1+\alpha)^\gamma - 1\}/\beta, \quad \text{otherwise } H_2(G, Z) \neq 0,$$

i.e. $2^r \parallel (1+\alpha)^\gamma - 1$, giving $2^r \nmid \{(1+\alpha)^\gamma - 1\}/\alpha$ or $(ab)^\gamma \neq 1$. Replacing b with ab in the generating set gives $\delta \neq 0$, so we may assume $\delta \neq 0$.

(vi) Let

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &= p_1^{\beta_1} \cdots p_n^{\beta_n}, & p_i &\text{ distinct primes, } \beta_i > 0, \\ \alpha &= k p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_n^{\alpha_n}, & \alpha_i &\leq \beta_i, \quad (k, \beta) = 1, \\ \delta &= p_1^{\delta_1} \cdots p_n^{\delta_n}, & \delta_i &\leq \beta_i, \\ \gamma &= h p_1^{\gamma_1} \cdots p_n^{\gamma_n}, & (h, \beta) &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let m be such that $m\alpha k \equiv \alpha \pmod{\alpha^2 \delta/k}$, i.e. $mk \equiv 1 \pmod{\alpha \delta/k}$. Let $G_2 = \{a, b \mid b^{-1} a^m b = a^{m+\alpha/k}, b^\gamma = a^\delta\}$. Then we have

- (a) a has order dividing $\alpha \delta/k$.
- (b) $b^{-1} a b = a^{1+\alpha}$.
- (c) a has order dividing $(1+\alpha)^\gamma - 1$.
- (d) $\beta \mid \alpha \delta/k$.
- (e) If $p \mid \alpha \delta/k$ then $p \mid \beta$.

Suppose $p^r \parallel \beta$, $r > 0$ and $p^s \parallel \alpha$, $p^t \parallel \delta$, $p^m \parallel \gamma$. If $p^{r+1} \mid \alpha \delta$, then $p^r \parallel (\alpha \delta/k, (1+\alpha)^\gamma - 1)$. Suppose $p^{r+1} \nmid \alpha \delta$, then $s+t > r$, giving $s > 0$, $t > 0$, since $s \leq r$, $t \leq r$, whence $p \mid \alpha$, $p \mid \beta$, $p \mid \delta$, $p \mid \delta \alpha/\beta$. However since $H_2(G, Z) = 0$, $p \nmid \{(1+\alpha)^\gamma - 1\}/\beta$ giving $p^r \parallel (1+\alpha)^\gamma - 1$ or $p^r \parallel (\alpha \delta/k, (1+\alpha)^\gamma - 1)$ whereby it follows $\beta = (\alpha \delta/k, (1+\alpha)^\gamma - 1)$ giving $G_2 \cong G$.

The proof of the theorem follows from the lemmas.

Finally I wish to thank Dr. I. D. Macdonald, who suggested the problem, for his assistance and the referee for his helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. I. Schur, *Untersuchungen über die Darstellung der endlichen Gruppen durch gebrochene lineare Substitutionen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **132** (1907), 85–137.
2. B. H. Neumann, *On some finite groups with trivial multiplier*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **4** (1956), 190–194. MR **18**, 12.
3. R. G. Swan, *Minimal resolutions for finite groups*, Topology **4** (1965), 193–208. MR **31** #3482.
4. C. T. C. Wall, *Resolutions for extensions of groups*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **57** (1961), 251–255. MR **31** #2304.

FLINDERS UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH AUSTRALIA, BEDFORD PARK, SOUTH AUSTRALIA