

MAXIMAL TWO-SIDED IDEALS IN TENSOR PRODUCTS OF BANACH ALGEBRAS

KJELD B. LAURSEN

In [1] and [2] a construction of a bijection $\mathfrak{M}_3 \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{M}_1 \times \mathfrak{M}_2$ is given, where \mathfrak{M}_i is the set of maximal modular (two-sided) ideals in the Banach algebra A_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) and where $A_3 = A_1 \otimes_{\gamma} A_2$ is the greatest cross-norm tensor product of A_1 and A_2 . In a recent correction [3] it is shown that there is indeed a closed 1-1 mapping $\mathfrak{M}_1 \times \mathfrak{M}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_3$ when hull-kernel topologies are used. However, it is an open question when this mapping is surjective. In this note we show that the mapping is onto when one of the Banach algebras A_1 and A_2 is commutative. Also we give a correct proof of a theorem in [5], the original proof depended on [2].

The methods employed are adaptations of those in [6].

Suppose A_1 and A_2 are Banach algebras and suppose A_1 is commutative. Let \mathfrak{M}_i be the set of maximal modular (two-sided) ideals. Each $h \in \mathfrak{M}_1$ is a continuous \mathbb{C} -valued homomorphism and induces a homomorphism

$$\phi_h: A_3 \rightarrow A_2$$

defined by

$$t = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{1i} \otimes a_{2i} \in A_3 \Rightarrow \phi_h(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} h(a_{1i})a_{2i} \in A_2.$$

THEOREM 1. *If $A_1, A_2, A_3 = A_1 \otimes A_2$ are Banach algebras with spaces \mathfrak{M}_i of maximal modular ideals and if A_1 is commutative then there is a bijection*

$$\tilde{T}: \mathfrak{M}_1 \times \mathfrak{M}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_3.$$

This bijection is given by the following:

$M_3 \subseteq A_3$ is an element of \mathfrak{M}_3 if and only if there is a continuous homomorphism $h \in \mathfrak{M}_1$ and a maximal modular ideal $M_2 \in \mathfrak{M}_2$ such that

$$M_3 = \phi_h^{-1}(M_2) \equiv \tilde{T}(h, M_2).$$

When the algebras have identities the mapping \tilde{T} is identical with the one defined in [3] and, consequently, is closed with respect to the hull-kernel topologies.

Received by the editors January 29, 1969.

PROOF. Sufficiency. Suppose $h \in \mathfrak{M}_1, M_2 \in \mathfrak{M}_2$ with identities modulo the ideal u_1 and u_2 , respectively, and suppose $M_3 = \phi_h^{-1}(M_2)$. Clearly, M_3 is a modular ideal with $u_1 \otimes u_2$ as an identity modulo M_3 . Suppose $M'_3 \supsetneq M_3$. From the definition of M_3 we get $\phi_h(M'_3) \supsetneq M_2$, i.e. $\phi_h(M'_3) = A_2$, since M_2 is maximal. Therefore, there is $t \in M'_3$ so that $\phi_h(t) = u_2$. Now, $\phi_h(t - u_1 \otimes u_2) = \phi_h(t) - h(u_1)u_2 = u_2 - u_2 = 0$ so $t - u_1 \otimes u_2 \in M_3 \subseteq M'_3$; hence $u_1 \otimes u_2 \in M'_3$ and $M'_3 = A_3$. It follows that M_3 is maximal.

To prove that every $M_3 \in \mathfrak{M}_3$ is of the form $\phi_h^{-1}(M_2)$ for some $h \in \mathfrak{M}_1, M_2 \in \mathfrak{M}_2$ suppose first that A_1 and A_2 have identities, both denoted by e . Let $M_3 \in \mathfrak{M}_3$. Let $\pi: A_3 \rightarrow A_3/M_3$ be the canonical mapping and let π_i be the restriction of π to A_i via the identifications

$$A_1 \leftrightarrow A_1 \otimes e \subseteq A_3, \quad A_2 \leftrightarrow e \otimes A_2 \subseteq A_3.$$

The kernel of π_1 is easily seen to be $A_1 \cap M_3$ so in the diagram below provided us by the induced mapping theorem $U: A_1/A_1 \cap M_3 \rightarrow A_3/M_3$ is seen to be an isomorphism.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_1 & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & A_3/M_3 \\ \sigma \searrow & & \nearrow U \\ & & A_1/A_1 \cap M_3 \end{array}$$

Since A_1 is commutative $\pi_1(A_1)$ is an abelian subalgebra of A_3/M_3 . Since $\pi_1(A_1)$ and $\pi_2(A_2)$ commute elementwise, $\pi_1(A_1) \subseteq \text{center}(\pi_1(A_1)\pi_2(A_2))$. Consequently, from $A_1 \otimes A_2$ being dense in $A_1 \otimes_\gamma A_2$ we infer that $\pi_1(A_1) \subseteq \text{center } A_3/M_3$. From the diagram we then conclude $U(A_1/A_1 \cap M_3) = U(\sigma(A_1)) = \pi_1(A_1) \subseteq \text{center}(A_3/M_3)$. Therefore $A_1/A_1 \cap M_3 \cong \mathbb{C}$ because A_3/M_3 is primitive [7, p. 61]. It follows that $A_1 \cap M_3$ is a maximal ideal of A_1 and consequently is an element, h , of \mathfrak{M}_1 .

Next we show that kernel $(\phi_h) \subseteq M_3$. But this follows immediately from the observation that

$$\pi = \pi_2 \circ \phi_h$$

together with kernel $(\pi) = M_3$. ($\pi = \pi_2 \circ \phi_h$ is seen to hold by noting that both sides of the equation are continuous and coincide on $A_1 \otimes A_2$, i.e. everywhere.)

We now show that $M_3 = \phi_h^{-1}(M_2)$ where $M_2 \in \mathfrak{M}_2$. Since ϕ_h is surjective, $\phi_h(M_3)$ is an ideal in A_2 . If $\phi_h(M_3) = A_2$ then there is $t \in M_3$ so that $\phi_h(t) = e \in A_2$ and hence $\phi_h(t - e \otimes e) = 0$. Since kernel $(\phi_h) \subseteq M_3$, $e \otimes e \in M_3$, i.e. $M_3 = A_3$. It follows that $\phi_h(M_3)$ is a proper ideal. Let

$M_2 \supseteq \phi_h(M_3)$ be a maximal ideal. We claim that $M_3 = \phi_h^{-1}(M_2)$. Since M_3 as well as $\phi_h^{-1}(M_2)$ are maximal (see the sufficiency part of this proof) it suffices to note that $M_3 \subseteq \phi_h^{-1}(M_2)$.

Before removing the assumption concerning the presence of identities we show that the mapping defined above is identical with that of [3].

Let \mathfrak{F}_i be the set of closed (two-sided) ideals in A_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$). Then Gelbaum defines the following mappings S and T .

If $I_i \in \mathfrak{F}_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) then

$$R: A_3 \ni t = \sum a_{1i} \otimes a_{2i} \rightarrow \sum (a_{1i}/I_1) \otimes (a_{2i}/I_2) \in A_1/I_1 \otimes_{\gamma} A_2/I_2$$

and $T(I_1, I_2) = \text{kernel}(R) \in \mathfrak{F}_3$.

If $I_3 \in \mathfrak{F}_3$ then

$$G_1: A_1 \ni a_1 \rightarrow a_1 \otimes e/I_3 \in A_3/I_3, \\ G_2: A_2 \ni a_2 \rightarrow e \otimes a_2/I_3 \in A_3/I_3;$$

kernel $(G_i) = I_i$, $i = 1, 2$ and $S(I_3) = (I_1, I_2) \in \mathfrak{F}_1 \times \mathfrak{F}_2$. Note that $S(I_3) = (I_3 \cap A_1 \otimes e, I_3 \cap e \otimes A_2)$.

It is then shown that ST is the identity on $\mathfrak{N}_1 \times \mathfrak{N}_2$ and that S induces a mapping $\tilde{S}: \mathfrak{N}_3 \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_1 \times \mathfrak{N}_2$, while T induces $\tilde{T}: \mathfrak{N}_1 \times \mathfrak{N}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_3$ with the property that $\tilde{S}\tilde{T}$ is the identity on $\mathfrak{N}_1 \times \mathfrak{N}_2$. Actually \tilde{T} and \tilde{S} are defined as choice functions; if $(M_1, M_2) \in \mathfrak{N}_1 \times \mathfrak{N}_2$ then $T(M_1, M_2) \in \mathfrak{F}_3$. Take any $M_3 \in \mathfrak{N}_3$ such that $M_3 \supseteq T(M_1, M_2)$ and define $\tilde{T}(M_1, M_2) = M_3$. Similarly, if $M_3 \in \mathfrak{N}_3$ and $S(M_3) = (I_1, I_2) \in \mathfrak{F}_1 \times \mathfrak{F}_2$ pick $M_i \in \mathfrak{N}_i$ such that $I_i \subseteq M_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) and define $\tilde{S}(M_3) = (M_1, M_2)$. We shall show that in our situation $T(h, M_2) = \phi_h^{-1}(M_2)$ for every $(h, M_2) \in \mathfrak{N}_1 \times \mathfrak{N}_2$; this will show that \tilde{T} is surjective and that $\tilde{S} = \tilde{T}^{-1}$. Let $(h, M_2) \in \mathfrak{N}_1 \times \mathfrak{N}_2$; then $T(h, M_2) = \text{kernel}(R)$, where

$$R: t = \sum a_{1i} \otimes a_{2i} \rightarrow \sum a_{1i}/h \otimes a_{2i}/M_2 \\ = \sum h(a_{1i})a_{2i}/M_2 \\ = (\sum h(a_{1i})a_{2i})/M_2 \\ = \phi_h(t)/M_2,$$

i.e. $t \in T(h, M_2)$ if and only if $\phi_h(t) \in M_2$ so

$$T(h, M_2) = \phi_h^{-1}(M_2).$$

It follows that $M_3 = T(h, M_2) \in \mathfrak{N}_3$. We have shown that Gelbaum's

mapping \tilde{T} is identical with that introduced here. Theorem 1 [3] gives us the result that \tilde{T} is closed with respect to the hull-kernel topologies.

If A_1 and A_2 do not necessarily have identities, let \tilde{A}_i ($i = 1, 2$) be the smallest algebra with an identity containing A_i and let $\tilde{A}_3 = \tilde{A}_1 \otimes_\gamma \tilde{A}_2$. Then A_3 can be viewed as an ideal in \tilde{A}_3 [2, Lemma 2]. What remains to be shown is that if $M_3 \in \mathfrak{M}_3$ then we can find $h \in \mathfrak{M}_1$ and $M_2 \in \mathfrak{M}_2$ such that $M_3 = \phi_h^{-1}(M_2)$. Let $M_3 \in \mathfrak{M}_3$ and let u be an identity mod M_3 . Define

$$\tilde{M}_3 = \{t \in \tilde{A}_3, ut \in M_3 \text{ and } tu \in M_3\}.$$

Then the following holds:

- (a) $M_3 \subseteq \tilde{M}_3$, and
- (b) u is an identity (in \tilde{A}_3) mod \tilde{M}_3 .

(a) is clear, and (b) is proved in [2, Lemma 4].

Let \tilde{M}'_3 be a maximal modular ideal containing \tilde{M}_3 . Since \tilde{M}'_3 is proper $u \notin \tilde{M}'_3$. By the part already proved we can find maximal modular ideals $\tilde{h} \subseteq \tilde{A}_1$ and $\tilde{M}_2 \subseteq \tilde{A}_2$ such that

$$\tilde{M}'_3 = \phi_{\tilde{h}}^{-1}(M_2).$$

If $\tilde{h} \supseteq A_1$ or if $\tilde{M}_2 \supseteq A_2$ then $\phi_{\tilde{h}}(u) = 0$, i.e. $u \in \tilde{M}'_3$ which is a contradiction. It follows that $\tilde{h} \cap A_1 \equiv h \in \mathfrak{M}_1$ and $\tilde{M}_2 \cap A_2 \equiv M_2 \in \mathfrak{M}_2$. Left to show is that

$$M_3 = \phi_h^{-1}(M_2).$$

Both sides of this expression are maximal ideals so it suffices to show

$$M_3 \subseteq \phi_h^{-1}(M_2);$$

but

$$\begin{aligned} t \in M_3 &\Rightarrow t \in A_3 \cap \tilde{M}'_3 \\ &\Rightarrow \phi_{\tilde{h}}(t) \in \tilde{M}_2 \cap A_2 = M_2. \end{aligned}$$

Also $\phi_{\tilde{h}}(t) = \phi_h(t)$, since $h = \tilde{h} \cap A_1$, so

$$\phi_h(M_3) \subseteq M_2 \quad \text{i.e. } M_3 \subseteq \phi_h^{-1}(M_2).$$

This finishes the proof.

A Banach algebra is strongly semisimple if the intersection of all maximal modular two-sided ideals is $\{0\}$.

Let λ be the "least cross-norm" [8] and let $\tau: A_1 \otimes_\gamma A_2 \rightarrow A_1 \otimes_\lambda A_2$ be the canonical mapping (the extension to all of $A_1 \otimes_\gamma A_2$ of the identity on the algebraic tensor product $A_1 \otimes A_2$).

THEOREM 2 [5]. *Suppose A_1 and A_2 are strongly semisimple Banach algebras and suppose A_1 is commutative. Then $A_1 \otimes_\gamma A_2$ is strongly semisimple if and only if*

$$\tau: A_1 \otimes_\gamma A_2 \rightarrow A_1 \otimes_\lambda A_2$$

is 1-1.

PROOF. Suppose τ is 1-1. Since A_1 is commutative, Theorem 1 tells us that Theorem 2 [3] applies so $A_3 = A_1 \otimes_\gamma A_2$ is strongly semisimple. Suppose conversely that $A_1 \otimes_\gamma A_2$ is strongly semisimple. Then if $t \in A_3$ and $t \neq 0$ we can find $M_3 \in \mathfrak{M}_3$ so that $t \notin M_3 = \phi_h^{-1}(M_2)$ for some $(h, M_2) \in \mathfrak{M}_1 \times \mathfrak{M}_2$ (by Theorem 1). Consequently, $\phi_h(t) \notin M_2$ and in particular $\phi_h(t) \neq 0$ so there is $a_2^* \in A_2^*$ (the dual space of A_2) for which $a_2^*(\phi_h(t)) \neq 0$. Since $\lambda(\tau(t)) = \sup |a_1^* \otimes a_2^*(t)|$ where the supremum is taken when a_i^* ranges over the unit ball of A_i^* ($i=1, 2$) and since $a_2^*(\phi_h(t)) = h \otimes a_2^*(t)$, as an easy computation shows, we conclude that $\lambda(\tau(t)) \neq 0$ and hence that τ is 1-1.

REMARK. It is easy to see that if A_1 is commutative and $A_1 \otimes_\gamma A_2$ is strongly semisimple then A_1 and A_2 are strongly semisimple: $a_2 \in \bigcap_{M_2 \in \mathfrak{M}_2} M_2$ if and only if $\phi_h^{-1}(a_2) = 0$ for every $h \in \mathfrak{M}_1$ by Theorem 1 and the strong semisimplicity of $A_1 \otimes_\gamma A_2$. Since $\phi_h(a_1 \otimes a_2) = h_1(a_1)a_2$ it follows that $a_1 \otimes a_2 = 0$ for any $a_1 \in A_1$, i.e. $a_2 = 0$. Similarly, $a_1 \in \bigcap_{h \in \mathfrak{M}_1} h$ implies that $\phi_h(a_1 \otimes a_2) = 0$ for every $h \in \mathfrak{M}_1$ and every $a_2 \in A_2$, i.e. $a_1 \otimes a_2 = 0$ by the strong semisimplicity of $A_1 \otimes_\gamma A_2$ so $a_1 = 0$.

COROLLARY 1. *Let G be a locally compact abelian group, A a Banach algebra and $L^1(G, A)$ the algebra of Bochner-integrable functions from G to A with convolution multiplication. Then $L^1(G, A)$ is strongly semisimple if and only if A is strongly semisimple.*

PROOF. $L^1(G, A) = L^1(G) \otimes_\gamma A$ [4]. Moreover, $\tau: L^1(G) \otimes_\gamma A \rightarrow L^1(G) \otimes_\lambda A$ is 1-1 since $L^1(G)$ satisfies Grothendieck's condition of approximation [4]. The sufficiency then follows from Theorem 2, since $L^1(G)$ is known to be (strongly) semisimple [9]. The necessity is covered by the above remark.

COROLLARY 2 [9, THEOREM 1.7]. *Let G be an abelian locally compact group and H a compact group. Then $L^1(G \times H)$ is strongly semisimple.*

PROOF. $L^1(G \times H) = L^1(G) \otimes_\gamma L^1(H)$ [4] and $L^1(H)$ is strongly semisimple [9].

REFERENCES

1. B. R. Gelbaum, *Tensor products of Banach algebras*, *Canad. J. Math.* **11** (1959), 297–310. MR **21** #2922.
2. ———, *Note on the tensor product of Banach algebras*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **12**(1961), 750–757. MR **24** #A1039.
3. ———, *Tensor products of Banach algebras*, Corrections of earlier work, 1968 (preprint).
4. A. Grothendieck, *Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires*, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. No. 16* (1955), MR **17**, 763.
5. K. B. Laursen, *Tensor products of Banach- \ast -algebras*, Thesis, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minn., 1967.
6. A. Lebow, *Maximal ideals in tensor products of Banach algebras*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **74** (1968), 1020–1022. MR **38** #539.
7. C. Rickart, *General theory of Banach algebras*, The University Series in Higher Mathematics, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1960. MR **22** #5903.
8. R. Schatten, *A theory of cross-spaces*, *Ann. of Math. Studies*, no. 26, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1950. MR **12**, 186.
9. I. E. Segal, *The group algebra of a locally compact group*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **61** (1947), 69–105. MR **8**, 438.

UNIVERSITY OF AARHUS, AARHUS, DENMARK