

## ON ELEMENTARY GROUPS

ERNEST L. STITZINGER

ABSTRACT. Bechtell has defined a group  $G$  to be elementary if the Frattini subgroup of each subgroup of  $G$  is the identity. In this note we prove the following: If the derived group of  $G$  is nilpotent, then necessary and sufficient conditions that  $G$  be elementary are that the Frattini subgroup of  $G$  be the identity and that the Frattini subgroup of some Carter subgroup  $K$  of  $G$  be equal to the derived group of  $K$ .

Bechtell in [1] has defined a group  $G$  to be elementary if the Frattini subgroup of each subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ ,  $\text{Fr}(H)$ , is the identity. In this note we find that if  $G^1$  is nilpotent then necessary and sufficient conditions that  $G$  be elementary are that  $\text{Fr}(G) = 1$  and  $\text{Fr}(K) = K^1$  for some (hence all) Carter subgroup  $K$  of  $G$ . Only finite solvable groups are considered here and the notation is as in [4].

LEMMA 1. *Let  $A$  be an invariant subgroup of  $G$  and  $B$  be a subgroup of  $G$  such that  $A \subseteq B$  and  $B/A$  is a Carter subgroup of  $G/A$ . Let  $H$  be a Carter subgroup of  $B$ . Then  $H$  is a Carter subgroup of  $G$ .*

PROOF.  $H$  is nilpotent. Let  $x \in N_G(H)$ . Then  $xA \in N_{G/A}(HA/A)$ . By a remark in [2],  $HA/A$  is a Carter subgroup of  $B/A$  and  $B/A$  is nilpotent. Hence  $HA = B$  and  $xA \in N_{G/A}(B/A)$ . Therefore  $x \in B$  and hence  $x \in N_B(H) = H$ .

LEMMA 2. *Let  $G^1$  be complemented in  $G$  by a subgroup  $K$  such that  $G^1$  and  $K$  are abelian and  $G^1 \cap Z(G) = 1$ . Then Carter subgroups of  $G$  are precisely those subgroups of  $G$  which are complements to  $G^1$ .*

PROOF.  $K$  is nilpotent. If  $N_G(K)$  contains  $K$  properly then there exists  $x \in N_G(K) \cap G^1$ ,  $x \neq 1$ . Then for all  $k \in K$ ,  $xkx^{-1} \in K$  which yields  $xkx^{-1}k^{-1} \in K \cap G^1 = 1$ . Therefore  $x \in C_G(K)$  and, since  $G^1$  is abelian,  $x \in Z(G)$ , whence  $x = 1$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $N_G(K) = K$  and  $K$  is a Carter subgroup of  $G$ . If  $J$  is another Carter subgroup of  $G$ , then  $K$  and  $J$  are conjugate, hence  $J$  also complements  $G^1$ .

COROLLARY. *Let  $G^1$  be nilpotent and  $\text{Fr}(G) = 1$ . Then Carter subgroups of  $G$  are precisely those subgroups of  $G$  which complement  $G^1$ .*

PROOF. By Satz 12 in [3],  $\text{Fit}(G) = \text{Soc}(G)$ , hence  $G^1$  is abelian. By

---

Received by the editors February 5, 1970.

AMS 1969 subject classifications. Primary 2040, 2025.

Key words and phrases. Elementary group, Carter subgroup, Frattini subgroup.

Satz 7 in [3], there exists a complement  $K$  to  $G^1$  in  $G$ . Since  $[K, K] \subseteq K \cap G^1 = 1$ ,  $K$  is abelian. Furthermore  $Z(G) \cap G^1 \subseteq \text{Fr}(G) = 1$ . Hence  $G$  satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 2.

LEMMA 3. *Let  $G^1$  be nilpotent. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\text{Fr}(G) = 1$ .
- (2)  $\text{Fit}(G) = \text{Soc}(G)$  and  $\text{Fit}(G)$  is complemented by a subgroup and  $\text{Fr}(G) \subseteq G^1$ .
- (3)  $G^1$  is abelian, is completely reducible under inner automorphisms of  $G$ , is complemented by a subgroup and  $\text{Fr}(G) \subseteq G^1$ .

PROOF. That (1) implies (2) is well known even if  $G^1$  is not nilpotent.

Assume (2) holds and proceed by induction on the order of  $G$ . Since  $G^1 \subseteq \text{Fit}(G) = \text{Soc}(G)$ ,  $G^1$  is abelian. If every minimal invariant subgroup of  $G$  is contained in  $G^1$ , then  $G^1 = \text{Soc}(G)$  and (3) follows. Therefore let  $A$  be a minimal invariant subgroup of  $G$  such that  $A \not\subseteq G^1$ . Hence  $A \not\subseteq \text{Fr}(G)$  and  $A$  is complemented by a maximal subgroup, say  $K$ . Since  $[G, A] \subseteq G^1 \cap A = 1$ ,  $A$  is central in  $G$ , hence  $G$  is the direct product of  $A$  and  $K$ .  $K$  inherits the conditions (2), hence  $K$  satisfies (3) by induction. It now follows that  $G$  also satisfies (3).

Assume (3) holds. Then  $G^1$  is the direct product of minimal invariant subgroups of  $G$  which we denote by  $A_1, \dots, A_s$  and  $G$  is the semidirect product of  $G^1$  and a subgroup, say  $K$ . Now  $K_i = KA_1 \cdots \hat{A}_i \cdots A_s$  is a maximal subgroup of  $G$  since if  $M$  is a maximal subgroup of  $G$  properly containing  $K_i$ , then  $M \cap A_i \neq 1$  and  $M \cap A_i$  is invariant in  $G$ , hence equals  $A_i$ . This implies that  $M = G$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $\text{Fr}(G) \subseteq K$  and  $\text{Fr}(G) \subseteq K \cap G^1 = 1$ . Hence (1) holds.

LEMMA 4. *Let  $G^1 \subseteq \text{Soc}(G)$ ,  $A$  be an invariant subgroup of  $G$  contained in  $G^1$  and  $Z(G) \cap G^1 = 1$ . Then  $Z(G/A) \cap G^1/A$  is the identity of  $G/A$ .*

PROOF.  $G^1$  is the direct product of  $A$  and an invariant subgroup of  $G$ , say  $B$ . Let  $xA \in Z(G/A) \cap G^1/A$ . Since  $xA \in Z(G/A)$ ,  $xgx^{-1}g^{-1} \in A$  for all  $g \in G$ . Since  $xA \in G^1/A$ ,  $x = ab$  where  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ . Then  $xgx^{-1}g^{-1} = abgb^{-1}a^{-1}g^{-1} = acbgb^{-1}g^{-1}$  where  $c = ga^{-1}g^{-1} \in A$ . Hence  $bgb^{-1}g^{-1} \in A \cap B = 1$  for all  $g \in G$  and therefore  $b \in Z(G) \cap G^1 = 1$ . Consequently  $x \in A$  and  $Z(G/A) \cap G^1/A$  is the identity of  $A$ .

THEOREM. *Let  $G$  be a group such that  $G^1$  is nilpotent. Then  $G$  is elementary if and only if  $\text{Fr}(G) = 1$  and  $\text{Fr}(K) = K^1$  for some Carter subgroup  $K$  of  $G$ .*

PROOF. If  $G$  is elementary, then  $\text{Fr}(G) = 1$ . Let  $K$  be a Carter subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $K$  complements  $G^1$ , hence  $K$  is abelian. Therefore  $K^1 = 1 = \text{Fr}(K)$ .

Conversely, in order to show a contradiction, let  $G$  be a group of minimal order such that  $G^1$  is nilpotent,  $\text{Fr}(G) = 1$ ,  $\text{Fr}(K) = K^1$  for some Carter subgroup  $K$  of  $G$  and  $G$  is not elementary. Then  $\text{Fr}(J) = J^1$  for every Carter subgroup  $J$  of  $G$ . Let  $M$  be a subgroup of  $G$ . If  $M \supseteq G^1$ , then  $M$  is invariant in  $G$  and  $\text{Fr}(M) \subseteq \text{Fr}(G) = 1$ . Assume that  $G^1 \not\subseteq M$  and that  $MG^1 \subseteq G$ . Since  $G^1 \subseteq MG^1$ ,  $\text{Fr}(MG^1) = 1$  and  $G/MG^1$  is abelian, hence  $G/MG^1$  is its own Carter subgroup. Then, if  $H$  is a Carter subgroup of  $MG^1$ ,  $H$  is also a Carter subgroup of  $G$  by Lemma 1, hence by assumption  $\text{Fr}(H) = H^1$ . By the minimality of  $G$ ,  $MG^1$  is elementary, hence  $\text{Fr}(M) = 1$ .

Suppose now that  $MG^1 = G$ . Since  $G^1$  is abelian,  $G^1 \cap M$  is an invariant subgroup in  $G$  and  $M/(G^1 \cap M)$  complements  $G^1/(G^1 \cap M) = (G/(G^1 \cap M))^1$  in  $G/(G^1 \cap M)$ . Since  $\text{Fr}(G) = 1$ ,  $G^1 \cap Z(G) = 1$  and, by Lemma 3,  $G^1 \subseteq \text{Soc}(G)$ . Therefore, by Lemma 4,  $(G/(G^1 \cap M))^1 \cap Z(G/(G^1 \cap M))$  is the identity of  $G/(G^1 \cap M)$ . Hence  $M/(G^1 \cap M)$  is a Carter subgroup of  $G/(G^1 \cap M)$  by Lemma 2. Let  $K$  be a Carter subgroup of  $M$ . By Lemma 1,  $K$  is a Carter subgroup of  $G$ . Hence  $K$  is a complement to  $G^1$  and  $K(G^1 \cap M) = M$  since  $K \subseteq M$ . Therefore,  $K$  is a complement to  $G^1 \cap M$  in  $M$ .  $G^1 \cap M$  is completely reducible under the inner automorphisms of  $M$  and  $M^1 \subseteq G^1 \cap M$ , hence  $M^1$  is completely reducible under the inner automorphisms of  $M$ . Let  $J$  be a complement to  $M^1$  in  $G^1 \cap M$  which is invariant under the inner automorphisms of  $M$ . Then  $J \subseteq Z(M) \cap G^1$ , hence  $G^1 \cap M = M^1 J \subseteq M^1(G^1 \cap Z(M)) \subseteq G^1 \cap M$ . Therefore  $G^1 \cap M = M^1(G^1 \cap Z(M))$ . But  $Z(M) \subseteq N_M(K) = K$ , hence  $G^1 \cap Z(M) \subseteq G^1 \cap K = 1$  and  $G^1 \cap M = M^1$ . Furthermore,  $\text{Fr}(K) = K^1$  as a Carter subgroup of  $G$ . Now  $M$  satisfies part (3) of Lemma 3, hence  $\text{Fr}(M) = 1$ . Consequently,  $\text{Fr}(M) = 1$  for every subgroup  $M$  of  $G$  and  $G$  is elementary, a contradiction. This completes the proof.

#### REFERENCES

1. H. Bechtell, *Elementary groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **114** (1965), 355–362. MR **31** #243.
2. R. Carter, *Nilpotent self-normalizing subgroups of soluble groups*, Math. Z. **75** (1960/61), 136–139. MR **23** #A928.
3. W. Gaschütz, *Über die  $\phi$ -Untergruppe endlicher Gruppen*, Math. Z. **58** (1953), 160–170. MR **15**, 285.
4. W. Scott, *Group theory*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1964. MR **29** #4785.

NORTH CAROLINA STATE UNIVERSITY, RALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA 27607