

CAN AN LCA GROUP BE ANTI-SELF-DUAL?

D. L. ARMACOST¹

ABSTRACT. We say that a topological group G is *anti-self-dual* if there are no nontrivial continuous homomorphisms from G into the character group \hat{G} or from \hat{G} into G . We show that no nontrivial LCA group can be anti-self-dual.

In this note we describe the class of locally compact Abelian (LCA) groups which have no nontrivial continuous homomorphisms into their duals; in symbols, those LCA groups G for which $\text{Hom}(G, \hat{G}) = \{0\}$ (see [1, 23.34] for notation). By an "anti-self-dual" topological group we shall mean one for which $\text{Hom}(G, \hat{G}) = \text{Hom}(\hat{G}, G) = \{0\}$. From our description we show that no nontrivial LCA group can be anti-self-dual.

A word about notation. We denote by R , Q_d , and F_p the additive group of real numbers with the usual topology, the additive group of rational numbers taken discrete, and the group of p -adic numbers (where p is a prime integer), respectively. For information on the groups F_p see [1, 10.2]. We shall also use the cyclic groups $Z(n)$ of order n and the quasicyclic groups $Z(p^\infty)$ as defined, for example, in [1, p. 3]. All groups throughout will be assumed to be LCA and Hausdorff topological groups. A group is called "densely divisible" if it has a divisible dense subgroup (see [1, A.5] for the definition of divisibility) and "reduced" if it has no nonzero divisible subgroups.

These findings constitute part of the author's doctoral dissertation submitted to Stanford University in 1969. The author is indebted to the National Science Foundation for periodically replenishing the exchequer and to Professor Karel de Leeuw for numerous helpful conversations.

LEMMA 1. *Every nonreduced LCA group contains a closed subgroup topologically isomorphic to either (1) R , (2) Q_d , (3) $Z(p^\infty)$, (4) F_p , or (5) a quotient of $(Q_d)^\wedge$ by a closed subgroup.*

PROOF. This is a rewording of [3, Theorem 6.4], taking into account [3, 2.5 and 2.7].

Received by the editors April 18, 1970.

AMS 1969 subject classifications. Primary 2220; Secondary 4251.

Key words and phrases. Continuous homomorphisms, character group, densely divisible, reduced, anti-self-dual, compact elements.

¹ This research was supported by a National Science Foundation Graduate Fellowship.

LEMMA 2. *Let G be a torsion-free LCA group. If G contains a closed subgroup H topologically isomorphic to F_p , then H is a topological direct factor of G .*

PROOF. See [3, Theorem 4.21].

LEMMA 3. *Let G be a totally disconnected LCA group. Then statements (1) and (2) below are equivalent:*

- (1) G is densely divisible and \hat{G} is reduced.
- (2) $\text{Hom}(G, \hat{G}) = \{0\}$.

PROOF. First assume (2). Then \hat{G} must be torsion-free, since if \hat{G} contained a subgroup of the form $Z(n)$, where $n \geq 2$, then $Z(n)$ would be a quotient of G , and so the projection of G onto $Z(n)$, followed by the injection of $Z(n)$ into \hat{G} , would yield a nontrivial member of $\text{Hom}(G, \hat{G})$. Hence \hat{G} is torsion-free, so G is densely divisible, by [2, Theorem 5.2].

We next show that \hat{G} is totally disconnected. Assume not. Then there exists $f \neq 0$ in $\text{Hom}(R, \hat{G})$ [1, 25.20]. Then the transpose map f^* is a nontrivial member of $\text{Hom}(G, R)$ (see [1, 24.37]). Since G is densely divisible, f^* has dense image, so that the composition $f \circ f^*$ is a nontrivial member of $\text{Hom}(G, \hat{G})$, a contradiction. Hence \hat{G} is totally disconnected.

Finally, we show that \hat{G} is reduced. Assume not. Then \hat{G} contains as a subgroup an isomorphic copy of one of the groups (1)–(5) of Lemma 1. Now (1) and (5) are ruled out, since \hat{G} is totally disconnected, while (3) is ruled out because \hat{G} is torsion-free. Further, since G is totally disconnected, every element of \hat{G} is compact [1, 24.17], and so (2) is ruled out. This leaves only (4). If \hat{G} had a closed subgroup H topologically isomorphic to F_p , then, by Lemma 2, H would be a direct factor of \hat{G} . Since F_p is self-dual (see [1, 25.1]), this would imply that $\text{Hom}(G, \hat{G}) \neq \{0\}$, a contradiction. We have now ruled out all cases (1)–(5), so that \hat{G} must be reduced. This proves that (2) implies (1).

Conversely, if (1) holds, let D be a divisible dense subgroup of G . Since \hat{G} is reduced, it follows that any f in $\text{Hom}(G, \hat{G})$ must be trivial on D and hence on G , so that (1) implies (2). Q.E.D.

THEOREM. *The following two statements are equivalent for any LCA group G :*

- (1) (a) G is densely divisible,
 (b) every element of G is compact,
 (c) the subgroup $(\hat{G})_b$ of compact elements of \hat{G} is reduced.
- (2) $\text{Hom}(G, \hat{G}) = \{0\}$.

PROOF. Assume (2). As in the proof of Lemma 3, conditions (a) and (b) of (1) must hold. Let C be the identity component of G . Then the hypothesis (2) implies that $\text{Hom}(G/C, (G/C)^\wedge) = [0]$. But since G/C is totally disconnected, $(G/C)^\wedge$ is reduced, by Lemma 3. Since $(G/C)^\wedge \cong (\hat{G})_b$ [1, 24.17], we have shown that (c) holds also, so that (2) implies (1).

Conversely, assume (1), and let f be in $\text{Hom}(G, \hat{G})$. Since every element of G is compact, $f(G)$ is a subset of $(\hat{G})_b$. Let C be the identity component of G . Since condition (1b) implies that \hat{G} is totally disconnected [1, 24.17], we conclude that $f(C)$ is just the identity of \hat{G} . Let u denote the natural mapping of G onto G/C and define $F: G/C \rightarrow (\hat{G})_b$ by the rule $F(u(x)) = f(x)$ for each x in G . It is easy to see that F is a well-defined homomorphism; it is also continuous, because u is an open mapping. Now G/C is totally disconnected and densely divisible, and $(G/C)^\wedge \cong (\hat{G})_b$ is reduced. Hence, by Lemma 3, $F=0$, whence it follows that $f=0$. Therefore $\text{Hom}(G, \hat{G}) = \{0\}$, so that (1) implies (2). Q.E.D.

COROLLARY. *Let G be a nontrivial LCA group. Then G is not anti-self-dual.*

PROOF. We shall give a proof by contradiction. Assume that $\text{Hom}(G, \hat{G}) = \text{Hom}(\hat{G}, G) = \{0\}$. Since $\text{Hom}(G, \hat{G}) = \{0\}$, $(\hat{G})_b$ is reduced, by the theorem. Since $\text{Hom}(\hat{G}, G) = \{0\}$, \hat{G} is densely divisible and $\hat{G} = (\hat{G})_b$, again by the theorem. Thus \hat{G} is at once densely divisible and reduced, a contradiction. Q.E.D.

REMARK. We mention a few special cases of the theorem: If G is compact (respectively, connected), then $\text{Hom}(G, \hat{G}) = \{0\}$ if and only if G is connected (respectively, compact), and if G is discrete, then $\text{Hom}(G, \hat{G}) = \{0\}$ if and only if G is a weak direct sum of groups $Z(p^\infty)$ for various primes p . These statements can easily be shown directly; in fact, they provided the original motivation for the theorem.

REFERENCES

1. E. Hewitt and K. Ross, *Abstract harmonic analysis*. Vol. 1: *Structure of topological groups. Integration theory, group representations*, Die Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften, Band 115, Academic Press, New York and Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1963. MR 28 #158.
2. L. C. Robertson, *Connectivity, divisibility, and torsion*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 128 (1967), 482-505. MR 36 #302.
3. ———, *Transfinite torsion, p -constituents, and splitting in locally compact abelian groups*, Mimeographed Notes, University of Washington, Seattle, Wash.

AMHERST COLLEGE, AMHERST, MASSACHUSETTS 01002