

ANALYTICITY OF DETERMINANTS OF OPERATORS ON A BANACH SPACE

JAMES S. HOWLAND¹

ABSTRACT. If $F(z)$ is an analytic family of operators on a Banach space which is of finite rank for each z , then rank $F(z)$ is constant except for isolated points, and $\det(I+F(z))$ and $\text{tr } F(z)$ are analytic. Similarly if $F(z)$ is meromorphic.

In this note, we consider an analytic family $F(z)$ of operators on an arbitrary complex Banach space \mathfrak{X} , such that the rank of $F(z)$ is finite for each z . We prove that the rank of $F(z)$ is constant on the domain of analyticity, except for isolated points, and that the trace of $F(z)$ and the determinant of $I+F(z)$ are analytic functions on that domain. This is not immediately clear, since the range of $F(z)$ need not lie in a fixed finite dimensional subspace which is independent of z . The proof uses the trace norm of Ruston [2]. We extend the result to a meromorphic family, and establish the standard formula for the logarithmic derivative of $\det(I+F(z))$. For the definitions of trace and determinant, see [2] and [1, pp. 160-162].

1. THEOREM. *If $F(z)$ is analytic on a domain Ω and rank $F(z)$ is finite for each z , then there is an integer m such that rank $F(z) = m$ except at isolated points where rank $F(z) < m$.*

2. LEMMA. *If $F \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{X})$, then rank $F \geq N$ iff there exist bounded projections P and Q of dimension N such that PFQ has rank N .*

PROOF. If rank $F < N$, then rank $PFQ \leq \text{rank } F < N$. Conversely, if rank $F \geq N$, there are x_1, \dots, x_N such that Fx_1, \dots, Fx_N are linearly independent. If P projects on the span of Fx_1, \dots, Fx_N and Q on the span of x_1, \dots, x_N , then PFQ has rank N .

This lemma implies that rank is upper semicontinuous: if $F_n \rightarrow F$ in norm and rank $F_n \leq m$, then rank $F \leq m$. For if P and Q have the same dimension exceeding m , then $\det PFQ = \lim \det PF_n Q = 0$, where the determinants are with respect to fixed bases of $P\mathfrak{X}$ and $Q\mathfrak{X}$. (One may also conclude this by considering a determinant of the form $\det \langle x_i^*, Fx_j \rangle$.)

Received by the editors January 24, 1970.

AMS 1969 subject classifications. Primary 4745; Secondary 4748.

Key words and phrases. Trace class of Banach space, operator-valued analytic functions, determinants, finite rank operators.

¹ Supported by ARO Grant DA-ARO-D-31-124-G978.

Copyright © 1971, American Mathematical Society

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. For each $k \geq 0$, let $E_k = \{z \in \Omega \mid \text{rank } F(z) \leq k\}$. Since $\Omega = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k$, E_k is uncountable for some integer k , and so there is a smallest integer m such that E_m has a point of accumulation within Ω . If P and Q are arbitrary projections with $\dim P = \dim Q > m$, then the determinant $d(z)$ of $PF(z)Q$, computed with respect to fixed bases of $P\mathfrak{X}$ and $Q\mathfrak{X}$, vanishes on E_m , and hence on all of Ω . Since P and Q are arbitrary, Lemma 2 implies that $E_m = \Omega$. Since m is minimal, E_{m-1} consists of isolated points.

This proof also shows that the rank of $F(z)$ is determined by its values on any set with an accumulation point in Ω , and hence that no analytic continuation of $F(z)$ can have rank exceeding m . The hypothesis of Theorem 1 can be weakened by assuming only that the set of points at which $F(z)$ has finite rank is uncountable; however, it does not suffice to assume only that $F(z)$ has finite rank on a set with an accumulation point in Ω , for if $F(z)$ is the infinite diagonal matrix

$$F(z) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1(z) & & & & \\ & a_2(z) & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & a_n(z) & \\ & & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & & & \ddots & \end{pmatrix}$$

where $a_n(z) = (z-1)(z-1/2) \cdots (z-1/n)$, then $F(z)$ is analytic for $|z| < 1$, while $\text{rank } F(1/n) = n-1$.

If $F \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{X})$ has finite rank, then, following Ruston [2], [3] let $\beta(F)$ denote the operator norm of F and

$$\tau(F) = \inf \sum_{i=1}^m \|x_i^* \| x_i\|$$

where the infimum is taken over all representations $F = \sum_{i=1}^m \langle x_i^*, \cdot \rangle x_i$ of F . τ is a norm, and

- (1) $|\text{tr } F| \leq \tau(F)$,
- (2) $\beta(F) \leq \tau(F) \leq \beta(F) \text{ rank } F$, and
- (3) $\tau(AF) \leq \beta(A)\tau(F)$ for any A in $\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{X})$.

3. THEOREM. If $F(z)$ is analytic and the rank of $F(z)$ is finite for all z in Ω , then $\text{tr } F(z)$ is analytic, and $d \text{tr } F(z) / dz = \text{tr } F'(z)$.

PROOF. By Theorem 1, $\text{rank } F(z) \leq m < \infty$ for some integer m . The rank of $D(z, h) = h^{-1}[F(z+h) - F(z)]$ cannot exceed $2m$, so that

rank $F'(z) \leq 2m$ by upper semicontinuity of rank. Hence, by (1) and (2),

$$\begin{aligned} |h^{-1}[\operatorname{tr} F(z+h) - \operatorname{tr} F(z)] - \operatorname{tr} F'(z)| &= |\operatorname{tr}(D(z, h) - F'(z))| \\ &\leq \tau(D(z, h) - F'(z)) \leq 4m\beta(D(z, h) - F'(z)). \end{aligned}$$

But the final term tends to zero as $h \rightarrow 0$, since $F(z)$ is analytic in norm.

4. THEOREM. *If $F(z)$ is analytic and the rank of $F(z)$ is finite for all z in Ω , then $\Delta(z) = \det(I + F(z))$ is analytic.*

PROOF. Let z_0 be in Ω , and set $F_1(z) = F(z) - F(z_0)$. For z near z_0 , $\beta(F_1(z)) < 1/2$, so that $I + F_1(z)$ is invertible and

$$(4) \quad I + F(z) = [I + F(z_0)(I + F_1(z))^{-1}][I + F_1(z)].$$

The determinant of the first factor is analytic, since the range of $F(z_0)(I + F_1(z))^{-1}$ is contained in a fixed space [1, pp. 160–162] while [1, (5.60), p. 46]

$$(5) \quad \det(I + F_1(z)) = \exp\{\operatorname{tr} \log(I + F_1(z))\}$$

where

$$(6) \quad \log(I + F_1(z)) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} (F_1(z))^k.$$

The right side of (6) converges uniformly in operator norm and contains a factor $F_1(z)$. Theorem 3 therefore applies to (6), and it follows that (5) is analytic.

5. COROLLARY. *If $F(z)$ is meromorphic and the rank of $F(z)$ is finite for all z in Ω , then $\Delta(z) = \det(I + F(z))$ and $\operatorname{tr} F(z)$ are meromorphic.*

PROOF. Let z_0 be a pole of $F(z)$ of order p . Then $(z - z_0)^p F(z)$ is analytic, and hence $\operatorname{tr} F(z) = (z - z_0)^{-p} \operatorname{tr}(z - z_0)^p F(z)$ is meromorphic, with the order of its pole not exceeding p . If we write $F(z) = P(z) + K(z)$ where $K(z_0) = 0$ and $P(z)$ is a polynomial in $(z - z_0)^{-1}$, then we have near z_0

$$\Delta(z) = \det(I + P(z))[I + K(z)]^{-1} \det(I + K(z)).$$

The first factor is meromorphic since $P(z)$, being a polynomial, has its range contained in a fixed space; the second factor is analytic by Theorem 4.

6. THEOREM. *In Theorem 4,*

$$(7) \quad \Delta'(z)/\Delta(z) = \operatorname{tr}[I + F(z)]^{-1}F'(z)$$

provided that $\Delta(z)$ does not vanish identically.

PROOF. We first note that (7) applies to the first factor on the right side of (4), since the range of $F(z_0)(I + F_1(z))^{-1}$ is contained in a fixed finite dimensional space [1, pp. 248–249]. It also applies to the second factor; for since

$$\tau_1(F_1(z)^k) \leq \tau(F_1(z))\beta(F_1(z))^{k-1} \leq 2m\beta(F_1(z))^k \leq (m/2^{k-1})$$

the series (6) converges uniformly in trace norm, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \log \det(I + F_1(z)) &= \operatorname{tr} \log(I + F_1(z)) \\ (8) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} \operatorname{tr} F_1(z)^k \end{aligned}$$

where the series is uniformly convergent. Taking the trace of

$$dF_1(z)^k/dz = F_1'F_1^{k-1} + F_1F_1'F_1^{k-2} + \cdots + F_1^{k-1}F_1'$$

(where F_1 and F_1' denote $F_1(z)$ and $F_1'(z)$ respectively) and using Theorem 3, we find that

$$d \operatorname{tr} F_1^k(z)/dz = k \operatorname{tr}(F_1(z)^{k-1}F_1'(z)).$$

Differentiating (8) term by term, we obtain for the logarithmic derivative of $\det(I + F_1(z))$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} \operatorname{tr}(F_1(z)^{k-1}F_1'(z)) = \operatorname{tr}\{(I + F_1(z))^{-1}F_1'(z)\}.$$

If we now take determinants in (4) and apply these results, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta'/\Delta &= \operatorname{tr}\{F_1'(I + F_1)^{-1}\} \\ &\quad - \operatorname{tr}\{[I + F_0(I + F_1)^{-1}]^{-1}F_0(I + F_1)^{-1}F_1'(I + F_1)^{-1}\} \\ &= \operatorname{tr}\{[I + F_0(I + F_1)^{-1}]^{-1}F_1'(I + F_1)^{-1}\} \\ &= \operatorname{tr}\{[I + F_0 + F_1]^{-1}F_1'\} \\ &= \operatorname{tr}\{[I + F]^{-1}F'\} \end{aligned}$$

where $F_0 = F(z_0)$.

REFERENCES

1. T. Kato, *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, Die Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften, Band 132, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1966. MR 34 #3324.
2. A. F. Ruston, *On the Fredholm theory of integral equations for operators belonging to the trace class of a general Banach space*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) 53 (1951), 109–124. MR 13, 138.
3. ———, *Auerbach's theorem and tensor products of Banach spaces*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 58 (1962), 476–480. MR 29 #2630.

UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA, CHARLOTTESVILLE, VIRGINIA 22901