

## SOME RADICAL PROPERTIES OF $s$ -RINGS

MICHAEL RICH

**ABSTRACT.** The class of  $s$ -rings includes as a proper subset the classes of associative, alternative, Lie, Jordan, and standard rings. It is shown that in any  $s$ -ring  $R$  the prime radical of  $R$  coincides with the Baer lower radical of  $R$ . Relationships between the prime radical and certain other radicals are also given.

In [6], P. Zwier defines an  $s$ -ring  $R$  to be a nonassociative ring satisfying the condition that if  $A$  is an ideal of  $R$  then  $A^s$  is also an ideal of  $R$ , where  $s$  is an integer  $\geq 2$ . Also an ideal  $P$  of an  $s$ -ring  $R$  is called a prime ideal if whenever  $A_1 A_2 \cdots A_s \subseteq P$  for ideals  $A_i$  of  $R$  then  $A_j \subseteq P$  for some  $j$ . Here  $A_1 A_2 \cdots A_s$  denotes the product of the ideals under all possible associations. It is announced that using these definitions one may define a prime radical and obtain results for  $s$ -rings corresponding to the results obtained by C. Tsai [5] for Jordan rings.

Specifically, if one defines the prime radical  $\beta(R)$  of an  $s$ -ring  $R$  so that it coincides with the intersection of all prime ideals of the ring  $R$  and a ring  $R$  to be  $\beta$ -semisimple if  $\beta(R) = (0)$  then one obtains the following results for any  $s$ -ring  $R$ :

1.  $\beta(R) \subseteq N(R)$  where  $N(R)$  denotes the nil radical of  $R$ .
2.  $R/\beta(R)$  is  $\beta$ -semisimple.
3.  $R$  is  $\beta$ -semisimple if and only if  $R$  contains no nonzero nilpotent ideals.

In this note we consider the Baer lower radical of an  $s$ -ring  $R$  and show that, just as in the case of associative rings, it coincides with the prime radical. In addition we show relationships between the prime radical, a Jacobson radical studied by Brown and McCoy and a radical of Smiley.

Recall that the Baer lower radical of a ring  $R$  is defined as follows: Let  $N_0$  be the union of all nilpotent ideals of  $R$ . Let  $N_1$  be the union of all ideals  $A$  in  $R$  such that  $A/N_0$  is nilpotent in  $R/N_0$ . In general if  $\beta$  is a limit ordinal then  $N_\beta = \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} N_\alpha$ . If  $\beta$  has a predecessor then  $N_\beta$  is the union of all ideals  $A$  in  $R$  such that  $A/N_{\beta-1}$  is nilpotent in  $R/N_{\beta-1}$ . If  $\gamma$  is the least ordinal such that  $N_\gamma = N_{\gamma+1} = \cdots$  then  $N_\gamma$

---

Received by the editors June 8, 1970.

AMS 1969 subject classifications. Primary 1710.

Key words and phrases.  $s$ -ring, prime ideal, nilpotent ideal, prime radical, Baer lower radical, Jacobson radical, semisimple.

Copyright © 1971, American Mathematical Society

is called the Baer lower radical of  $R$  and is denoted by  $B(R)$ . By the definition it is clear that  $R/B(R)$  has no nonzero nilpotent ideals.

**THEOREM 1.** *In any  $s$ -ring  $R$ ,  $B(R)$  is the intersection of all ideals  $Q_i$  of  $R$  such that  $R/Q_i$  has no nonzero nilpotent ideals.*

**PROOF.** We may adopt the proof of this same theorem for associative rings as presented in [2, p. 56] since associativity is not required for that argument.

**THEOREM 2.** *In any  $s$ -ring  $R$ ,  $\beta(R) = B(R)$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $P$  be a prime ideal of  $R$ . Then  $(\bar{0})$  is a prime ideal of  $\bar{R} = R/P$  and  $R/P$  is  $\beta$ -semisimple. Therefore, by property 3,  $R/P$  has no nonzero nilpotent ideals. Thus  $P = Q_i$  for some  $i$  and the intersection of all prime ideals in  $R$  contains  $W = \bigcap Q_i$ . Therefore  $\beta(R) \supseteq B(R)$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $a \notin B(R)$ . Clearly  $(a)^s \not\subseteq B(R)$  for if  $(a)^s \subseteq B(R)$  then  $(a)/B(R)$  is a nonzero nilpotent ideal of  $R/B(R)$  which is impossible. Construct the set  $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, \dots\}$  as follows:  $a_1 = a$ ,  $a_2 \in (a_1)^s \cap C(B(R))$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $a_{n+1} \in (a_n)^s \cap C(B(R))$  where  $C$  denotes set theoretic complement. By our choice of  $A$ ,  $A \cap B(R) = \emptyset$ . Now by Zorn's lemma the family of all ideals of  $R$  which are disjoint from  $A$  contains a maximal ideal  $P$ . We show that  $P$  is a prime ideal.

Let  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_s$  be ideals of  $R$  such that  $P_1 P_2 \dots P_s \subseteq P$ . If  $P_i \not\subseteq P$  for every  $i$  then  $(P_i + P) \not\subseteq P$  for every  $i$ . Therefore  $(P_i + P) \cap A \neq \emptyset$  for every  $i$  and there exist  $a_{i_1} \in P + P_1$ ,  $a_{i_2} \in P + P_2, \dots, a_{i_s} \in P + P_s$ . Hence  $(a_{i_1})(a_{i_2}) \dots (a_{i_s}) \subseteq (P + P_1)(P + P_2) \dots (P + P_s) \subseteq P_1 P_2 \dots P_s + P \subseteq P$ . (Here  $(a)$  denotes the principal ideal generated by  $a$ .) By our choice of the  $a_{i_j}$ ,  $(a_{n+1}) \subseteq (a_n)$ . Let

$$m = \max(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s).$$

Then  $a_{m+1} \in (a_m)^s \subseteq (a_{i_1})(a_{i_2}) \dots (a_{i_s}) \subseteq P$ . Thus  $a_{m+1} \in P$  which contradicts the fact that  $A \cap P = \emptyset$ . Therefore  $P$  is a prime ideal,  $a \notin P$  and  $\beta(R) \subseteq B(R)$ .

Brown and McCoy have defined the Jacobson radical  $J(R)$  of a nonassociative ring  $R$  to be the maximal quasi-regular ideal of  $R$  where  $a \in R$  is said to be quasi-regular if  $a \in Q(a)$  with  $Q(a)$  the right ideal generated by  $at - t$  with  $t \in R$ . They have shown (see [1, Theorem 8]) that  $J(R)$  is  $v$ -prime for any  $v$  and that  $N(R) \subseteq J(R)$ . Thus  $J(R)$  is a prime ideal according to the definition given here. Therefore  $\beta(R) \subseteq J(R)$ . Smiley [4] has provided a radical  $S(R)$  which is the intersection of all modular maximal ideals of  $R$ . It is known [1, p. 254] that  $J(R) \subseteq S(R)$ . Therefore, for any  $s$ -ring we have the following:

**THEOREM 3.** *In any  $s$ -ring  $R$ ,  $B(R) = \beta(R) \subseteq N(R) \subseteq J(R) \subseteq S(R)$ .*

Finally, it should be noted that the class of  $s$ -rings includes associative, alternative, Lie, Jordan and standard rings. The first three can very easily be shown to be 2-rings and the latter two are 3-rings as shown in [5, Lemma 3] and [3, Lemma 1].

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. B. Brown and N. McCoy, *Prime ideals in nonassociative rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **89** (1958), 245–255. MR **20** #3196.
2. N. Divinsky, *Rings and radicals*, Univ. of Toronto Press, Toronto, Canada, 1954.
3. R. D. Schafer, *Standard algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **29** (1969), 203–223. MR **39** #5647.
4. M. F. Smiley, *Application of a radical of Brown and McCoy to non-associative rings*, Amer. J. Math. **72** (1950), 93–100. MR **11**, 311.
5. C. E. Tsai, *The prime radical in a Jordan ring*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **19** (1968), 1171–1175.
6. P. J. Zwier, *Prime ideals and the prime radical in a class of narings*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (1969), 660. Abstract #69T-A89.

TEMPLE UNIVERSITY, PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19122