

EXTENDING UNIFORMLY CONTINUOUS PSEUDO- ULTRAMETRICS AND UNIFORM RETRACTS

ROBERT L. ELLIS¹

ABSTRACT. It is first proved that any uniformly continuous pseudo-ultrametric on a subspace of a non-Archimedean uniform space X has a uniformly continuous extension to X (which preserves total boundedness or separability). Then it is proved that every complete subspace of an ultrametrizable space X is a uniform retract of X . This has consequences concerning the extension of uniformly continuous functions.

Isbell [6, Lemma 1.4] proved that every bounded uniformly continuous pseudometric ρ on a subspace of a uniform space X has an extension to a bounded uniformly continuous pseudometric on X . In this paper we consider the same problem when X is a non-Archimedean uniform space (see [7] for terminology) and ρ is a pseudo-ultrametric, i.e., ρ satisfies the strong triangle inequality $\rho(x, y) \leq \max\{\rho(x, z), \rho(z, y)\}$. In this connection we mention that the topology of any Hausdorff space of small inductive dimension zero is defined by a non-Archimedean uniform structure. It is proved that, even if ρ is not bounded, it has an extension to a uniformly continuous pseudo-ultrametric on X . There is also interest [1], [2], [5] in extending pseudometrics which are either totally bounded or separable, and we prove that if ρ has one of these properties, then it has a uniformly continuous extension which has the same property.

The above result is obtained as a simple corollary of a theorem which states that if ρ is a uniformly continuous pseudo-ultrametric on a subset S of a non-Archimedean uniform space X , then there is a uniformly continuous extension $\Phi: X \rightarrow S^*$ of the canonical map $\phi: S \rightarrow S^*$ of S into its completion S^* for ρ . We say that a subset S of a uniform space X is a *uniform retract* of X if there is a uniformly continuous function from X into S which extends the identity function on S . Another corollary is that any complete subset of a pseudo-ultrametric space X is a uniform retract of X . This has implications concerning the extension of uniformly continuous functions.

Received by the editors October 28, 1970.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 54C20, 54C15.

Key words and phrases. Non-Archimedean uniform space, ultrametric, uniform retract.

¹ This work was supported by a Faculty Research Award from the University of Maryland.

LEMMA. Let S be a subset of a non-Archimedean uniform space X . If V is a non-Archimedean entourage on S , there is a non-Archimedean entourage V' on X such that $V' \cap (S \times S) = V$.

PROOF. Let W be an entourage on X such that $W \cap (S \times S) = V$ and let T be a non-Archimedean entourage on X contained in W . Let

$$V' = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (T \cup V)^n.$$

Then V' is clearly a non-Archimedean entourage on X . We will prove that $V' \cap (S \times S) = V$ by showing by induction on n that any set of the form $A_1 \circ A_2 \circ \dots \circ A_n$, where each A_i is either T or V , intersects $S \times S$ inside V . This is clear if either $n=1$ or each $A_i = T$. If $n > 1$, $A_{i_0} = V$, and $(s_1, s_{n+1}) \in A_1 \circ A_2 \circ \dots \circ A_n \cap (S \times S)$, then $(s_1, s_{n+1}) = (s_1, x_2) \circ (x_2, x_3) \circ \dots \circ (x_n, s_{n+1})$, where $(x_i, x_{i+1}) \in A_i$, $(x_1 = s_1, x_{n+1} = s_{n+1})$. Since $A_{i_0} = V$, x_{i_0} and $x_{i_0+1} \in S$. By the inductive hypothesis, if $i_0 \neq n$, then $(s_1, x_2) \circ (x_2, x_3) \circ \dots \circ (x_{i_0}, x_{i_0+1})$ and $(x_{i_0+1}, x_{i_0+2}) \circ \dots \circ (x_n, s_{n+1})$ belong to V and so $(s_1, s_{n+1}) \in V^2 = V$. Similarly, if $i_0 = n$, then $(s_1, x_2) \circ (x_2, x_3) \circ \dots \circ (x_{n-1}, x_n)$ and $(x_n, s_{n+1}) \in V$ and so $(s_1, s_{n+1}) \in V^2 = V$.

THEOREM 1. Let ρ be a uniformly continuous pseudo-ultrametric on a subset S of a non-Archimedean uniform space X . Then there is a uniformly continuous function $\Phi: X \rightarrow S^*$ which extends the canonical map $\phi: S \rightarrow S^*$, where (S^*, ρ^*) is the completion of (S, ρ) .

PROOF. For each integer $n \geq 1$, $\{(x, y) \mid \rho(x, y) < 1/n\}$ is a symmetric non-Archimedean entourage on S . By the lemma there is a sequence $\{V_n \mid n \geq 1\}$ of symmetric non-Archimedean entourages on X such that $V_1 = X \times X$, $V_{n+1} \subseteq V_n$, and for $n > 1$, $V_n \cap (S \times S) = \{(x, y) \mid \rho(x, y) < 1/n\}$. Then $\mathcal{U}_n = \{V_n(s) \mid s \in S\}$ is a partition of $V_n(S)$ [4, Lemma 5.3]. Let $\{s_i \mid i \in I_n\} \subseteq S$ be a complete set of representatives of \mathcal{U}_n . Define a function N from X into $N \cup \{\infty\}$ by

$$N(x) = \sup\{n \mid x \in V_n(S)\}.$$

If n is an integer and $1 \leq n \leq N(x)$ let $i(n, x) \in I_n$ be such that $V_n(x) = V_n(s_{i(n, x)})$. For each integer $n \geq 1$ define a function $f_n: X \rightarrow S$ by

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(x) &= s_{i(N(x), x)}, & \text{if } N(x) < \infty, \\ &= s_{i(n, x)}, & \text{if } N(x) = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

We first show that for all $x \in X$, $\{f_n(x) \mid n \geq 1\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, which is trivial if $N(x) < \infty$. If $N(x) = \infty$ and $m \geq n > 1$, then

$(f_m(x), f_n(x)) = (s_{i(m,x)}, x) \circ (x, s_{i(n,x)}) \in V_m \circ V_n = V_n$ and so $\rho(f_m(x), f_n(x)) < 1/n$. Define $\Phi: X \rightarrow S^*$ by letting $\Phi(x)$ be the equivalence class in S^* of the Cauchy sequence $\{f_n(x) \mid n \geq 1\}$. Then Φ extends ϕ because if $s \in S$, then $N(s) = \infty$ and for each $n \geq 2$, $(s, f_n(s)) \in V_n$ and so $\rho(s, f_n(s)) < 1/n$.

We now show that Φ is uniformly continuous by showing that for $n \geq 2$ and $(x, y) \in V_n$ we have $\rho^*(\Phi(x), \Phi(y)) \leq 1/n$.

Case (i). $N(x) < \infty$, $N(y) < \infty$. Then it is impossible that n is strictly between $N(x)$ and $N(y)$, for if $N(x) < n < N(y)$, then $y \in V_n(S)$ and $(x, y) \in V_n$, from which it follows that $x \in V_n(S)$, a contradiction to $N(x) < n$. If n is not smaller than $N(x)$ and $N(y)$, then $(x, y) \in V_n \subseteq V_{N(x)} \cap V_{N(y)}$ and so $N(x) = N(y)$, and $\Phi(x) = \Phi(y)$. If n is not larger than $N(x)$ and $N(y)$, then, for each $r \geq 1$, $(f_r(x), f_r(y)) = (s_{i(N(x),x)}, x) \circ (x, y) \circ (y, s_{i(N(y),y)}) \in V_{N(x)} \circ V_n \circ V_{N(y)} \subseteq V_n$ and so $\rho(f_r(x), f_r(y)) < 1/n$. From this it follows that $\rho^*(\Phi(x), \Phi(y)) \leq 1/n$.

Case (ii). $N(x) < \infty$, $N(y) = \infty$. Then $x \in V_n(S)$ since $(x, y) \in V_n$ and $y \in V_n(S)$. Therefore, $N(x) \geq n$. Then for $m \geq n$, $(y, f_m(y)) \in V_m \subseteq V_n$, $(x, y) \in V_n$, and $(x, f_m(x)) \in V_{N(x)} \subseteq V_n$ and so $(f_m(x), f_m(y)) \in V_n$. Consequently, $\rho(f_m(x), f_m(y)) < 1/n$ and so $\rho^*(\Phi(x), \Phi(y)) \leq 1/n$.

Case (iii). $N(x) = N(y) = \infty$: For $m \geq n$, $(x, f_m(x)) \in V_m$, $(x, y) \in V_n$, $(y, f_m(y)) \in V_m$, and so $(f_m(x), f_m(y)) \in V_n$. As before, $\rho^*(\Phi(x), \Phi(y)) \leq 1/n$.

THEOREM 2. *Let S be a subset of a non-Archimedean uniform space X and let ρ be a uniformly continuous pseudo-ultrametric on S . Then there is a uniformly continuous pseudo-ultrametric d on X which extends ρ .*

Moreover, if S is either totally bounded or separable for ρ , then d can be chosen so that X has the same property for d .

PROOF. Using the notation of Theorem 1, define d on $X \times X$ by $d(x, y) = \rho^*(\Phi(x), \Phi(y))$. Since Φ is uniformly continuous, so is d .

If S is totally bounded for ρ , then for each $n \geq 2$, I_n is finite and $\{s_i \mid i \in I_n\}$ is $(1/n)$ -dense in S for ρ . From the definition of Φ it is easy to see that $\{s_i \mid i \in I_n\}$ is also $(1/n)$ -dense in X for d . If S is separable for ρ , then each I_n is countable and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{s_i \mid i \in I_n\}$ is dense in S for ρ and therefore also in X for d .

THEOREM 3. *Let S be a subspace of a non-Archimedean uniform space X and let ρ be a uniformly continuous pseudo-ultrametric on S making S complete. Then there is a function $f: X \rightarrow S$ which is uniformly continuous (for the given uniform structure on X and the uniform structure on S defined by ρ) and extends the identity function on S .*

PROOF. In this case $\phi: S \rightarrow S^*$ is surjective. Define $f: X \rightarrow S$ by choosing for each $x \in X$ an element $f(x) \in \phi^{-1}(\Phi(x))$ with $f(s) = s$ if $s \in S$. Then for all $x, y \in X$,

$$\rho(f(x), f(y)) = \rho^*(\Phi(x), \Phi(y)) = d(x, y).$$

Since d is uniformly continuous, so is f .

COROLLARY 1. *Every complete subset of a pseudo-ultrametric space X is a uniform retract of X .*

COROLLARY 2. *Every uniformly continuous function from a complete subspace of a pseudo-ultrametric space X into a uniform space has a uniformly continuous extension to X .*

COROLLARY 3. *Every uniformly continuous function from a subspace of a pseudo-ultrametric space X into a complete Hausdorff uniform space has a uniformly continuous extension to X .*

PROOF. This follows immediately from Corollary 2 and [3, Theorem 2, p. 190] by first extending to the completion of S , then extending to the completion of X , and finally restricting to X .²

REFERENCES

1. R. A. Alo and H. L. Shapiro, *Extensions of totally bounded pseudometrics*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **19** (1968), 877–884. MR **38** #667.
2. R. F. Arens, *Extension of coverings, of pseudometrics, and of linear-space-valued mappings*, Canad. J. Math. **5** (1953), 211–215. MR **14**, 1108.
3. N. Bourbaki, *Elements of mathematics. General topology*. Part I, Hermann, Paris; Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1966. MR **34** #5044a.
4. R. Ellis, *Extending continuous functions on zero-dimensional spaces*, Math. Ann. **186** (1970), 114–122.
5. T. E. Gantner, *Extensions of uniformly continuous pseudometrics*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **132** (1968), 147–157. MR **36** #5886.
6. J. R. Isbell, *On finite-dimensional uniform spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **9** (1959), 107–121. MR **21** #4407.
7. A. F. Monna, *Remarques sur les métriques non-archimédiennes*. I, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. **53**, 470–481 = Indag. Math. **12** (1950), 122–133. MR **12**, 41.

UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND, COLLEGE PARK, MARYLAND 20742

² The author is grateful to the referee for his suggestions.