

NAKAYAMA'S LEMMA FOR HALF-EXACT FUNCTORS

ARTHUR OGUS AND GEORGE BERGMAN¹

ABSTRACT. We prove an analog of Nakayama's Lemma, in which the finitely generated module is replaced by a half-exact functor from modules to modules. As applications, we obtain simple proofs of Grothendieck's "property of exchange" for a sheaf of modules under base change, and of the "local criterion for flatness."

1. Nakayama's Lemma. The form of Nakayama's Lemma we shall start with is:

THEOREM 1.1 [3, §3, PROPOSITION 11]. *Let R be a commutative ring, and N a finitely generated R -module such that for all maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq R$, we have $N = N\mathfrak{m}$ (equivalently, $N \otimes (R/\mathfrak{m}) = \{0\}$). Then $N = \{0\}$.*

PROOF. If N is a nonzero finitely generated R -module, we can find by Zorn's Lemma a maximal proper submodule N_0 . Then $N' = N/N_0$ is a simple module (has no proper nonzero submodules). Every simple R -module is isomorphic to one of the form R/\mathfrak{m} , for \mathfrak{m} some maximal ideal. Writing N' in this form, we see that $N'\mathfrak{m} = \{0\} \neq N'$, so $N\mathfrak{m} \neq N$.

REMARKS. Taking R local so that there is only one maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} , we get one familiar form of Nakayama's Lemma. Another says that $N = \{0\}$ if $N = N\mathfrak{R}(R)$, where $\mathfrak{R}(R) =_{\text{def}} \bigcap \mathfrak{m}$; clearly this also follows from Theorem 1.1. The proof of that theorem can be adapted to non-commutative rings if we replace maximal ideals by right primitive ideals: (2-sided) ideals which are kernels of the action of R on simple right modules, and again one can derive "local" and "Jacobson radical" forms of the lemma.

Received by the editors February 12, 1971.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 13C99, 13D99, 14A05; Secondary 13E05, 16A62, 16A64, 18E10, 18G10, 18G99.

Key words and phrases. Nakayama's Lemma, half-exact functor, additive category with R -linear structure, base change, tensor product, cohomological δ -functor, property of exchange, flat module.

¹The first author held an NSF graduate fellowship, and the second author was supported in turn by a fellowship from England's Science Research Council, and partially by NSF grant GP 9152, while this work was done.

2. R -linear functors. If R is a commutative ring, we shall denote by \mathbf{M}_R the category of all R -modules, and by \mathbf{M}_R^{fg} the subcategory of all finitely generated R -modules. These are both additive categories, and furthermore, for any two objects A and B in these categories, $\text{Hom}(A, B)$ has a natural structure of R -module. We shall call a functor T between such categories R -linear if all the induced maps $\text{Hom}(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(T(A), T(B))$ are module homomorphisms. Note that if R is Noetherian, \mathbf{M}_R^{fg} will be an abelian category.

If N is a finitely generated module over a commutative ring R , the functor $N \otimes: \mathbf{M}_R^{fg} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_R^{fg}$ will be right-exact, and zero if and only if $N = \{0\}$. Hence the following result generalizes Theorem 1.1 for Noetherian R :

THEOREM 2.1. *Let R be a Noetherian commutative ring, and T an R -linear half-exact functor from \mathbf{M}_R^{fg} into itself. If for all maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq R$, $T(R/\mathfrak{m}) = \{0\}$, then $T = 0$.*

(Cf. [1, Lemma 6] for an analogous result.)

This will follow as a special case of the next result. If $h: R \rightarrow S$ is a homomorphism of commutative rings, we shall call an additive functor $T: \mathbf{M}_R^{fg} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_S^{fg}$ R -linear (with respect to h) if $T(fr) = T(f)h(r)$ ($f \in \text{Map}(\mathbf{M}_R^{fg})$, $r \in R$).

THEOREM 2.2. *Let $h: R \rightarrow S$ be a homomorphism of commutative rings, suppose R is Noetherian, and let $T: \mathbf{M}_R^{fg} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_S^{fg}$ be a half-exact R -linear functor. If T annihilates all R -modules of the form $R/h^{-1}(\mathfrak{m})$, for \mathfrak{m} a maximal ideal of S , then $T = 0$.*

PROOF. From the fact that R is Noetherian, it is easy to deduce that any sequence of modules of $\mathbf{M}_R^{fg}: M_0, M_1, \dots$ such that each M_{i+1} is a proper quotient of a (not necessarily proper) submodule of M_i , must be finite. Hence, given nonzero $M \in \mathbf{M}_R^{fg}$, we can assume inductively that for every proper quotient N of a submodule of M , $T(N) = \{0\}$.

Choose a nonzero $x \in M$ maximizing the annihilator ideal $I = \text{Ann } x \subseteq R$. Thus, I will be a prime ideal (an associated ideal of M). We shall show that $T(xR) = \{0\}$. Applying the half-exactness of T to the short exact sequence $\{0\} \rightarrow xR \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/xR \rightarrow \{0\}$, we can then conclude that $\{0\} \rightarrow T(M) \rightarrow \{0\}$ is exact, so $T(M) = \{0\}$ as desired.

If I is of the form $h^{-1}(\mathfrak{m})$ for some maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq S$, $T(xR) \cong T(R/I)$ will be zero by hypothesis. In the contrary case, for every maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq S$ we will have either $I \not\subseteq h^{-1}(\mathfrak{m})$ or $h^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}) \not\subseteq I$. If $I \not\subseteq h^{-1}(\mathfrak{m})$, we note that because I annihilates x , $T(xR)\mathfrak{m} = T(xR)(\mathfrak{m} + h(I)S) = T(xR)$, by maximality of \mathfrak{m} . Given \mathfrak{m} such that $h^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}) \not\subseteq I$, choose

$u \in h^{-1}(m) - I$. Since I is prime the sequence

$$\{0\} \longrightarrow xR \xrightarrow{u} xR \longrightarrow xR/xuR \longrightarrow \{0\}$$

is exact, whence applying T , so is

$$T(xR) \xrightarrow{u} T(xR) \longrightarrow \{0\}.$$

I.e., $T(xR)u = T(xR)$; hence $T(xR)m = T(xR)$. Hence by Theorem 1.1, $T(xR) = \{0\}$, as desired.

COROLLARY 2.3. *Let $h: R \rightarrow S$ be a homomorphism of commutative rings, suppose R is Noetherian, and let $T: M_R^{fg} \rightarrow M_S^{fg}$ be a half-exact R -linear functor. Let \mathfrak{p} be any prime ideal of S , $S_{\mathfrak{p}}$ the corresponding localization, and $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ its residue field. Then if T annihilates the module $R/h^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$, T is annihilated by $S_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes$; equivalently, by $K_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes$.*

PROOF. Since $S_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_S$ is an exact functor, $S_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_S T()$ is a half-exact R -linear functor from M_R^{fg} to $M_{S_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{fg}$; and by hypothesis, it annihilates the quotient of R by the inverse image of the unique maximal ideal of $S_{\mathfrak{p}}$. So by Theorem 2.2, $S_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes T() = 0$. Hence so is $(S_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}S_{\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes_S T() = K_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes T$.

The remaining four sections are mutually independent, except that §5 uses §4.

3. Generalizations and counterexamples. In Theorem 2.2 we can replace S by a not-necessarily commutative R -algebra, letting m run over the primitive ideals of S . To get a still more general statement,² consider:

DEFINITION 3.1. An R -linear structure on an additive category N will mean a homomorphism of the commutative ring R into the (commutative!) ring of additive natural maps of the identity functor of N into itself.

DEFINITION 3.2. Let N be an additive category with R -linear structure, and \mathfrak{J} a class of ideals of R . N will be said to have the \mathfrak{J} -Nakayama property if an object A of N is zero when for all $J \in \mathfrak{J}$, either $AJ = A$ or J is properly contained in $\text{Ann } A$; equivalently if for all nonzero $A \in N$, \mathfrak{J} contains either $\text{Ann } A$, or some J such that $0 \neq AJ \neq A$.

(If N is not abelian or J not finitely generated we may not be able to define an object AJ in N ; but we can always interpret $AJ \neq A$ to mean that there exists a nonzero map $f: A \rightarrow B$ in N , which is annihilated by all members of J .) Note that an R -linear abelian category N in which every nonzero object can be mapped onto a simple object will be \mathfrak{J} -Nakayama if and only if \mathfrak{J} includes the annihilators of all simple objects of N .

² The authors are grateful to the referee for correcting an error in an earlier version of this result.

The proof of Theorem 2.2 can be easily adapted to show:

THEOREM 3.3. *Let R be a Noetherian ring, \mathfrak{J} a class of ideals of R , and N a \mathfrak{J} -Nakayama R -linear additive category. Then any half-exact R -linear functor $T: M_R^{fg} \rightarrow N$ which annihilates R/J for all $J \in \mathfrak{J}$ is zero.*

One can also generalize the domain category M_R^{fg} , and the class of test objects R/\mathfrak{p} , but we have no generalizations of this sort that are elegant enough to mention here.

Note that in §2 and above, we have had to assume R Noetherian, though in the original Nakayama's Lemma one did not. Let us sketch an example showing that this assumption cannot be dropped. Let k be a field. The set of formal sums $\sum c_\alpha X^\alpha$ with coefficients c_α in k , and non-negative real exponents α , such that $\{\alpha \mid c_\alpha \neq 0\}$ is well-ordered, forms a ring of generalized formal power series (cf. [5, proof of Theorem VII. 3.8]). This ring R will be local. If we denote by \mathfrak{m} its maximal ideal, one can show that $\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{m}, _)$ is an exact R -linear functor, and in fact a retraction of the category M_R^{ef} of all R -modules embeddable in finitely generated ones (an abelian category containing M_R^{fg}) onto the subcategory M_R^{fp} of finitely presented R -modules (contained in M_R^{fg}). This functor annihilates R/\mathfrak{m} , but is clearly nonzero!

(Outline of a proof of the above assertions about $\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{m}, _)$: Show (i) that every nonzero ideal of R is of one of the forms $x^\alpha R$ or $x^\alpha \mathfrak{m}$, and (ii) that any descending chain of cosets of ideals of R has nonempty intersection. Deduce (ii'), the analog of (ii) for submodules of a free module F of finite rank, and (i'), that every submodule A of a free module F of finite rank can be brought to the form:

$$(e_1 x^{\alpha_1} R \oplus \cdots \oplus e_r x^{\alpha_r} R) \oplus (e_{r+1} x^{\alpha_{r+1}} \mathfrak{m} \oplus \cdots \oplus e_s x^{\alpha_s} \mathfrak{m}),$$

where $\{e_1, \dots, e_i\}$ is a basis for F , and $r \leq s \leq t$. Deduce (iii), that for A as above, $\bar{A} =_{\text{def}} \{a \in F \mid a\mathfrak{m} \subseteq A\}$ is free, of finite rank, and (iv), if $M \in M_R^{ef}$, say $M = A/B$, $B \subseteq A \subseteq F$, then $\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{m}, M) \cong \bar{A}/\bar{B} \in M_R^{fp}$.)

For this same ring R , the functor $\text{Tor}^1(k, _)$ (where we identify k with R/\mathfrak{m}) is left-exact, on all of M_R (because $\text{w.gl.dim } R \leq 1$), takes M_R^{fg} into M_k^{fg} , commutes with direct limits, and annihilates R/\mathfrak{m} because $\mathfrak{m}^2 = \mathfrak{m}$, but is nonzero: $\text{Tor}^1(k, R/xR) \cong k$!

4. Right-exact functors and tensor products. Given a homomorphism $h: R \rightarrow S$ of commutative rings, what functors $T: M_R^{fg} \rightarrow M_S$ can be written in the form $N \otimes_R _$ for some S -module N ? We note that for any T , there is a unique natural candidate for N , namely, $T(R)$. Furthermore, there will always be a natural map of functors, $t: T(R) \otimes _ \rightarrow T$; namely,

t_M is the element of

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_S(T(R) \otimes M, F(M)) &\cong \text{Hom}_R(M, \text{Hom}_S(T(R), T(M))) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(R, M), \text{Hom}_S(T(R), T(M))) \end{aligned}$$

given by the R -linear functor T , itself.

Let Q designate the cokernel of $t: Q(M) = T(M)/t(T(R) \otimes M)$. It is clear that if T has range in M_S^{fg} , or M_S^{gf} , so does Q , and one finds by diagram-chasing that if T is half-exact (or right-exact), then so is Q . This functor will be our tool for proving:

THEOREM 4.1. *Let $h: R \rightarrow S$ be a homomorphism of commutative rings, where R is Noetherian, and let $T: M_R^{fg} \rightarrow M_S^{fg}$ be an R -linear half-exact functor. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *T is isomorphic to the functor $T(R) \otimes_R$ (i.e., t is an isomorphism of functors).*
- (ii) *The natural map $T(R) \rightarrow T(R/h^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}))$ is surjective for all maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq S$.*
- (iii) *T is right exact.*

PROOF. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) $\Rightarrow Q(R/h^{-1}(\mathfrak{m})) = \{0\} \Rightarrow Q = 0$ (by Theorem 2.2) \Rightarrow (iii) is clear. Let us prove a slightly more general version of the remaining implication (iii) \Rightarrow (i): delete the assumption that R is Noetherian, and assume T a right-exact R -linear functor from M_R^{fg} to M_S^{fg} . We shall show that for M a finitely presented R -module, t_M is an isomorphism. First note that because T is linear it respects finite direct sums, hence t_F is an isomorphism whenever F is free of finite rank. Now let M have a resolution $F_1 \rightarrow F_2 \rightarrow M \rightarrow \{0\}$ with F_1, F_2 free of finite rank. Because T is a right-exact functor defined on a category M_R^{fg} where maps have images, one knows that it preserves exactness of such sequences, so we get $T(R) \otimes F_1 \rightarrow T(R) \otimes F_2 \rightarrow T(M) \rightarrow \{0\}$; so $T(M) = T(R) \otimes M$. (For similar results proved under different assumptions, see [2, Theorem II.2.3] and [6, III, 7.5.2].)

(In the non-Noetherian example of the preceding section, (i) fails, (ii) holds, " $Q = 0$ " fails and (iii) holds! By the above argument however, (i) holds for finitely presented modules.)

If we are interested in functors defined on all of M_R , the following observation is useful in conjunction with Theorem 4.1:

LEMMA 4.2. *Let $h: R \rightarrow S$ be a homomorphism of commutative rings, and $T: M_R \rightarrow M_S$ an R -linear functor commuting with direct limits. Then if $t: T(R) \otimes \rightarrow T$ is an isomorphism for finitely presented R -modules, it is an isomorphism for all R -modules.*

For $T(R) \otimes$ also commutes with direct limits, and every module is a direct limit of finitely presented modules.

One might similarly investigate the relationship between left-exact functors and functors of the form $\text{Hom}_R(N, _)$ (N an S -module); but this seems a harder question.

5. Applications to cohomological δ -functors. Recall that a ‘‘cohomological δ -functor T^* from C to C' ’’ actually means a sequence $\{T^q\}$ of half-exact additive functors such that whenever $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$ is exact in C we get a functorial long exact sequence:

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\delta} T^q(M') \longrightarrow T^q(M) \longrightarrow T^q(M'') \xrightarrow{\delta} T^{q+1}(M') \longrightarrow \cdots .$$

The present inquiry was motivated by results about such functors which we shall now obtain. The results of the preceding section immediately give:

COROLLARY 5.1. *Let $h: R \rightarrow S$ be a homomorphism of commutative rings, where R is Noetherian, and let $T^*: M_R^{fg} \rightarrow M_S^{fg}$ be an R -linear cohomological δ -functor. Then for any q , the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *For every maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq S$ the natural map $T^q(R) \rightarrow T^q(R/h^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}))$ is surjective.*
- (2) *For all $M \in M_R^{fg}$ the natural map $T^q(R) \otimes_R M \rightarrow T^q(M)$ is an isomorphism.*
- (3) *T^q is right-exact.*
- (4) *T^{q+1} is left-exact.*

If T extends to a functor $T: M_R \rightarrow M_S$ which commutes with direct limits, then the above conditions are also equivalent to:

- (2') *For all $M \in M_R$, $T^q(R) \otimes_R M \rightarrow T^q(M)$ is an isomorphism.*

Let us denote the equivalent conditions (1)–(4) of the above corollary by $P(q)$. Since flatness of A is the necessary and sufficient condition for $A \otimes$ to be left-exact, we see:

PROPOSITION 5.2. *Let $h: R \rightarrow S$, and T^* , be as in the above corollary. Then*

- (a) *if $P(q + 1)$ holds, $P(q)$ holds if and only if $T^{q+1}(R)$ is flat as an R -module. Hence:*
- (b) *If $P(q + 1)$ holds, and $T^{q'}(R)$ is flat as an R -module for all $q' \leq q + 1$, $P(q')$ holds for all $q' \leq q + 1$.*
- (c) *If for all maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq S$, $T^{q+1}(R/h^{-1}(\mathfrak{m})) = \{0\}$, then $T^{q+1} = 0$ and $P(q)$ holds.*

The extremely useful ‘‘property of exchange’’ of Grothendieck [6, III.7.7.5] follows immediately from these results. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper morphism of schemes, and \mathcal{F} a sheaf of modules on X , flat over Y . One

studies the functor T^\bullet on (quasi)coherent \mathcal{O}_Y -modules, defined by $T^q(\mathcal{G}) = R^q f_* (\mathcal{F} \otimes f^* \mathcal{G})$. Since all the conditions defining the property $P(q)$ for T^\bullet become local statements over Y , one can reduce to the case of Y affine, and get the results of Corollary 5.1 and Proposition 5.2 for this T^\bullet . (T^\bullet will be defined for arbitrary modules and commute with direct limits.)

Some further observations: Given a morphism (natural transformation) $\eta: T \rightarrow U$ of R -linear functors $T, U: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ one can define the kernel and cokernel $K, C: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ (if \mathcal{N} is abelian). In general K and C will not be half-exact if T and U are, which prevents us from applying Theorem 2.2. However, sometimes we can get the necessary half-exactness by special means. We leave the verification of the following example, by diagram-chasing, to the interested reader:

PROPOSITION 5.3. *Let $h: R \rightarrow S$ be a homomorphism of commutative Noetherian rings, let $T^\bullet, U^\bullet: M_R^{fg} \rightarrow M_S^{fg}$ be R -linear cohomological δ -functors, and $\eta^\bullet: T^\bullet \rightarrow U^\bullet$ a morphism of functors. If for some q , the maps η_M^q are surjective for all M , and the maps $\eta_{R/h^{-1}(\mathfrak{m})}^{q+1}$ are injective for all maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq S$, then η_M^{q+1} is injective for all M . Similarly, if the maps η_M^{q+1} are injective for all M , and $\eta_{R/h^{-1}(\mathfrak{m})}^q$ surjective for all maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq S$, then η_M^q is surjective for all M .*

6. Applications: the local criterion for flatness. Another result which follows easily from Theorem 2.2 is the local criterion for flatness. In particular, the most useful part, (1) \Rightarrow (2), follows directly (and does not use the hypothesis that S be Noetherian).

If R is a local ring, with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} , and M an R -module, we shall write $\text{gr}_n M$ (or where there is possibility of confusion, $\text{gr}_n^R M$) for the R/\mathfrak{m} -module $M\mathfrak{m}^n/M\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$. In particular, $\text{gr}_n R = \mathfrak{m}^n/\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$.

THEOREM 6.1 (cf. [6, §5, THEOREM 1]). *Let $h: R \rightarrow S$ be a local homomorphism of Noetherian local rings, \mathfrak{m} the maximal ideal of R , and M a finitely generated S -module. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $\text{Tor}_1^R(M, R/\mathfrak{m}) = \{0\}$.
- (2) M is flat over R . (I.e., $\text{Tor}_1^R(M, _) = 0$.)
- (3) $M/M\mathfrak{m}^n$ is flat over R/\mathfrak{m}^n for all n .
- (4) The canonical surjection $M \otimes \text{gr}_n R \rightarrow \text{gr}_n^R M$ is an isomorphism for all n .
- (5) The maps $\text{Tor}_1^R(M, R/\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^R(M, R/\mathfrak{m}^n)$ are surjective for all $n > 0$.

PROOF. For (1) \Rightarrow (2) just apply Theorem 2.2. (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (5) are easy exercises, and hold for any R -module M . For (5) \Rightarrow (1), observe that (5) implies that the natural map $\lim_{\leftarrow} \text{Tor}_1^R(M, R/\mathfrak{m}^n) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^R(M, R/\mathfrak{m})$ is surjective. But we claim this inverse limit is zero for

any finitely generated S -module M . Indeed, $\text{Tor}_1^R(M, R/\mathfrak{m}^n)$ is a submodule of $M \otimes_R \mathfrak{m}^n$; since the inverse limit is left-exact, it is enough to show that $\lim \text{inv } M \otimes_R \mathfrak{m}^n = \{0\}$. Now since $M \otimes \mathfrak{m}^{n+k} \rightarrow M \otimes \mathfrak{m}^n$ factors through $(M \otimes \mathfrak{m}^n)\mathfrak{m}^k$, we see that for each n the image in $M \otimes \mathfrak{m}^n$ of our inverse limit will be contained in $\bigcap_k (M \otimes \mathfrak{m}^n)\mathfrak{m}^k \subseteq \bigcap_k (M \otimes \mathfrak{m}^n)\mathfrak{m}_S^k = \{0\}$, by Krull's Theorem ([6, §3, corollary to Proposition 5]). Hence the inverse limit is $\{0\}$.

Note that though conditions (1)–(5) refer only to the R -module structure of M , the proofs of (1) \Rightarrow (2) and (5) \Rightarrow (1) use the structure of finitely generated S -module.

REFERENCES

1. M. Auslander, *On the dimension of modules and algebras. III. Global dimension*, Nagoya Math. J. **9** (1955), 67–77. MR **17**, 579.
2. H. Bass, *Algebraic K-theory*, Benjamin, New York, 1968. MR **40** #2736.
3. N. Bourbaki, *Algèbre commutative*. Chap. 2, Actualités Sci. Indust., no. 1290, Hermann, Paris, 1961. MR **36** #146.
4. ———, *Algèbre commutative*. Chap. 3, Actualités Sci. Indust., no. 1293, Hermann, Paris, 1961. MR **30** #2027.
5. P. M. Cohn, *Universal algebra*, Harper and Row, New York, 1965. MR **31** #224.
6. A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 11 (1961); *ibid.* No. 17 (1963). MR **29** #1210; MR **36** #177c.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HARVARD UNIVERSITY, CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02138

Current address (Bergman): Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720