

REGULAR MODULES

DAVID J. FIELDHOUSE

ABSTRACT. Pierce [3] has shown that over a commutative regular ring every finitely generated submodule of an n -generated module is n -generated, and then has asked if this result holds for noncommutative regular rings. Here the result is shown for regular modules over any associative ring, which answers Pierce's question since a ring is regular if and only if every module is regular.

1. Introduction. Throughout this paper the word ring will mean an associative but not necessarily commutative ring with identity; all modules are unital.

If $n \geq 1$ is an integer we will call a module n -generated iff it can be generated by n or fewer elements.

Pierce proves [3, 13.10] that over a commutative regular ring every finitely generated submodule of an n -generated module is n -generated, and then asks [1, p. 108, Problem 25] if this result holds for noncommutative regular rings.

We will prove this result for regular modules over any ring, which answers Pierce's question since a ring is regular iff every module is regular. Here regular ring means regular in the sense of von Neumann: for each $a \in A$ there exists $a' \in A$ with $a = aa'a$.

If $P \subseteq M$ are left A -modules then Cohn [1] calls P pure in M iff $0 \rightarrow X \otimes P \rightarrow X \otimes M$ is exact for all right A -modules X . We have called a module regular iff every submodule is pure. Basic properties of purity and regularity have been studied by the author in [2].

2. The main theorem.

THEOREM. *Suppose P is a pure submodule of the left A -module M . Then every finitely generated submodule of P is contained in an n -generated submodule of P for each integer n for which there exists an n -generated module between P and M , i.e., containing P and contained in M .*

PROOF. Suppose $K \subseteq P \subseteq N \subseteq M$ with K finitely generated and N n -generated. Then there exist finitely generated free modules E and F

Received by the editors June 1, 1971.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 16A30; Secondary 16A62, 16A64.

Key words and phrases. Regular module, regular ring, pure submodule, n -generated module.

© American Mathematical Society 1972

with F n -generated, and onto maps $\varphi: E \rightarrow K$ and $\Psi: F \rightarrow N$ making the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & F \\ \varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow \Psi \\ K \subseteq P \subseteq N & & \end{array}$$

where the map α is induced by the projectivity of E .

Now P pure in M implies P pure in N . Hence there exists (see [2] and the Proposition below) a map $\sigma: F \rightarrow P$ such that $\sigma\alpha = z\varphi$ where $z: K \rightarrow P$ is the inclusion map. The image of F under σ is the desired n -generated module.

COROLLARY 1. *Provided there exists an n -generated module N with $P \subseteq N \subseteq M$ we have:*

- (1) P is the direct limit of n -generated modules.
- (2) P finitely generated $\Rightarrow P$ is n -generated.

PROOF. (1) P is the direct limit of its finitely generated submodules.
 (2) Take $K=P$.

COROLLARY 2. *If M is n -generated then every finitely generated submodule of P is contained in an n -generated submodule of P ; in particular, P is n -generated if it is finitely generated.*

COROLLARY 3. *Every finitely generated submodule of an n -generated regular module is n -generated. Hence Pierce's question has an affirmative answer for any regular ring.*

REMARK. In order to make this paper as selfcontained as possible, we present an independent proof of the existence of the map σ in the Theorem. It is also a slight generalization of the result given in (2).

PROPOSITION. *If $P \subseteq M$ then P is pure in M iff given a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & F \\ \varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow \Psi \\ P \subseteq M & & \end{array}$$

with E and F free and E finitely generated, then there exists a map $\sigma: F \rightarrow P$ such that $\sigma\alpha = \varphi$.

PROOF. (\Rightarrow) Since αE is contained in some finitely generated free summand of F we can take F to be finitely generated free, without loss of generality. If (e_i) and (f_j) are bases for E and F respectively, and $\alpha e_i = \sum a_{ij} f_j$ then the commutativity of the square means that we have a finite

system of equations: $\sum a_{ij}m_j = p_i \in P$, with $m_j = \Psi(f_j)$ and $p_i = \varphi(e_i)$. Since P is pure in M and the system is solvable in M , it is also solvable in P . If $p'_j \in P$ is a solution, define $\sigma(f_j) = p'_j$. It is now easy to verify that $\sigma\alpha = \varphi$.

(\Leftarrow) Conversely if $\sum a_{ij}m_j = p_i \in P$ is a finite system of equations solvable in M then we can easily construct a commutative square of the above type. If (f_j) is a base for F then (σf_j) is a solution in P to the above system of equations.

REFERENCES

1. P. M. Cohn, *On the free product of associative rings*, Math. Z. **71** (1959), 380–398. MR **21** #5648.
2. D. J. Fieldhouse, *Pure theories* Math. Ann. **184** (1969), 1–18. MR **40** #5699.
3. R. S. Pierce, *Modules over commutative regular rings*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. No. 70 (1967). MR **36** #151.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF GUELPH, GUELPH, ONTARIO, CANADA