

## SKELETA OF COMPLEXES WITH LOW $MU_*$ PROJECTIVE DIMENSION<sup>1</sup>

DAVID COPELAND JOHNSON

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $MU_*(X)$  be the unitary bordism of a finite complex  $X$ . Let  $X^p$  be the  $p$ -skeleton of  $X$ . This note proves that certain properties of  $MU_*(X)$  are shared by  $MU_*(X^p)$  when the projective dimension of  $MU_*(X)$  as a  $MU_*$  module is low (0, 1, or 2).

**Introduction.** Let  $X$  be a finite complex and let  $X^p$  be any skeleton of  $X$ . This note examines some algebraic properties which are inherited by the skeleton  $X^p$  from the complex  $X$ . Our work is motivated by the elementary example: if  $H_*(X; Z)$  is free abelian, then  $H_*(X^p; Z)$  is also free abelian.

$MU_*( )$  is the complex bordism homology theory associated to the Thom spectrum  $MU$ . We denote the projective dimension of  $MU_*(X)$  as a  $MU_* \equiv MU_*(\text{Point})$ -module by  $\text{hom dim}_{MU_*} MU_*(X)$ . Connor and Smith prove:  $\text{hom dim}_{MU_*} MU_*(X) = 0$  if and only if  $H_*(X; Z)$  is free abelian [3, 3.10]. Our elementary example becomes the  $n=0$  version of our first theorem.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $X$  be a finite complex. If  $n=0, 1,$  or  $2,$  then  $\text{hom dim}_{MU_*} MU_*(X) \leq n$  if and only if  $\text{hom dim}_{MU_*} MU_*(X^p) \leq n$  for every skeleton  $X^p$  of  $X$ .*

The "if" part of the theorem is trivial. The  $n=1$  version is a folk theorem; we shall sketch a proof for completeness.

$k_*( )$  is the connective  $k$ -theory; it is the homology theory derived from the connected unitary spectrum  $bu$  ([1], [8]). We prove:

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $X$  be a finite complex. The following four conditions are equivalent.*

- (i)  $k_*(X)$  is free abelian.
- (ii)  $k_*(X^p)$  is free abelian for every skeleton  $X^p$  of  $X$ .
- (iii)  $MU_*(X^p)$  is free abelian for every skeleton  $X^p$  of  $X$ .
- (iv)  $MU_*(X)$  is free abelian and  $\text{hom dim}_{MU_*} MU_*(X) \leq 1$ .

---

Received by the editors June 17, 1971.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 55B20.

Key words and phrases. Unitary bordism, connective  $k$ -theory, homological dimension, finite complexes, Atiyah-Hirzebruch-Dold spectral sequence.

<sup>1</sup> During the preparation of this paper the author was supported by a University of Kentucky Faculty Summer Research Fellowship.

© American Mathematical Society 1972

As there exist finite complexes  $X$  with  $MU_*(X)$  free abelian and with  $\text{hom dim}_{MU_*} MU_*(X)$  arbitrarily high, we see that  $MU_*(X)$  free abelian does not imply  $MU_*(X^p)$  is also.

**Postnikov fibres of homology theories.** Let  $M_*( )$  be a homology theory and  $q$  an integer. We follow Dold [4] in defining the  $q$ th Postnikov fibre of  $M_*( )$  to be the homology theory  $M(q)_*( )$  with groups  $M(q)_{p+q}(X)$  for a finite complex  $X$  given by

$$M(q)_{p+q}(X) = \text{Image}\{M_{p+q}(X^{p-1}) \rightarrow M_{p+q}(X^p)\}.$$

Recall the skeletal filtration exact couple for the Atiyah-Hirzebruch-Dold spectral sequence for  $M_*(X)$  has  $D_{p,q}^1 = M_{p+q}(X^p)$  and  $E_{p,q}^1 = M_{p+q}(X^p, X^{p-1})$ . So

$$M(q)_{p+q}(X) = \text{Image}\{D_{p-1,q+1}^1 \rightarrow D_{p,q}^1\}$$

which is  $D_{p,q}^2$  in the derived exact couple. There is a natural homomorphism  $M(q)_{p+q}(X) \rightarrow M(q-1)_{p+q}(X)$  which is  $i_{p,q}^2: D_{p,q}^2 \rightarrow D_{p+1,q-1}^2$  in the derived exact couple [7, §XI-5]. The converse of the following folk lemma also holds, but we shall not need it.

**LEMMA 3.**  $M(q)_{p+q}(X) \rightarrow M(q-1)_{p+q}(X)$  is a monomorphism modulo torsion. When it is monic, the Atiyah-Hirzebruch-Dold spectral sequence for  $M_*(X)$  collapses.

**PROOF.** The first statement is seen by tensoring the derived exact couple with  $\mathcal{Q}$ , the rationals. For the second statement, note that if all the  $i_{p,q}^2$ 's are monic in the derived exact couple, then all the  $k_{p,q}^2$ 's are zero. Since each differential involves a  $k_{p,q}^2$ , the spectral sequence collapses.

Q.E.D.

We shall work with three homology theories: integral homology, connective  $k$ -theory, and complex bordism. All three satisfy the hypothesis of Lemma 4.

**LEMMA 4.** Let  $M_*( )$  be a homology theory such that  $M_{2n+1} \equiv M_{2n+1}(\text{Point}) = 0$  for each integer  $n$ . Then

$$M(2r + 1)_*(X) \cong M(2r)_*(X)$$

for any finite complex  $X$ .

**PROOF.** This follows immediately from definitions and the fact that  $M_{2r+1+s}(X^s, X^{s-1}) = 0$ . Q.E.D.

Let  $f: A_*( ) \rightarrow B_*( )$  be a natural transformation of two homology theories. The inclusion of skeleta,  $i: X^{p-1} \rightarrow X^p$ , of a finite complex  $X$

induces the commuting diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A_{p+q}(X^{p-1}) & \xrightarrow{A_*(i)} & A_{p+q}(X^p) \\
 \downarrow f_1 & & \downarrow f_2 \\
 B_{p+q}(X^{p-1}) & \xrightarrow{B_*(i)} & B_{p+q}(X^p)
 \end{array}$$

we may define

$$f(q): A(q)_{p+q}(X) = \text{Image } A_*(i) \rightarrow B(q)_{p+q}(X) = \text{Image } B_*(i)$$

by

$$f(q)(A_*(i)(y)) = f_2 A_*(i)(y) = B_*(i) f_1(y) \quad \text{for any } y \in A_{p+q}(X^{p-1}).$$

In particular: if spectra  $A$  and  $B$  represent the homology theories  $A_*( )$  and  $B_*( )$ , a degree 0 morphism of spectra  $f: A \rightarrow B$  induces natural transformations  $f: A_*( ) \rightarrow B_*( )$  and  $f(q): A(q)_*( ) \rightarrow B(q)_*( )$ . Let

$$A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \xrightarrow{h} A$$

be an exact triangle of spectra where  $f$  and  $g$  are of degree 0 and  $h$  is of degree  $-1$  [10, §XI]. This induces a long exact sequence of homology theories:

$$\cdots \rightarrow A_n( ) \xrightarrow{f} B_n( ) \xrightarrow{g} C_n( ) \xrightarrow{h} A_{n-1}( ) \rightarrow \cdots$$

We start to ask whether  $f(q), g(q), \dots$  are in a long exact sequence, but we recall that  $h(q)$  has not been (and may not be) defined.

LEMMA 5. *If  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \xrightarrow{h} A$  is an exact triangle of spectra as above such that the homology theories  $A_*( )$ ,  $B_*( )$ , and  $C_*( )$  satisfy the hypothesis of Lemma 4, then there is a long exact sequence of homology theories:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \cdots & \longrightarrow & A(2r)_n( ) & \xrightarrow{f(2r)} & B(2r)_n( ) & \xrightarrow{g(2r)} & C(2r)_n( ) \\
 & & & & & & \xrightarrow{h(2r)} \\
 & & & & & & A(2r)_{n-1}( ) \longrightarrow \cdots
 \end{array}$$

PROOF. For a finite complex  $X$ , let  $i: X^{n-2r-1} \rightarrow X^{n-2r}$  and  $j: X^{n-2r-2} \rightarrow X^{n-2r-1}$  be inclusions of skeleta. These induce the exact rows in the diagram below.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 C_{n+1}(X^{n-2r}, X^{n-2r-1}) & & & & & & \\
 = & & & & & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & C_n(X^{n-2r-1}) & \xrightarrow{C_n(i)} & C_n(X^{n-2r}) & & \\
 & & \downarrow 1 & & & & \\
 C_n(X^{n-2r-2}) & \xrightarrow{C_n(j)} & C_n(X^{n-2r-1}) & \longrightarrow & C_n(X^{n-2r-1}, X^{n-2r-2}) = 0 & & \\
 \downarrow h_1 & & \downarrow h_2 & & & & \\
 A_{n-1}(X^{n-2r-2}) & \xrightarrow{A_{n-1}(j)} & A_{n-1}(X^{n-2r-1}) & & & &
 \end{array}$$



Since  $H_*(X^p, X^{p-1}; Z)$  is free abelian,  $f_2$  is monic. By the "5" Lemma,  $f_1$  is monic if and only if  $f(q)$  is monic. This proves the claim.

To prove the proposition, we pick  $g: Y \rightarrow \Sigma^s X$  as in Lemma 6. Since  $H^*(Y; Z)$  is free abelian,  $f(2r)': A(2r)_* Y \rightarrow MU(2r)_*(Y)$  is monic and the top row in our diagram below is short exact. The bottom sequence is exact by Lemma 5.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & A(2r)_*(Y) & \xrightarrow{f(2r)'} & MU(2r)_*(Y) & \xrightarrow{\zeta(2r)'} & k(2r)_*(Y) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow g_1 & & \downarrow g_2 & & \downarrow g_3 \\
 & & A(2r)_*(\Sigma^s X) & \xrightarrow{f(2r)} & MU(2r)_*(\Sigma^s X) & \xrightarrow{\zeta(2r)} & k(2r)_*(\Sigma^s X) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \searrow & \xrightarrow{h(2r)} & \searrow & & \searrow
 \end{array}$$

$g_3$  is epic by Lemma 6. So  $\zeta(2r)$  is epic and  $f(2r)$  is monic. By desuspending (and by Lemma 4 for odd  $q$ ), we have  $f(q): A(q)_{p+q}(X) \rightarrow MU(q)_{p+q}(X)$  is monic for all  $p$  and  $q$ . By our claim this proves  $\text{hom dim}_{MU_*} MU_*(X^p) \leq 2$ .

The  $n=1$  case. There is a degree 0 morphism of spectra  $\mu: MU \rightarrow K(Z)$  ( $K(Z)$  is the Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum) inducing the Thom homomorphism  $\mu: MU_*( ) \rightarrow H_*( ; Z)$ .  $\text{hom dim}_{MU_*} MU_*(X) \leq 1$  if and only if  $\mu$  is epic for  $X$  a finite complex [3, 3.11]. Now one can mimic the proof of the  $n=2$  case. Q.E.D.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. (i) implies (ii). Since  $k(2r+1)_n(X) \cong k(2r)_n(X) \cong k_{n-2r}(X)$  (Lemma 4 and the proof of Lemma 6), we have that  $k(q)_{p+q}(X)$  is free abelian for all  $p$  and  $q$ . The exact sequence of the pair  $(X^p, X^{p-1})$  induces the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow k(q)_{p+q}(X) \rightarrow k_{p+q}(X^p) \rightarrow k_{p+q}(X^p, X^{p-1}).$$

$k_{p+q}(X^p, X^{p-1})$  and  $k(q)_{p+q}(X)$  are free abelian; so  $k_{p+q}(X^p)$  is also.

(ii) implies (iii). Since  $k_*(X^p)$  is free abelian,  $\text{hom dim}_{MU_*} MU_*(X^p) \leq 1$  [5]. So the Stong-Hattori homomorphism

$$sh: MU_*(X^p) \rightarrow k_*(MU \wedge X^p) \cong k_*(MU) \otimes_{Z[t]} k_*(X^p)$$

is monic [9].  $k_*(MU)$  is a free  $Z[t]$ -module; so  $k_*(MU \wedge X^p)$  is free abelian.

(iii) implies (iv).  $MU(q)_{p+q}(X)$  is a subgroup of the free abelian  $MU_{p+q}(X^p)$ ; so the monomorphism modulo torsion  $MU(q)_{p+q}(X) \rightarrow MU(q-1)_{p+q}(X)$  is monic. By Lemma 3, the Atiyah-Hirzebruch-Dold spectral sequence for  $MU_*(X)$  collapses. By [3, 3.11], this implies  $\text{hom dim}_{MU_*} MU_*(X) \leq 1$ .

(iv) implies (i). Since  $\text{hom dim}_{MU_*} MU_*(X) \leq 1$ , there is a monomorphism  $m_i: k_{2n}(X) \rightarrow k_{2n+2}(X)$  [5]. We may consider  $k_{2n}(X)$  as a subgroup of  $K_0(X) = k_{2n+2r}(X)$  for  $r$  large. But  $K_0(X)$  is a direct summand of  $MU_0(X)$

[2, §9]; so  $k_{2n}(X)$  is free abelian. Repeat the argument to get  $k_{2n-1}(X) \cong \bar{k}_{2n}(\Sigma X^+)$  free abelian. Q.E.D.

## REFERENCES

1. J. F. Adams, *On Chern characters and the structure of the unitary group*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **57** (1961), 189–199. MR **22** #12525.
2. P. E. Conner and E. E. Floyd, *The relation of cobordism to K-theories*, Lecture Notes in Math., no. 28, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1966. MR **35** #7344.
3. P. E. Conner and L. Smith, *On the complex bordism of finite complexes*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **37** (1970), 117–221.
4. A. Dold, *On general cohomology*, Lecture Notes, Nordic Summer School in Math., Matematisk Institut, Aarhus Universitet, Aarhus, 1968. MR **40** #8045.
5. D. C. Johnson and L. Smith, *On the relation of complex cobordism to connective K-theory*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **70** (1971), 19–22.
6. P. S. Landweber, *On the complex bordism and cobordism of infinite complexes*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **76** (1970), 650–654. MR **41** #2668.
7. S. Mac Lane, *Homology*, Die Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften, Band 114, Academic Press, New York; Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1963. MR **28** #122.
8. L. Smith, *On the relation of connective k-theory to homology*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **68** (1970), 637–640.
9. ———, *A note on the Hattori-Stong theorem*, Illinois J. Math. (to appear).
10. R. Vogt, *Boardman's stable homotopy category*, Lecture Notes Series, vol. 21, Matematisk Institut, Aarhus Universitet, Aarhus, 1970.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY, LEXINGTON, KENTUCKY 40506