

## REGULAR RINGS AND INTEGRAL EXTENSION OF A REGULAR RING

EDWARD T. WONG

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we show that a ring (not necessarily commutative) with identity element and without nonzero nilpotent elements is a von Neumann regular ring if every completely prime ideal is a maximal right ideal. Using this result, we show an integral extension (not necessarily commutative) without nonzero nilpotent elements of a regular ring is itself a regular ring.

Let  $R$  be a ring with unit 1. A proper ideal  $P$  in  $R$  is called a completely prime ideal if  $ab \in P$  implies either  $a \in P$  or  $b \in P$ . A proper ideal  $Q$  in  $R$  is called a semicompletely prime ideal if  $a^n \in Q$ , for some integer  $n$ , then  $a \in Q$ . Andrunakievič and Rjabuhin [1] proved that if  $Q$  is a semicompletely prime ideal and  $M^*$  is a maximal  $m$ -system where  $M^* \cap Q = \emptyset$ , then the complement of  $M^*$  in  $R$  is a completely prime ideal.

For any  $x \neq 0$  in  $R$ , let  $J(x)$  be the right annihilator ideal of  $x$  in  $R$  and  $A(xR) = \{y \in R \mid \text{there exists } r \in R, xr \neq 0 \text{ and } (xr)y = 0\}$ .  $A(xR)$  is the set of zero-divisors on the right  $R$ -module  $xR$ .  $J(x) \subset A(xR)$  and the complement of  $A(xR)$  in  $R$  is a multiplicatively closed system.

**LEMMA 1.** *If  $R$  has no nonzero nilpotent elements then  $J(x)$  is a semicompletely prime ideal and  $ab \in J(x)$  implies  $ba \in J(x)$ .*

**PROOF.** In a ring without nonzero nilpotent elements,  $yz=0$  implies  $zy=0$ . Since  $(zy)^2 = z(yz)y$ . Therefore  $J(x)$  is also the left annihilator ideal of  $x$  and hence an ideal in  $R$ . If  $b^n \in J(x)$ , for some integer  $n > 1$ , then  $0 = xb^n = xb^{n-1}b = bxb^{n-1}$ . If  $n=2$  then  $bxb=0$ . This implies  $bx=0$  and  $b \in J(x)$ . If  $n > 2$  then  $0 = bxb^{n-2}b$  and hence  $(bxb^{n-2})^2 = 0$ . Thus  $bxb^{n-2} = bxb^{n-1} = 0$ . Continuing this process, after a finite number of steps, we obtain  $xb=0$ .  $J(x)$  is a semicompletely prime ideal. If  $ab \in J(x)$  then  $(ba)^2 = b(ab)a \in J(x)$  and hence  $ba \in J(x)$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $R$  be a ring with no nonzero nilpotent elements. For any  $x \neq 0$  in  $R$ , if  $P$  is a minimal completely prime ideal containing  $J(x)$  then  $P \subset A(xR)$ .*

---

Received by the editors March 25, 1971.

AMS 1969 subject classifications. Primary 16S0, 16R0.

Key words and phrases. Completely prime ideal, semicompletely prime ideal,  $m$ -system, multiplicatively closed system, regular ring, integral extension.

© American Mathematical Society 1972

PROOF. Let  $M = \{(a_1 m_1) \cdots (a_n m_n) \mid n \text{ a natural number, } a_i \notin P, m_i \notin A(xR)\}$ .  $M$  is a multiplicatively closed system and contains the complement of  $P$  and the complement of  $A(xR)$ .  $M \cap J(x) = \emptyset$ . Since if  $(a_1 m_1) \cdots (a_{n-1} m_{n-1})(a_n m_n) \in (M \cap J(x))$  and  $n > 1$  then

$$(a_1 m_1) \cdots (a_{n-1} m_{n-1}) a_n \in J(x).$$

By Lemma 1,  $((a_n a_1) m_1) \cdots (a_{n-1} m_{n-1}) \in J(x)$ .  $(a_n a_1) \notin P$  because  $P$  is completely prime. Continuing this process, eventually we will obtain an  $am_1 \in (J(x) \cap M)$  where  $a \notin P$ . But this is impossible, since  $(xa)m_1 = 0$  implies  $xa = 0$ .  $a \in J(x) \subset P$ . Let  $M^*$  be a maximal  $m$ -system where  $M \subset M^*$  and  $M^* \cap J(x) = \emptyset$ . By [1],  $C(M^*) = P^*$ , the complement of  $M^*$ , is a completely prime ideal.  $J(x) \subset P^* \subset P$  and  $P^* \subset A(xR)$ . By the minimality of  $P$ ,  $P = P^* \subset A(xR)$ .

LEMMA 2. *Let  $R$  be a ring with unit 1 and no nonzero nilpotent elements. If every completely prime ideal of  $R$  is a maximal right ideal then every nonunit element of  $R$  is contained in a completely prime ideal.*

PROOF. If  $x$  is not a unit in  $R$  then  $xR \neq R$ , because a right inverse of an element is the inverse of the element in a ring with no nonzero nilpotent elements. Let  $M = \{xr + 1 \mid r \in R\}$ .  $M$  is a multiplicatively closed system and  $0 \notin M$ . Since the zero ideal is semicompletely prime, there exists a completely prime ideal  $P$  where  $P \cap M = \emptyset$ . If  $xR \not\subset P$ , then  $xR + P = R$ .  $xr + p = 1$  for some  $r \in R$  and some  $p \in P$ .  $p = x(-r) + 1$  is in  $M$ , a contradiction.

THEOREM 2. *If  $R$  has no nonzero nilpotent elements and every completely prime ideal is a maximal right ideal, then any nonzero-divisor is a unit.*

PROOF. If  $x \in R$  with  $J(x) = 0$ , then by Theorem 1, any completely prime ideal consists of zero-divisors. By the above lemma,  $x$  must be a unit.

A ring is called a regular ring (von Neumann), if for each  $x$  there exists  $y$  such that  $xyx = x$ . In a regular ring, it is easy to show every completely prime ideal is a maximal right ideal. A commutative ring is a regular ring if and only if it has no nonzero nilpotent elements and every prime ideal is a maximal ideal [2, p. 63].

THEOREM 3. *Let  $R$  be a ring with unit 1 and no nonzero nilpotent elements. If every completely prime ideal of  $R$  is a maximal right ideal then  $R$  is a regular ring. Furthermore, for each  $x \in R$  there exists a unit  $u$  such that  $x^2 u = x$ .*

PROOF.  $x \neq 0$  in  $R$ ,  $R/J(x)$  is again a ring without nonzero nilpotent elements and every completely prime ideal is a maximal right ideal.

$\bar{x} = x + J(x)$  is not a zero-divisor in  $R/J(x)$ . Since  $xb \in J(x)$  implies  $bx \in J(x)$ ,  $(xb)^2 = xbx = 0$  implies  $b \in J(x)$ . By Theorem 2, there exists  $r \in R$  and  $p \in J(x)$ ,  $xr + p = 1$ .  $xrx = x^2r = x$ .  $R$  is a regular ring.

In a ring with no nonzero nilpotent elements, every idempotent is central. Let  $e = xr$  where  $x^2r = x$  and  $u = (1 - e) + er$ . It is easy to verify  $J(u) = 0$  and  $x^2u = x$ . By Theorem 2,  $u$  is a unit.

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $R$  be a ring with unit 1 and no nonzero nilpotent elements.  $R$  is a regular ring if  $R/P$  is regular for every prime ideal  $P$  in  $R$ .<sup>1</sup>*

**PROOF.** Let  $Q$  be a completely prime ideal in  $R$ .  $Q$  is prime.  $R/Q$  is a division ring, because  $R/Q$  is von Neumann regular and has no nonzero-divisor. Therefore  $Q$  is a maximal right (and left) ideal in  $R$  and  $R$  is a regular ring.

**COROLLARY 2.** *A commutative ring with a unit is a regular ring if and only if it has no nonzero nilpotent elements and every prime ideal is a maximal ideal.*

*Integral extension.*  $S$  is a ring with identity element and  $R$  is a subring of  $S$  containing the identity element of  $S$ . We call  $S$  an integral extension of  $R$ , or  $S$  is integral over  $R$ , if for each  $s \in S$ , there exist a positive integer  $n$  and elements  $a_{n-1}, \dots, a_0$  in  $R$  such that  $s^n + a_{n-1}s^{n-1} + \dots + a_0 = 0$ .

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $S$  be an integral extension of  $R$ . If  $R$  is a regular ring then any completely prime ideal  $Q$  in  $S$  is a maximal right ideal in  $S$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $P = Q \cap R$ .  $P$  is a completely prime ideal in  $R$ . Since  $R$  is a regular ring,  $R/P$  is a division ring.  $S/Q$  is an integral domain (not necessarily commutative) and is an integral extension of  $R/P$ .  $S/Q$  must be a division ring and hence  $Q$  is a maximal right ideal in  $S$ .

Together with Theorem 3, we have:

**THEOREM 5.** *An integral extension with no nonzero nilpotent elements of a regular ring is itself a regular ring.*

#### REFERENCES

1. V. A. Andrunakievič and Ju. M. Rjabuhin, *Rings without nilpotent elements and completely simple ideals*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **180** (1968), 9-11=Soviet Math. Dokl. **9** (1968), 565-567. MR **37** #6320.
2. I. Kaplansky, *Commutative rings*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, Mass., 1970. MR **40** #7234.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OBERLIN COLLEGE, OBERLIN, OHIO 44704

<sup>1</sup> This result was discovered by I. N. Herstein. Herstein proves it from a different approach and without assuming the existence of an identity element.