

ON A CONSTRUCTION OF BREDON

JOSEPH ROITBERG¹

ABSTRACT. Using a homotopy-theoretical description of a geometric pairing due to Bredon, we show how to rederive Bredon's results on the pairing. Furthermore, we are able to, in some sense, complete these results by combining the homotopy-theoretical approach with Sullivan's determination of the 2-primary Postnikov decomposition of the space G/PL .

1. Introduction. In [1], Bredon introduced a geometric pairing

$$\Gamma_n \times \Pi_{n+k}(S^n) \xrightarrow{\rho_{n,k}} \Gamma_{n+k},$$

Γ_i being the group of differential structures on the i -sphere² and used this pairing to construct certain semifree actions of groups on spheres. There is also the pairing

$$\Pi_n(PL/O) \times \Pi_{n+k}(S^n) \xrightarrow{\gamma_{n,k}} \Pi_{n+k}(PL/O)$$

defined by composition, PL/O being the fibre of the natural map $BO \rightarrow BPL$ of classifying spaces. Since, by smoothing theory, $\Pi_n(PL/O)$ and Γ_n are isomorphic groups, it is natural to inquire about the relation between these two pairings. In fact, as observed by Bredon [1] and the present author [3], these two pairings coincide.

While Bredon's geometric definition is rather natural and simple, the main results and proofs are more perspicuous using the homotopy-theoretical definition. Thus, in §2 we show how to retrieve (and slightly generalize) the theorems of [1, §§1, 2] in this context, in particular the following important result, which we state as

THEOREM A [1, THEOREM 2.1]. *Let $\alpha \in \Pi_{n+k}(S^n)$, $\sigma \in \Gamma_n$ and let $p_i(\sigma) \subset \Pi_{n+i}(S^i)$ denote the set of all elements represented (via the Pontryagin-Thom construction) by framed embeddings $\Sigma^n \times D^i \subset S^{n+i}$, Σ^n*

Received by the editors September 15, 1971.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 57D55.

Key words and phrases. Bredon pairing, differential structures on spheres, PL/O , Kervaire-Milnor construction $p_i(\sigma)$, homotopy spheres bounding π -manifolds, G/PL .

¹ Partially supported by NSF grant.

² Actually, Bredon defines his map from $\Theta_n \times \Pi_{n+k}(S^n)$ to Θ_{n+k} , but it is easily seen that this map restricts to a map from $\Gamma_n \times \Pi_{n+k}(S^n)$ to Γ_{n+k} .

a homotopy sphere representing σ . Then

$$p_l(\sigma) \circ \Sigma^l \alpha \subset p_l(\rho_{n,k}(\sigma, \alpha)),$$

where $\Sigma^l \alpha$ is the l th iterated suspension of α .

However, the main contribution of this note is the following Theorem B (essentially conjectured by Bredon [1, Corollary 2.3 and succeeding remarks]) which, taken together with Theorem A, gives a rather good hold on Bredon's pairing.

THEOREM B. *If bP_{n+1} is the subset of Γ_n consisting of those homotopy spheres which bound Π -manifolds, then the pairing $\rho_{n,k}$ restricts to a pairing $\bar{\rho}_{n,k}: bP_{n+1} \times \Pi_{n+k}(S^n) \rightarrow bP_{n+k+1}$. Moreover, $\bar{\rho}_{n,k}$ is trivial for $k > 0$.*

The proof of Theorem B, which relies on results of Sullivan [4], will also be carried out in §2.

As a final introductory comment, we remark that while the main interest in the composition pairing $\Pi_n(PL/O) \times \Pi_{n+k}(S^n) \rightarrow \Pi_{n+k}(PL/O)$ lies in its geometric interpretation, the pairing has also proved to be of some use in studying the k -invariants of PL/O ([5], [3]).

2. The main properties of $\rho_{n,k}$. In this section we deduce the main properties of the pairing $\rho_{n,k}$, working in the homotopy-theoretical context. We begin with a proposition which summarizes and slightly generalizes the results of [1, §1].

PROPOSITION. *The pairing $\rho_{n,k}: \Gamma_n \times \Pi_{n+k}(S^n) \rightarrow \Gamma_{n+k}$ is bilinear and associative in the sense that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma_n \times \Pi_{n+k}(S^n) \times \Pi_{n+k+l}(S^{n+k}) & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times \text{comp}} & \Gamma_n \times \Pi_{n+k+l}(S^n) \\ \downarrow \rho_{n,k} \times \text{id} & & \downarrow \rho_{n,k+l} \\ \Gamma_{n+k} \times \Pi_{n+k+l}(S^{n+k}) & \xrightarrow{\rho_{n+k,l}} & \Gamma_{n+k+l} \end{array}$$

is commutative.

PROOF. Using the identification of $\rho_{n,k}$ with $\gamma_{n,k}$, all these statements, except perhaps the linearity in the first variable, are trivial. As for linearity in the first variable, this follows from the fact that PL/O is an H -space.³

We remark that linearity in the first variable was proved in [1] only under the assumption that $\Pi_{n+k}(S^n)$ is stable, i.e. $k < n - 1$. Our proof, exploiting the H -structure on PL/O , shows this restriction on k to be unnecessary.

³ The bilinearity of $\gamma_{n,k}$ has been independently observed by Schultz (*Smooth structures on $S^p \times S^q$* , Ann. of Math. (2) 90 (1969), 187-198) in a closely related context.

We come now to the two key results, Theorems A and B.

PROOF OF THEOREM A. Let $\Gamma_{n+l,n}^f$ denote the set of framed embeddings of homotopy n -spheres in S^{n+l} , G_l^+ the space of maps $S^{l-1} \rightarrow S^{l-1}$ of degree 1. In addition to the obvious (forgetful) map $\varphi_i: \Gamma_{i+l,i}^f \rightarrow \Gamma_i$, there is a map $\omega_i: \Gamma_{i+l,i}^f \rightarrow \Pi_i(G_l^+)$ described in [2].⁴ It is not difficult to see that the set $p_l(\sigma)$, as originally defined by Kervaire-Milnor, can equivalently be described as $J_n(\omega_n(\varphi_n^{-1}(\sigma)))$, where $J_n: \Pi_n(G_l^+) \rightarrow \Pi_{n+l}(S^l)$ is obtained, as usual, by the Hopf construction. Thus, if $\beta \in p_l(\sigma)$, there exists $\eta \in \omega_n(\varphi_n^{-1}(\sigma))$ such that $\beta = J_n(\eta)$. But by a known formula (cf. [1, p. 442]) we have $J_n(\eta) \circ \Sigma^l \alpha = J_{n+k}(\eta \circ \alpha)$. Moreover, it is not difficult to see, for example by using the homotopy-theoretical interpretation of $\Gamma_{i+l,i}^f$, φ_i , ω_i (see footnote 4) that $\eta \circ \alpha \in \omega_{n+k}(\varphi_{n+k}^{-1}(\rho_{n,k}(\sigma, \alpha)))$ and the theorem follows.

PROOF OF THEOREM B. If G_k is the set of maps $S^{k-1} \rightarrow S^{k-1}$ of degree ± 1 and $G = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} G_k$, there are natural maps $O \subset PL \subset G$ and a fibration $PL/O \rightarrow G/O \rightarrow G/PL$. For $\alpha \in \Pi_{n+k}(S^n)$, we consider the diagram.

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Pi_{n+1}(G/PL) & \xrightarrow{\partial_n} & \Pi_n(PL/O) \\ \downarrow \circ \Sigma \alpha & & \downarrow \circ \alpha \\ \Pi_{n+k+1}(G/PL) & \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+k}} & \Pi_{n+k}(PL/O) \end{array}$$

The commutativity of (2.1) is a consequence of a well-known formula in fibre-space theory. From [4], we know that bP_{i+1} can be described homotopy-theoretically as the image of $\partial_i: \Pi_{i+1}(G/PL) \rightarrow \Pi_i(PL/O)$, so to prove the theorem, it is sufficient to prove the left-hand vertical arrow in (2.1) is the zero map.⁵

To this end, observe first that since $k > 0$, $\Sigma \alpha$ has finite order. We may further clearly assume $\Sigma \alpha$ has prime-power order p^j and distinguish two cases, according as p is odd or $p = 2$.

For p odd, the conclusion is trivial because the only torsion in $\Pi_*(G/PL)$ is of order 2 [4]. If $p = 2$, we argue as follows. Let Y be the space

$$(K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 2) \times_{\delta S_{q^2}} K(\mathbb{Z}, 4)) \times \Pi_{i \geq 2} K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 4i - 2) \times K(\mathbb{Z}, 4i).$$

Sullivan [4] shows that after localizing at the prime 2, Y and G/PL become homotopy equivalent. We are therefore reduced to proving that $\Pi_{n+1}(Y) \xrightarrow{\circ \Sigma \alpha} \Pi_{n+k+1}(Y)$ is the zero map, which is evident from the structure of Y .

⁴ See Rourke-Sandersoni, *Block bundles*. III, Ann. of Math. (2) 87 (1968), 431-483, for a homotopy-theoretic description of the set $\Gamma_{i+l,i}^f$ and the maps φ_i , ω_i .

⁵ It is actually true that the composition pairing $\Pi_{n+1}(G/PL) \times \Pi_{n+k+1}(S^{n+1}) \rightarrow \Pi_{n+k+1}(G/PL)$ is trivial but we do not need this additional fact.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. G. E. Bredon, *A Π_* -module structure for Θ_* and applications to transformation groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) **86** (1967), 434–448. MR **36** #4570.
2. J. Levine, *A classification of differentiable knots*, Ann. of Math. (2) **82** (1965), 15–50. MR **31** #5211.
3. J. Roitberg, *PL invariants on a smooth manifold*, Thesis, New York University, New York, 1968.
4. D. Sullivan, *Triangulating homotopy equivalences*, Thesis, Princeton University, Princeton, N.J., 1965.
5. R. Williamson, Jr., *Cobordism of combinatorial manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2) **83** (1966), 1–33. MR **32** #1715.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT STONY BROOK,
STONY BROOK, NEW YORK 11790