CRITERIA FOR DISCRETE SPECTRUM OF SINGULAR SELFADJOINT DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

L. W. ROLLINS

ABSTRACT. Under certain conditions on the coefficients of symmetric singular differential operators of order $2n$, selfadjoint extensions are shown to have a discrete spectrum. The results are proven specifically for the Friedrichs extension.

1. **Introduction.** Consider the formally selfadjoint differential operator defined by

$$\tau u(x) = \frac{1}{m(x)} \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k D^k p_k(x) D^k u(x), \qquad a \leqq x < b,$$

where b may be finite or infinite, p_k is real-valued, nonnegative, and in $C^{(k)}[a, b)$ for $0 \leqq k \leqq n$, and, for $x \in [a, b)$, $p_n(x) > 0$, and $m(x) > 0$. The endpoint b is possibly a singular endpoint.

Let $L^2(m)$ be the Hilbert space of all measurable functions, f, defined on (a, b) for which $\int_a^b |f(x)|^2 m(x)\, dx < \infty$, with inner product and norm denoted by $(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $\|\cdot\|$ respectively. Let T_0 be the symmetric linear operator defined by $T_0 u = \tau u$ whose domain $D(T_0)$ consists of those functions in $L^2(m)$ which have $2n$ continuous derivatives and compact support in (a, b). Then $D(T_0)$ is dense in $L^2(m)$. Under these conditions T_0 will have a selfadjoint extension; in particular, it will have the Friedrichs extension [6, p. 124].

This paper is concerned with finding conditions on the functions p_k, $1 \leqq k \leqq n$, which guarantee that the Friedrichs extension has a compact inverse and, hence, a discrete spectrum. Since all selfadjoint extensions of T_0 have the same continuous spectrum [5, Theorem 2, p. 92], we conclude that every selfadjoint extension of T_0 has a discrete spectrum.

Early investigations were confined to second order differential operators with the weight function $m(x) = 1$ and are available in the literature (see for example: Naĭmark [5, Chapter VII], and Dunford-Schwartz [2, Chapter XIII]). Recently Eastham [3] obtained results for higher order

---

differential operators with $b = \infty$. The results here will be compared with Eastham's and, also, earlier results of Friedrichs [4]. The techniques are an extension of those used by Baxley [1].

2. **Statement of theorem.** The operator T_0 is symmetric and semi-bounded from below. To see the latter, use repeated integration by parts and the fact that $p_k \geqq 0$ for $0 \leqq k \leqq n$, to get

$$(T_0 u, u) = \int_a^b \sum_{k=0}^n p_k(x)[u^{(k)}(x)]^2 \, dx \geqq 0$$

for $u \in D(T_0)$. Therefore T_0 has a Friedrichs extension, T.

Let k be an integer, $1 \leqq k \leqq n$. For this k define a sequence of functions $\{h_i\}_1^k$ as follows:

If, for each y in $[a, b)$, it is true that $\int_y^b 1/p_k(t) \, dt$ is finite, then

(1a) $$h_1(x, k) = \left(\int_x^b \frac{1}{p_k(t)} \, dt \right)^{1/2}, \quad \text{for } x \in [a, b).$$

Otherwise

(1b) $$h_1(x, k) = \left(\int_a^x \frac{1}{p_k(t)} \, dt \right)^{1/2}, \quad \text{for } x \in [a, b).$$

If i is any integer $1 \leqq i \leqq k-1$, and if, for each y in $[a, b)$, it is true that $\int_y^b h_i(t, k) \, dt$ is finite, then

(2a) $$h_{i+1}(x, k) = \int_x^b h_i(t, k) \, dt, \quad \text{for } x \in [a, b).$$

Otherwise

(2b) $$h_{i+1}(x, k) = \int_a^x h_i(t, k) \, dt, \quad \text{for } x \in [a, b).$$

THEOREM. *Let T be the Friedrichs extension of T_0. If*

(3) $$\int_a^b m(x)[h_k(x, k)]^2 \, dx = M < \infty$$

for at least one integer k, $1 \leqq k \leqq n$, then T has a compact inverse, and in this case, every selfadjoint extension of T_0 has a discrete spectrum.

3. **Proof of theorem.** We first prove two lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *If $u \in D(T_0)$ and (3) is satisfied for some k, $1 < k \leqq n$, then for $a \leqq x_1 < x_2 < b$,*

$$|u(x_2) - u(x_1)| \leqq (T_0 u, u)^{1/2} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} h_{k-1}(t, k) \, dt.$$

PROOF.   For $u \in D(T_0)$, it follows from the Schwartz inequality

$$|u^{(k-1)}(x_2) - u^{(k-1)}(x_1)|^2 \leqq \left| \int_{x_1}^{x_2} u^{(k)}(t) \, dt \right|^2$$

$$\leqq \int_{x_1}^{x_2} p_k(t)[u^{(k)}(t)]^2 \, dt \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \frac{1}{p_k(t)} \, dt$$

$$\leqq (T_0 u, u) \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \frac{1}{p_k(t)} \, dt.$$

Now setting $x_1 = x$ and $x_2 = b$, or $x_1 = a$ and $x_2 = x < b$ for $h_1(x, k)$ defined as in (1a) or (1b) respectively, leads to the following:

(4)
$$|u^{(k-1)}(x)|^2 \leqq (T_0 u, u)[h_1(x, k)]^2,$$
$$|u^{(k-1)}(x)| \leqq (T_0 u, u)^{1/2} h_1(x, k).$$

Using (4) above, we obtain

$$|u^{(k-2)}(x_2) - u^{(k-2)}(x_1)|$$

$$= \left| \int_{x_1}^{x_2} u^{(k-1)}(t) \, dt \right|$$

$$\leqq \left(\int_{x_1}^{x_2} (T_0 u, u)^{1/2} h_1(t, k) \, dt \right) = (T_0 u, u)^{1/2} \left(\int_{x_1}^{x_2} h_1(t, k) \, dt \right).$$

Setting $x_1 = x$ and $x_2 = b$, or $x_1 = a$ and $x_2 = x < b$ for $h_2(x, k)$ defined as in (2a) or (2b) respectively,

$$|u^{(k-2)}(x)|^2 \leqq (T_0 u, u)[h_2(x, k)]^2,$$
$$|u^{(k-2)}(x)| \leqq (T_0 u, u)^{1/2} h_2(x, k).$$

Continuing by induction, we get the inequality

$$|u^{(k-j)}(x)| \leqq (T_0 u, u)^{1/2} h_j(x, k), \qquad j = 1, \cdots, k - 1,$$

in particular, for $j = k - 1$,

$$|u'(x)| \leqq (T_0 u, u)^{1/2} h_{k-1}(x, k).$$

Integration of both sides leads to the conclusion of the lemma.

LEMMA 2.   *Let T be the Friedrichs extension of T_0. If $u \in D(T)$ and (3) holds for some k, $1 < k \leqq n$, then for $a \leqq x_1 < x_2 < b$,*

(a) $$|u(x_2) - u(x_1)| \leqq (Tu, u)^{1/2} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} h_{k-1}(t, k) \, dt,$$

(b) $$(u, u) \leqq (Tu, u)M.$$

PROOF. For $u \in D(T)$ there exists a sequence $\{u_m\}$ with $u_m \in D(T_0)$, $m = 1, \cdots$, such that $\|u_m - u\| \to 0$ and $(T_0 u_m, u_m) \to (Tu, u)$ as $m \to \infty$.

Since $(T_0 u_m, u_m)$ is a convergent sequence it is bounded, that is $(T_0 u_m, u_m) \leq C$ for some positive number C, $m = 1, \cdots$. From Lemma 1,

$$(5) \qquad |u_m(x_2) - u_m(x_1)| \leq (T_0 u_m, u_m)^{1/2} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} h_{k-1}(t, k) \, dt.$$

Therefore the functions $\{u_m\}$ are equicontinuous and uniformly bounded on compact subsets of $[a, b]$. By the Ascoli Theorem there is a subsequence of $\{u_m\}$ which converges uniformly on compact subsets of $[a, b]$. Restricting attention to this subsequence and taking limits in (5) we get (a),

$$|u(x_2) - u(x_1)| \leq (Tu, u)^{1/2} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} h_{k-1}(t, k) \, dt.$$

For the case $h_k(x, k) = \int_a^x h_{k-1}(t, k) \, dt$, let $x_1 = a$, $x_2 = x$ in the above inequality to obtain

$$|u(x)| \leq (Tu, u)^{1/2} h_k(x, k).$$

For the case $h_k(x, k) = \int_x^b h_{k-1}(t, k) \, dt$, then note that for each u_m in the subsequence there is a $x_2 < b$ such that $u_m(x_2) = 0$. Setting $x_1 = x$ we obtain

$$|u_m(x)| = |u_m(x_2) - u_m(x)|$$

$$\leq (T_0 u_m, u_m)^{1/2} \int_x^{x_2} h_{k-1}(t, k) \, dt \leq (T_0 u_m, u_m)^{1/2} \int_x^b h_{k-1}(t, k) \, dt$$

$$= (T_0 u_m, u_m)^{1/2} h_k(x, k).$$

Now take limits to obtain, for this case also, $|u(x)| \leq (Tu, u)^{1/2} h_k(x, k)$. Hence, in either case

$$(6) \qquad |u(x)|^2 \leq (Tu, u)[h_k(x, k)]^2.$$

Integration of the above leads to (b).

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. Let λ be an eigenvalue of T associated with eigenvector u. Then $(Tu, u) = (\lambda u, u) \geq 1/M(u, u)$. Hence, $\lambda \geq 1/M > 0$. Therefore all eigenvalues of T are positive and T^{-1} exists.

Let $\{Tu_n\}$ be a bounded sequence in the domain of T^{-1} and K be a number such that $\|Tu_n\| \leq K < \infty$, $n = 1, \cdots$. Then $u_n \in D(T)$ and, from Lemma 2(b),

$$\|u_n\|^2 = (u_n, u_n) \leq M(Tu_n, u_n) \leq M \|Tu_n\| \|u_n\|,$$

from which follows $\|u_n\| \leq MK$, and $(Tu_n, u_n) \leq MK^2$.

From Lemma 2(a),

$$|u_n(x_2) - u_n(x_1)| \leqq (MK^2)^{1/2} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} h_{k-1}(t, k)\, dt$$

and, hence, $\{u_n\}$ is uniformly bounded and equicontinuous on compact subsets of $[a, b)$. Using the Ascoli Theorem we get a subsequence $\{v_n\}$ which converges uniformly on compact subsets of $[a, b)$ to a limit function u.

It remains to show that $\{v_n\}$ converges in $L^2(m)$. From (6) above

$$m(x)\,|v_n(x)|^2 \leqq (Tv_n, v_n)m(x)[h_k(x, k)]^2$$
$$\leqq MK^2 m(x)[h_k(x, k)]^2, \qquad n = 1, \cdots.$$

The right side is integrable by hypothesis. The Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem yields $u \in L^2(m)$ and $\|v_n\| \to \|u\|$. Hence,

$$\|v_n - u\| \to 0.$$

Hence, T^{-1} is compact, and T has a discrete spectrum. It follows [5, Theorem 2, p. 92] that every selfadjoint extension of T_0 has a discrete spectrum.

Note that the proof above excludes the case $k=1$. For this case the conclusion of Lemma 1 becomes

$$|u(x_2) - u(x_1)| \leqq (T_0 u, u)^{1/2} \left(\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \frac{1}{p_1(t)}\, dt \right)^{1/2},$$

and the proof is given in the first step by setting $k=1$. The corresponding result of Lemma 2(a) becomes

$$|u(x_2) - u(x_1)| \leqq (Tu, u)^{1/2} \left(\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \frac{1}{p_1(t)}\, dt \right)^{1/2}$$

and the proofs of Lemma 2(a) and the Theorem follow the same pattern for $k=1$ as given above for $k>1$.

4. **Remarks.** The Theorem provides a test for the spectrum of T to be discrete based on an analysis of $p_k(x)$, for k some integer in $[1, n]$. For a test based on $p_0(x)$ see [5, Theorem 2, p. 210].

Eastham's technique [3] is based on a comparison to the Euler operator and requires $b=\infty$. However, the procedure used in this paper does not depend on comparison with known results, and the result includes the case of a singularity at a finite endpoint. If $p_1(x)=(1+x)^{2+\alpha}$, $m(x)=1$, $0 \leqq x < \infty$, then both results conclude a discrete spectrum if $\alpha > 0$. Eastham obtains a discrete spectrum for

$$p_k(x) = (x + 2)^{2k} \log(x + 2), \qquad 0 \leqq x < \infty,$$

but no such conclusion can be drawn from our theorem. It is possible to construct examples of oscillatory $p_k(x)$ for which $\liminf p_k(x)/x^{2k}$ is finite and $\limsup p_k(x)/x^{2k}$ is infinite and, hence, Eastham's results are inconclusive, but for which our theorem concludes a discrete spectrum.

Our theorem, supplemented by Theorem 2, [5, p. 210], yields the results that Friedrichs obtained [4] for those examples for which a discrete spectrum exists. Note that, for the Laguerre operator, a change of variable is needed to obtain the familiar form

$$tu = -(xu')' + (x/4 - \tfrac{1}{2})u, \qquad 0 \leqq x < \infty,$$

in which case $p_0(x) = (x/4 - \tfrac{1}{2}) \to \infty$ as $x \to \infty$ and the discrete spectrum follows.

REFERENCES

1. J. V. Baxley, *The Friedrichs extension of certain singular differential operators*, Duke Math. J. **35** (1968), 455–462. MR **37** #2036.

2. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators. II: Spectral theory. Selfadjoint operators in Hilbert space*, Interscience, New York, 1963. MR **32** #6181.

3. M. S. P. Eastham, *The least limit point of the spectrum associated with singular differential operators*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **67** (1970), 277–281. MR **40** #5957.

4. K. O. Friedrichs, *Criteria for the discrete character of the spectra of ordinary differential operators*, Studies and Essays Presented to R. Courant on his Sixtieth Birthday, January 8, 1949, Interscience, New York, 1948, pp. 145–160. MR **9**, 353.

5. M. A. Naĭmark, *Linear differential operators*, GITTL, Moscow, 1954; English transl., Part II, Ungar, New York, 1967. MR **16**, 702; MR **41** #7485.

6. F. Riesz and B. Sz.-Nagy, *Leçons d'analyse fonctionnelle*, Akad. Kiadó, Budapest, 1953; English transl., Ungar, New York, 1955. MR **15**, 132; MR **17**, 175.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30332