NECESSARY AND SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS FOR
HOMOTOPY CLASSIFICATION BY COHOMOLOGY
AND HOMOTOPY HOMOMORPHISMS

MARTIN STERNSTEIN

Abstract. Using obstruction theory tools to a pair of spaces
two invariants are defined whose vanishing is a necessary and
sufficient condition for homotopy classification of certain maps
between these spaces by the induced cohomology and homotopy
homomorphisms respectively.

1. Introduction. By the Hopf Theorem the set of homotopy classes of
maps from a complex of dimension $n$ into an $n$-sphere can be classified
by the cohomology homomorphism each class induces. To a pair of spaces
Emery Thomas [8] has defined invariants whose vanishing implies that a
map between these spaces is null-homotopic if all of its induced cohomol-
ogy homomorphisms are zero. To a pair of spaces Donald Kahn [1] has
assigned groups whose vanishing implies that a map between these spaces
is null-homotopic if all of its induced homotopy homomorphisms are
zero. For these classification problems we will give necessary and suffi-
cient conditions from which the above sufficiency results will follow.

2. Definitions. All spaces in this paper are pathwise connected,
basepointed, and have the homotopy type of CW-complexes. All maps
and homotopies will preserve basepoints. $Y$ will be simply connected,
and we use the following notation for maps arising from a fixed homotopy
decomposition $\{Y_n\}$ of $Y$:

$$p_n : Y_n \to Y_{n-1}, \quad \beta_n : Y \to Y_n, \quad q_{jn} : Y_n \to Y_j,$$
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where the \( \{k^i\} \) are the \( k \)-invariants and \( i_j \) is the inclusion of the fiber into the total space of the fibration \( p_j : Y_j \to Y_{j-1} \). We also have

\[
F_{jn} \xrightarrow{l_{jn}} Y_n \xrightarrow{q_{jn}} Y_j
\]

where \( l_{jn} \) is the inclusion of the fiber into the total space of the fibration \( q_{jn} : Y_n \to Y_j \).

\[
k_j^{n+1} = l_{jn}^*k^{n+1} \in H^{n+1}(F_{jn} ; \pi_n(Y))
\]
defines a higher order cohomology operation as follows. Let \( v^j \in H^j(X, \pi_j(F_{jn})) \) and we set

\[
k_n^{n+1} \leq v^j = \{ h^*(k_j^{n+1}) \mid h : X \to F_{jn} \text{ where } h^*(e^j) = v^j \}
\]

where \( e^j \in H^j(F_{jn} ; \pi_j(F_{jn})) \) is the fundamental class of \( F_{jn} \). Note that \( k_n^{n+1} \) is a primary cohomology operation.

Suppose \( f_{j+1} : g_{j+1} : Y_{j+1} \to Y_{j+1} \) with \( p_{j+1} f_{j+1} = p_{j+1} g_{j+1} \), \( g_n \) is any lifting of \( g_{j+1} \) to \( Y_n \), and either \( Y \) is an \( H \)-space or \( j > n-m+1 \) where \( j \geq 2 \), \( m \geq 2 \) and \( Y \) is \( (m-1) \)-connected. Then Olum’s difference formula [4] gives that

\[
\mathcal{O}^{n+1}(f_{j+1}) - \mathcal{O}^{n+1}(g_n) = \mathcal{O}^{n+1}(f_{j+1}) - \mathcal{O}^{n+1}(g_{j+1})
\]

\[
= k_j^{n+1} \leq (\mathcal{O}(f_{j+1}, g_{j+1}) \text{ rel } p_{j+1})
\]

where \( \mathcal{O}^{n+1}(f_{j+1}) \) is the obstruction to lifting \( f_{j+1} \) to \( Y_{n+1} \) and \( \mathcal{O}^{j}(f_{j+1}, g_{j+1}) \).
is the $j$th obstruction to a homotopy from $f_{j+1}$ to $g_{j+1}$. (A similar difference formula is given by Mizuno [2].)

Given a map $f : X \to Y$ we define $f_{(n)} = \beta_{n} f : X \to Y_{n}$.

If $\gamma^n \in H^n(Y; G)$ we define $\gamma^n_{*} : \pi_n(Y) \to G$ to be the composition of the Hurewicz homomorphism with the homomorphism on $H_n(Y)$ induced by $\gamma^n$. Now let $\gamma^n_{*} : H^n(Y; \pi_n(Y)) \to H^n(Y; G)$ be induced by $\gamma^n_{*}$.

Olum [5] has shown that if $f, g : X \to Y$ with $\mathcal{O}^n(f, g)$ nonvoid, then for any $\gamma^n \in H^n(Y; G)$,

$$(f^* - g^*)\gamma^n = \gamma^n_{*} \mathcal{O}^n(f, g).$$

And for any $u^n \in \mathcal{O}^n(f, g)$ and any $\gamma \in \pi_n(X)$,

$$(f^n - g^n)\gamma = u^n \gamma.$$

Using the homomorphism $H^j(X; G) \to \text{Hom}(H_j(X), G)$ and the Hurewicz homomorphisms $\pi_j(X) \to H_j(X)$ and $\pi_j(Y) \to H_j(Y)$ we define

$$\mathcal{H}_j : H^j(X; \pi_j(Y)) \to H^j(X; H_j(Y)),$$

$$\mathcal{G}_j : H^j(X; \pi_j(Y)) \to \text{Hom}(\pi_j(X), \pi_j(Y)).$$

The map $i_j : K(\pi_{j-1}(Y), j-1) \to Y_j$ together with the isomorphism $H^{j-1}(X; \pi_{j-1}(Y)) \to [X, K(\pi_{j-1}(Y), j-1)]$ defines a function

$$(i_j)_* : H^{j-1}(X; \pi_{j-1}(Y)) \to [X, Y].$$

$f^* = g^*$ will mean $f$ and $g$ induce the same cohomology homomorphisms over all finitely generated abelian coefficient groups.

3. Statements and proofs of main theorems. For Theorems 3.1–3.3 we have the hypothesis that $j \leq n-1$ and either $Y$ is an $H$-space or $Y$ is $(m-1)$-connected and $j > n-m+1$.

**Theorem 3.1.** Given $f : X \to Y_{n+1}$. Let $g : X \to Y_{n+1}$ be any map such that $f_{(j)} \cong g_{(j)}$. Then $f_{(j+1)} = g_{(j+1)} = f_{(j+1)} \cong g_{(j+1)}$ for all such $g$, if and only if

$$[\ker(k_{n+1}^j | X) \cap \ker(\mathcal{H}_j)][\mathcal{O}^j(f, f) = 0].$$

**Proof of 3.1.** Suppose

$$[\ker(k_{n+1}^j | X) \cap \ker(\mathcal{H}_j)][\mathcal{O}^j(f, f) = 0]$$

and we are given $g : X \to Y_{n+1}$ such that $g_{(j)} \cong f_{(j)}$ and $g_{(j+1)} \cong f_{(j+1)}$. $g_{j+n+1} : Y_{n+1} \to Y_j$ a fibration gives $\tilde{g} : X \to Y_{n+1}$ with $g \cong \tilde{g}$ and $\tilde{g}_{(j)} = f_{(j)}$. Since $g \cong \tilde{g}$ implies $g^* = \tilde{g}^*$ we have $\tilde{g}_{(j+1)} = f_{(j+1)}$. $0 \in \mathcal{O}^{n+1}(f_{(j+1)})$ and $0 \in \mathcal{O}^{n+1}(g_{(j+1)})$ so the difference formula gives that

$$\{\mathcal{O}^j(f_{(j+1)}, \tilde{g}_{(j+1)}) \text{rel } p_{j+1} \} \in \ker(k_{n+1}^j | X).$$

$H^j(Y; H_j(Y)) \to \text{Hom}(H_j(Y), H_j(Y))$ is epi so there exists $h^j \in H^j(Y; H_j(Y))$
such that \( h^i \) induces the identity \( H_j(Y) \to H_j(Y) \) and thus \( h^i_\ast = \mathcal{I}_j \). Using (2.1) we have

\[
0 = (f_{(j+1)}^* - g_{(j+1)}^*)h^i = h^i_\ast C^i(f_{(j+1)}, g_{(j+1)}) = \mathcal{I}_j C^i(f_{(j+1)}, g_{(j+1)}).
\]

\( \{C^i(f_{(j+1)}, g_{(j+1)}) \} \) rel \( p_{(j+1)} \), since nonempty, is a coset of \( \{C^i(f_{(j+1)}, f_{(j+1)}) \} \) and in fact is the same set since they have the common element

\[
\{0 \} \subset \{C^i(f_{(j+1)}, g_{(j+1)}) \} \text{ rel } p_{(j+1)}.
\]

Therefore since \( 0 \in \{C^i(f_{(j+1)}, g_{(j+1)}) \} \) we have \( 0 \in \{C^i(f_{(j+1)}, g_{(j+1)}) \} \). So \( f_{(j+1)} \cong g_{(j+1)} \) and since \( g \cong \tilde{g} \) we have the desired result \( f_{(j+1)} \cong g_{(j+1)} \).

Going in the other direction, let us suppose there exists a \( \nu \in H^n(X; \pi_1(Y)) \) such that \( \nu \in \ker(k_{n+1}^+|X) \cap \ker \mathcal{I}_j \) but \( \nu \notin \mathcal{O}^i(f, f) \). There exists \( \mu: K(\pi_1(Y), j) \times Y_{j+1} \to Y_{j+1} \) such that \( \mu|K(\pi_1(Y), j) \times Y_{j+1} = l_{j+1} \) and \( p_{j+1} \mu = p_{j+1} \nu \). \( \nu \) defines a map \( \tilde{v}: X \to K(\pi_1(Y), j) \) such that \( (\tilde{v})^* e^i = v^i \) where \( e^i \) is the fundamental class of \( K(\pi_1(Y), j) \). Let \( \tilde{v} = \mu(\tilde{v}, f_{(j+1)}) \). By a standard calculation [3], we have

\[
\{C^i(f_{(j+1)}, \tilde{v}) \} \text{ rel } p_{(j+1)} = \nu,
\]

so \( f_{(j+1)} \cong \tilde{v} \) since by hypothesis \( \nu \notin \mathcal{O}^i(f, f) \). However

\[
(f_{(j+1)}^* - (\tilde{v})^*)_{j+1} = \gamma_{j+1}^+ C^i(f_{(j+1)}, \tilde{v})' = \gamma_{j+1}^+ (v^i') = 0
\]

for all \( \gamma_{j+1} \) because \( \mathcal{I}_j(v') = 0 \). Finally \( v^i \in \ker(k_{n+1}^+|X) \) together with the difference formula gives that \( 0 \in \mathcal{O}^n+1(\tilde{v}) \) so \( \tilde{v} \) lifts to \( g: X \to Y_{n+1} \) with \( f_{(j+1)} \cong g_{(j+1)} \) but \( f_{(j+1)} \cong g_{(j+1)} \).

**Theorem 3.2.** Given \( f: X \to Y_{n+1} \). Let \( g: X \to Y_{n+1} \) be any map such that \( f_{(j)} \cong g_{(j)} \). Then \( f_{(j+1)} = (g_{(j+1)})_2 = f_{(j+1)} \cong g_{(j+1)} \) for all such \( g \), if and only if

\[
[ker(k_{n+1}^+|X) \cap ker \mathcal{I}_j]/\mathcal{O}^i(f, f) = 0.
\]

The proof of the above is substantially the same as that of the previous theorem. (2.2) is used in place of (2.1) in the appropriate spot. Similarly we can prove

**Theorem 3.3.** Given \( f: X \to Y_{n+1} \). Let \( g: X \to Y_{n+1} \) be any map such that
f_{(j)} \cong g_{(j)}. Then \( f_{(j+1)}^* \) is the primary cohomology operation. Furthermore, if we now let \( n \) vary, we can inductively lift from the lowest stage of a homotopy decomposition up to any other stage, and we have the following:

**Corollary 3.4.** Assume either \( Y \) is a simply connected H-space or \( Y \) is 2-connected. Then if \( f: X \to Y \) we have

\[
(\forall n > 2, \forall g: X \to Y_n \text{ such that } g \text{ can be lifted to } Y_{n+1},
\]

\[
g^* = (f(n))^* \Rightarrow g \cong f(n)
\]

\[
\Leftrightarrow [\ker(k_{j+2}^n | X) \cap \ker \mathcal{H}_j] \cap [\ker \mathcal{G}_j] / \mathcal{O}^j(f, f) = 0 \text{ for all } j > 2.
\]

**Corollary 3.5.** Assume either \( Y \) is a simply connected H-space or \( Y \) is 2-connected. Then if \( f: X \to Y \) we have

\[
(\forall n > 2, \forall g: X \to Y_n \text{ such that } g \text{ can be lifted to } Y_{n+1},
\]

\[
g^* = (f(n))^* \Rightarrow g \cong f(n)
\]

\[
\Leftrightarrow [\ker(k_{j+2}^n | X) \cap \ker \mathcal{H}_j] \cap [\ker \mathcal{G}_j] / \mathcal{O}^j(f, f) = 0 \text{ for all } j \geq 2.
\]

In the following \( f-g \) is composition by suspension or loop-space properties, whichever is appropriate. If \( X \) is a suspension then \( (f-g)^* = f^* - g^* \) and if \( Y \) is a loop-space \( (f-g)_i = f_i - g_i \). If \( Y \) is a loop-space with homology groups of finite type, then Thomas [8] has shown that \( (f-g)^* = f^* = g^* \). In all the above cases we have \( (f-g) \cong f \Leftrightarrow f \cong g \). For these special cases we derive classification conditions which are not different for each given map \( f: X \to Y \), i.e. do not involve \( \mathcal{O}(f, f) \). These results do involve a knowledge of \( \mathcal{O}(f^*, * ) \) so before stating the obvious corollaries we develop a useful characterization of \( \mathcal{O}(f^*, * ) \). This will also be valuable in the main theorems if \( f = * \) and we desire to characterize maps which are null-homotopic.

The obstruction \( \mathcal{O}^n(f, g) \) to a homotopy from \( f \) to \( g \) is defined from the following diagram

\[
(X \times I) \cup (* \times I) \xrightarrow{\beta_{n+1} f'} Y_{n+1}
\]

\[
\xrightarrow{i} \quad \xrightarrow{p_{n+1}} \quad X \times I \xrightarrow{f_n} Y_n
\]

where \( f' | X \times 0 = f, f'_* | X \times 1 = g, f'_* | * \times I = * \), and \( f_n \) is any map making the
diagram commute. Then
\[ \mathcal{O}^n(f, g) = \Delta^{-1} \lambda \{ (f_n, \beta_{n+1} f') | \text{all } f_n \text{ making the diagram commute} \} \]
where \( e^{n+1} \in H^{n+1}(p_{n+1}; \pi_{n+1}(p_{n+1})) \) is the fundamental class of \( p_{n+1} \), 
\( \lambda: \pi_{n+1}(p_{n+1}) \cong \pi_n(Y) \) induces \( \lambda_n: H^{n+1}(i; \pi_{n+1}(p_{n+1})) \to H^{n+1}(i; \pi_n(Y)) \), and 
\( \Delta \) is the natural isomorphism \( H^n(X; \pi_n(Y)) \to H^{n+1}(i; \pi_n(Y)) \). In the case \( f = g = *, \beta_{n+1} f' = * \) and we are looking at all maps \( f_n: X \times I \to Y_n \) which take \( (X \times \{0\}) \cup \{x \in I \} \) to the basepoint, or equivalently maps on the suspension, \( SX \). Under the map
\[ H^{n+1}(p_{n+1}; \pi_{n+1}(p_{n+1})) \to H^{n+1}(Y_n; \pi_{n+1}(p_{n+1})) \to H^{n+1}(Y_n; \pi_n(Y)) , \]
e^{n+1} \to k^{n+1} \] where \( k^{n+1} \) is the appropriate \( k \)-invariant. The above gives
\[ \mathcal{O}^n(*, *) = \{ z \in H^n(X; \pi_n(Y)) \mid \exists h: SX \to Y_n \text{ with } \eta(z) = h^*(k^{n+1}) \} \]
where \( \eta: H^n(X; \pi_n(Y)) \to H^{n+1}(SX; \pi_n(Y)) \). From this a straightforward calculation gives an alternative description: \( \mathcal{O}^n(*, *) = \ker((i_{n+1})) \mid X \)
where \( i_{n+1}: K(\pi_n(Y), n) \to Y_{n+1} \) induces \( ((i_{n+1})): H^n(\cdot; \pi_n(Y)) \to [-, Y_{n+1}] \).
Using the above characterization of \( \mathcal{O}^n(*, *) \) gives such results as

**Corollary 3.6.** Assume either \( Y \) is a simply connected loop space with homology groups of finite type, or \( Y \) is 2-connected and \( X \) is a suspension. Then
\[ \forall n > 2, \forall f, g: X \to Y_n \text{ such that } f \text{ and } g \text{ are liftable to } Y_{n+1}, \]
f' = g' \Rightarrow f \simeq g \]
\[ \iff \ker(k_j^{n+2}) \mid X \cap \ker \mathcal{O}^j(*, *) = 0 \] \( \forall j > 2. \)

4. Applications. Emery Thomas [8] has defined invariants whose vanishing implies that a map is null-homotopic if all of its induced cohomology homomorphisms are zero. The vanishing of these invariants implies that our invariants,
\[ \ker(k_j^{n+2}) \mid X \cap \ker \mathcal{O}_j(*, *), \]
vanish; see [7]. Thomas' result follows from ours, however the two results are not identical. There are trivial examples where \( \pi_n(Y) \) is not cyclic, however even when \( \pi_n(Y) \) is cyclic our result is stronger. An example is found letting \( X = S^5 \) and \( Y = (S^3 \cup r e^6) \cup_{2\theta} e^6 \) where \( f \) generates \( \pi_4(S^3) = \mathbb{Z} \) and \( g \) generates \( \pi_5(S^3 \cup r e^6) = \mathbb{Z} \).
Donald Kahn [1] has assigned groups whose vanishing implies that a map is null-homotopic if all of its induced homotopy homomorphisms are zero. The vanishing of these groups implies that our invariants,
\[ \ker(k_j^{n+2}) \mid X \cap \ker \mathcal{O}_j(*, *), \]
vanish; see [7]. Kahn's result follows from ours, however our result is
stronger. An example is found letting \( X = K(Z_2, 2) \) and \( Y \) be the two stage Posnikov system \( K(Z_2, 3; Z_2, 4; Sq^2) \).

Applying our main theorems to classifying complex vector bundles gives

**Theorem 4.1.** Let \( \dim X \leq 2n \) and suppose that \( \forall j, \forall x \in H^{2j}(X; Z) \) such that \((j-1)! x = 0\) we have \( i_{2j+1} x \cong^* \) where \( i_{2j+1} : K(Z, 2j) \to BU_{2j+1} \). Then equivalence classes of \( n \)-dimensional complex vector bundles over \( X \) can be classified by their associated sets of Chern classes.

The check that \( i_{2j+1} x \cong^* \) often involves a knowledge only of properties of the \( k \)-invariants of \( BU \). This includes Theorem 3.2 of Peterson [6] which assumes that the only torsion in \( H^{2j}(X; Z) \) is relatively prime to \((j-1)! \). See [7] for an example showing our result is stronger than that of Peterson’s.

Our main theorems are stated for maps into specific stages of a homotopy decomposition. Problems involving maps out of specific skeletons of a space can be put into this form via the following:

**Theorem 4.2.** There is a 1-1 correspondence \( \varphi : [X^{n+1}, Y]_n \to [X, Y_{n+1}] \) where \([X^{n+1}, Y]_n \) means equivalence classes of the form \( f \sim g \) iff \( X^n \cong g \vert X^n \). The above can be proved by obstruction theory arguments.

Finally we remark that our main theorems can be stated in the more general context of maps which agree on a given subspace \( A \subset X \) and which are mapped into a stage of the homotopy decomposition of a fibration \( \beta : Y \to B \), see [7].
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