THE MORSE LEMMA ON BANACH SPACES

A. J. TROMBA

Abstract. Let \( f: U \to \mathbb{R} \) be a \( C^3 \) map of an open subset \( U \) of a Banach space \( E \). Let \( p \in U \) be a critical point of \( f \) (\( df_p = 0 \)). If \( E \) is a conjugate space (\( E = F^* \)) we define what it means for \( p \) to be non-degenerate. In this case there is a diffeomorphism \( \gamma \) of a neighborhood of \( p \) with a neighborhood of 0 in \( E \), \( \gamma(p) = 0 \) with

\[
    f \circ \gamma^{-1}(x) = \text{id}^* (x) + f(p).
\]

In this paper we define a notion of nondegeneracy for critical points of smooth real valued functions defined on open subsets of a Banach space \( E \) which is a dual space, and we shall prove the Morse lemma with our definition. Earlier notions of nondegeneracy which implied the Morse lemma are stronger than ours and in particular had the unfortunate consequence of implying that \( E \approx E^* \). See Palais [3] and [4] for the case \( E = \text{Hilbert space} \) and \( E = E^* \) respectively.

Definition. Let \( E_0 \) be a Banach space and let \( E = E_0^* \) be the dual space of \( E_0 \). Denote by \( \langle \, , \rangle : E \times E_0 \) the bilinear pairing of \( E_0 \) and \( E \) given by \( \langle f, x \rangle = f(x) \).

In the paragraphs below we shall not be too careful about the order of the terms in \( \langle \, , \rangle \); e.g., \( \langle x, f \rangle \) instead of \( \langle f, x \rangle \) and no confusion should arise from this.

A continuous linear map \( A \in L(E, E_0) \) is said to be symmetric if \( \langle y, Ax \rangle = \langle x, Ay \rangle \) for all \( x, y \in E \). We note this by writing \( A \in L_s(E, E_0) \).

The proof of the following is standard.

Lemma 1. If \( A \in L_s(E, E_0) \) is injective then \( A(E) \) is dense in \( E_0 \).

Definition. Let \( U \subseteq E \) be an open subset with \( f: U \to \mathbb{R} \) \( C^2 \)-differentiable. We say that \( f \) is weak (*) smooth if for each fixed \( t \in U \) and \( h \in E \) the linear functional on \( E \) given by \( k \mapsto d^2 f_t(h, k) \) is continuous in the weak (*) topology of \( E \) induced by \( E_0 \). If \( E \) is reflexive every \( C^2 \)-map on \( U \) is weak (*) smooth.

Lemma 2. Let \( t \in U \) be fixed with \( f: U \to \mathbb{R} \) \( C^2 \) and weak (*) smooth. Then \( d^2 f_t \) induces a map \( A_t \in L_s(E, E_0) \) with \( k(A_t(h)) = \langle k, A_t(h) \rangle = d^2 f_t(h, k) \).

Received by the editors February 16, 1971.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 49F15, 58E05; Secondary 58C15.

© American Mathematical Society 1972

396
Proof. Since \( k \to d^2f_t(h, k) \) is continuous in the weak (*) topology it is given by an element of \( E_0 \), say \( A_t(h) \) according to the rule \( k(A_t(h)) = d^2f_t(h, k) \). (For a proof of this fact see [1, p. 421].) \( A_t \) is readily seen to be linear in \( h \) since \( d^2f_t(h, k) \) is. This completes the proof.

Let \( U \) be a convex neighborhood of a critical point \( p \) of \( f: U \to \mathbb{R} \) (i.e., \( df_p = 0 \)) which we assume to be \( C^3 \) and weak (*) smooth. Then by Taylor's formula for \( x \in U, x = p + \xi \),

\[
f(p + \xi) = f(p) + \int_0^1 (1 - \lambda)d^2f(p + \lambda \xi)(\xi, \xi) \, d\lambda.
\]

Let \( B_2: E \times E \to \mathbb{R} \) be the symmetric bilinear form given by

\[
B_2(h, k) = \int_0^1 (1 - \lambda)d^2f(p + \lambda \xi)(h, k) \, d\lambda.
\]

Then, by the above remarks, \( B_2(h, k) = \langle k, A \xi h \rangle \) where \( A_\xi \in L_2(E, E_0) \). \( A: U \to L_2(E, E_0) \) is called the bilinear representative of \( f \) in \( U \). If \( f \) is \( C^3 \) then \( A \) is \( C^1 \). If \( p = 0 \) the above Taylor expansion for \( f \) can then be written

\[
f(x) = B_2(x, x) + f(0) = \langle A \xi(x), x \rangle + f(0)
\]

for \( x \) near 0. In the following paragraphs we shall assume for simplicity that our critical point \( p \) is in fact 0.

Definition. The critical point \( p \) is nondegenerate if there is a neighborhood \( W \subseteq U \) and positive constants \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) with

1. \( A_0 \) injective,
2. \( \|DA_\xi(y)\| \leq C_1 \|h\| \cdot \|A_\xi y\| \),
3. \( \|DA_\xi(t)(y) - DA_\xi(t_1)(y)\| \leq C_2 \|h\| \cdot \|t - t_1\| \cdot \|A_\xi y\| \),

for all \( t, t_1, t_2 \in W \), where \( DA_\xi \) denotes the Fréchet derivative of \( A \) with respect to the subscript variable.

Remark. In the case \( E = H \), Hilbert space, considered by Palais and Smale, \( A_0 \in L_2(H, H) \) was required to be an isomorphism for \( p \) to be a nondegenerate critical point of \( f \). The requirement that \( A_0 \) be an isomorphism implies (1), (2), and (3), and so these conditions are weaker than the nondegeneracy condition of Palais and Smale.

Lemma 3. Let \( A \) be a bilinear representative of \( f \) at \( p \). Then there exists a neighborhood \( W \) of 0 and constant \( K > 1 \) with the property that

\[
K^{-1} \|A_0 y\| \leq \|A_\xi y\| \leq K \|A_0 y\|.
\]

Proof. By the mean value theorem we have

\[
A_\xi y - A_0 y = \int_0^1 DA_\xi(t)(y) \, dt.
\]
Let $W$ be a bounded convex neighborhood of $0 \in E$ where the non-degeneracy conditions hold. Then

$$\|A_t - A_0y\| \leq \int_0^1 \|DA_{A_t}(t)(y)\| dA \leq C_1 \|t\| \cdot \|A_t y\|$$

for all $t, t' \in W$.

From this (dividing by $\|A_t y\|$), we can conclude that

$$\frac{\|A_t y\|}{\|A_t y\|} \frac{\|A_0 y\|}{\|A_0 y\|} \leq C_1 \|t\| \quad \text{for all} \quad t, t' \in W.$$

Then setting $t'=0$ we get $\|A_t y\| \leq (1+C_1\|t\|)\|A_0 y\|$ and setting $t'=t$ we get $\|A_0 y\| \leq (1+C_1\|t\|)\|A_t y\|$. Finally, setting $K=1+\sup_{t \in W} C_1\|t\|$ concludes the proof.

Remark. The above lemma implies that the map $A : W \to L_0(E, E_0)$ takes values $A_t$ which are injective maps for all $t \in W$.

**Lemma 4.** Let $A$ be a bilinear representative of $f$ at $p$. Then there exists a neighborhood $W$ of $0$ and constants $C_1$ and $C_2$ with

$$\|A_{t_1}y - A_{t_2}y\| \leq C_1 \|t_1 - t_2\| \cdot \|A_t y\|$$

for $t_1, t_2, t' \in W$.

**Proof.** Follows directly from the mean value theorem.

**Lemma 5.** Let $f : \mathcal{O} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a real valued $C^3$ weak (*) smooth function defined in the neighborhood $\mathcal{O}$ of the origin of $E$. Suppose $0$ is a nondegenerate critical point of $f$. From before

$$f(x) = \langle A_x(x), x \rangle + f(0).$$

Then there exists a $C^1$ map $t \to Q_t \in GL(E)$, the general linear group of $E$ with $A_t Q_t = A_0$ and $Q_0 = I$.

**Proof.** Let $W$ be a convex neighborhood of $0$ so that Lemmas 3 and 4 hold. Let $t \in W$ be fixed. Then by the remark after Lemma 3, $A_t$ is injective; moreover, $\text{Range} \ A_t$ is dense in $E_0$. Let $y \in E$. Define $Q_t(y) \in E^*$ by

$$\langle Q_t(y), A_t(x) \rangle = Q_t(y)(A_t x) = \langle y, A_0 x \rangle.$$

By Lemma 3, $Q_t(y)$ is continuous. Since $\text{Range} \ A_t$ is dense this defines $Q_t(y)$ on all of $E_0$. It is easy to check that for each $t \in W$, that $Q_t$ is linear.

We shall show that $Q_t$ is an isomorphism and that the map $t \to Q_t$ is $C^1$.

First, since $A_t$ is symmetric for each $t \in W$, $\langle A_t Q_t y, x \rangle = \langle A_0 y, x \rangle$. Therefore,

$$|\langle A_t x, Q_t y \rangle| = |\langle A_t Q_t y, x \rangle| = |\langle A_0 y, x \rangle| \leq K \|y\| \cdot \|A_t x\|.$$
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Thus,

\[ |\langle Q_t y, A_t x \rangle| \leq K \| y \| \]

for all \( x \in E \). This implies that \( \| Q_t y \| \leq K \| y \| \). (Recall for \( f \in E_0^* \), \( \| f \| = \sup_{\| x \| = 1} |\langle f, x \rangle| \) and if \( S \subseteq E_0 \) is dense \( \| f \| = \sup_{\| x \| = 1; x \in S} |\langle f, x \rangle| \).) Secondly,

\[ |\langle A_t x, y \rangle| = |\langle A_0 y, x \rangle| = |\langle A_t Q_t y, x \rangle| \leq \| Q_t y \| \cdot \| A_t x \| \leq K \| Q_t y \| \cdot \| A_0 x \|. \]

Thus

\[ |\langle A_0 x, A_0 x \rangle, y \rangle| \leq K \| Q_t y \| \]

for all \( x, y \in E \), which implies that \( \| Q_t y \| \geq K^{-1} \| y \| \), which further implies that for each \( t \in W \), \( Q_t \) is injective, continuous and has closed range. To see that \( Q_t \) is invertible, let \( y \in E \) be arbitrary. Define \( P_t(y) \in E_0^* \) by

\[ P_t(y)(A_0 x) = (A_0 y, x). \]

As before, \( P_t \) will be continuous, injective and have closed range. Now

\[ \langle A_t Q_t P_t y, x \rangle = \langle A_0 P_t y, x \rangle = \langle A_t y, x \rangle. \]

for all \( x, y \). This implies that \( A_t Q_t P_t = A_t \) and since \( A_t \) is injective, we have that \( Q_t P_t = I \), which shows that \( Q_t \) is onto, and hence invertible for each \( t \).

We must now show that the map \( t \mapsto Q_t \) is \( C^1 \). The continuity of this map is guaranteed by Lemma 4. For

\[ |(Q_{t_1} y - Q_{t_2} y, A_{t_1} x) - (Q_{t_1} y, A_{t_1} x) - (Q_{t_2} y, A_{t_1} x)| = |(A_0 y, x) - (Q_{t_2} y, A_{t_1} x)| \]

\[ = |(Q_{t_2} y, A_{t_2} x) - (Q_{t_2} y, A_{t_1} x)| \leq \| Q_{t_2} y \| \cdot \| A_{t_2} x - A_{t_1} x \| \]

\[ \leq K C_1 \| y \| \cdot \| t_1 - t_2 \| \cdot \| A_{t_1} x \|. \]

Thus

\[ \| Q_{t_1} y - Q_{t_2} y \| \leq C_1 K \| y \| \cdot \| t_1 - t_2 \| \]

or

\[ \| Q_{t_1} - Q_{t_2} \| \leq C_1 K \| t_1 - t_2 \| \]

which gives the continuity of the map \( t \mapsto Q_t \).

To see that the map is smooth, we use the same sort of trick we have already employed. Our candidate for the derivative of \( Q_t \) will be the map defined by

\[ \langle DQ_t(h)(y), A_t x \rangle = -\langle DA_t(h)(x), Q_t y \rangle. \]

The nondegeneracy assumption assures us that the map \( DQ_t \) is well defined and continuous.
Let \( t_0 \in W \) be fixed and let \( h \) be so small that \( t_0 + h \in W \). Then
\[
\langle Q_{t_0 + h} y - Q_{t_0} y, A_{t_0} x \rangle = \langle Q_{t_0 + h} y, A_{t_0} x \rangle - \langle Q_{t_0} y, A_{t_0} x \rangle
\]
\[
= \langle Q_{t_0 + h} y, A_{t_0} x - A_{t_0 + h} x \rangle.
\]
By the Fréchet differentiability of \( t \to A_t \) this is equal to
\[
\langle Q_{t_0 + h} y, -DA_{t_0}(h)(x) - R_{t_0}(h)(x) \rangle
\]
where
\[
A_{t_0 + h} - A_{t_0} = DA_{t_0}(h) + R_{t_0}(h)
\]
and
\[
R_{t_0}(h)(x) = \int_0^1 [DA_{t_0 + \lambda h}(h)(x) - DA_{t_0}(h)(x)] \, d\lambda.
\]
(This implies that \( \| R_{t_0}(h)(x) \| \leq C_2 \| h \|^2 / \| A_{t_0} x \|. \) Continuing the string of equalities we get (*) equal to
\[
\langle Q_{t_0 + h} y, -DA_{t_0}(h)(x) - R_{t_0}(h)(x) \rangle
\]
\[
= \langle Q_{t_0 + h} y - Q_{t_0}(y), DA_{t_0}(h)(x) \rangle + \langle Q_{t_0 + h} y - Q_{t_0}(y), -DA_{t_0}(h)(x) \rangle
\]
\[
= \langle DA_{t_0}(h)(y), A_{t_0} x \rangle + \langle Q_{t_0 + h}(y) - Q_{t_0}(y), -DA_{t_0}(h)(x) \rangle
\]
\[
= \langle DA_{t_0}(h)(y), A_{t_0} x \rangle - \langle Q_{t_0 + h}(y), R_{t_0}(h)(x) \rangle.
\]
Therefore
\[
|\langle Q_{t_0 + h} y - Q_{t_0} y - DA_{t_0}(h)(y), A_{t_0} x \rangle|
\]
\[
\leq |\langle Q_{t_0 + h} y - Q_{t_0}(y), DA_{t_0}(h)(x) \rangle| + |\langle Q_{t_0 + h}(y), R_{t_0}(h)(x) \rangle|.
\]
By the nondegeneracy conditions, we get that these two terms are bounded by
\[
\leq \{ C_1 K \| h \| \cdot \| y \| \} \cdot \{ \| DA_{t_0}(h)(x) \| \} + \{ K \cdot \| y \| \} \cdot \{ C_2 \| h \|^2 \| A_{t_0} x \| \}
\]
\[
\leq \{ C_1 KC_2 + KC_2 \} \| h \|^2 \| y \| \cdot \| A_{t_0} x \|.
\]
Thus
\[
\| Q_{t_0 + h} y - Q_{t_0} y - DA_{t_0}(h)(y) \| \leq \{ C_1 C_2 K + KC_2 \} \| h \|^2 \| y \|
\]
which implies that
\[
\| Q_{t_0 + h} y - Q_{t_0} y - DA_{t_0}(h)(y) \| \leq \{ C_1 C_2 K + KC_2 \} \| h \|^2.
\]
Therefore
\[
\lim_{\| h \| \to 0} \frac{1}{\| h \|} \{ \| Q_{t_0 + h} y - Q_{t_0} y - DA_{t_0}(h) \| \} = 0
\]
and hence \( t \to Q_t \) is Fréchet differentiable.
The last thing to show is that \( t \to DQ_t \) is continuous. Now
\[
\langle DQ_{t_1}(h)(y) - DQ_{t_2}(h)(y), A_{t_1}x \rangle
\]
\[
= -\langle Q_{t_1}y, DA_{t_1}(h)(x) \rangle - \langle DQ_{t_1}(h)(y), A_{t_1}x \rangle
\]
\[
= -\langle Q_{t_2}y, DA_{t_1}(h)(x) \rangle - \langle DQ_{t_2}(h)(y), A_{t_2}x \rangle + \langle DQ_{t_1}(h)(y), A_{t_2}x - A_{t_1}x \rangle.
\]
As before
\[
\langle DQ_{t_1}(h)(y) - DQ_{t_2}(h)(y), A_{t_1}x \rangle
\]
\[
\leq \left\{ K \left\| y \right\| \left\{ C_2 \left\| h \right\| \cdot \left\| t_1 - t_2 \right\| \cdot \left\| A_{t_1}x \right\| \right\}
\]
\[
+ \left\{ \left\| DQ_{t_1}(h)(y) \right\| \cdot \left\| A_{t_1}x \right\| \right\},
\]
\[
\leq \left\{ KC_2 + KC_2C_1 \left\{ \left\| h \right\| \cdot \left\| y \right\| \cdot \left\| t_1 - t_2 \right\| \cdot \left\| A_{t_1}x \right\| \right\}
\]
which implies that
\[
\left\| DQ_{t_1} - DQ_{t_2} \right\| \leq \left\{ KC_2 + KC_2C_1 \right\} \left\| t_1 - t_2 \right\|
\]
which concludes the proof of Lemma 5.

**Theorem (Morse lemma).** Let \( f: \emptyset \to \mathbb{R} \) be a \( C^3 \) and weak (*) smooth map with \( 0 \in \emptyset \) a nondegenerate critical point of \( f \). Then there exists a local \( C^1 \) diffeomorphism \( \psi \) preserving the origin with
\[
f \circ \psi(x) = \frac{1}{2} d^2 f_0(x, x) + f(0) = \langle A_0x, x \rangle + f(0).
\]

**Proof (following Palais [3]).** By Lemma 5 here is a \( C^1 \) map \( t \mapsto Q_t \in \text{GL}(E) \) with \( A_tQ_t = A_0 \). Thus \( Q_t^*A_t^* = A_0^* \) where \( Q_t^* \in \text{GL}(E^*) \). Since \( A_0 \) is symmetric, \( (A_0^*y)(x) = y(A_0x) = (iA_0x)y \) for all \( x, y \in E \). If \( i: E_0 \to E^* \) is the natural inclusion. Thus \( A_0^* = iA_0 \). Therefore
\[
Q_t^*A_t^* = iA_t Q_t.
\]
Also, \( Q_0 = I \) and thus, by the Taylor expansion for the square root, \( Q_t \) has a \( C^1 \) square root \( S_t \) in some neighborhood \( V_0 \) of the origin. Now since equation (*) is satisfied by \( S_t \) (in fact by a polynomial in \( Q_t \) or a limit of such), we have \( S_t^*A_t^*S_t = iA_t S_t \). Hence \( S_t^*A_t^*S_t = iA_t S_t^2 = iA_0 \) and consequently
\[
A_t^* = R_t^*(iA_0)R_t
\]
where \( R_t = S_t^{-1} \). From the bilinear representation of \( f \) we have
\[
f(x) - f(0) = \langle A_x(x), x \rangle = x(A_x(x)) = (A_x^*(x))(x) = (R_x^*iA_0R_x(x))(x)
\]
\[
= (iA_0R_x(x))(R_x^*x) = R_x(x)(A_0R_x(x)) = \langle R_x(x), A_0R_x(x) \rangle.
\]
Let \( \varphi(x) = R_x(x) \). Then \( D\varphi_0(h) = R_0(h) = h \), since \( Q_0 = S_0 = R_0 = I \). Thus by
the inverse function theorem, \( \varphi \) has a local inverse \( \psi \) restricted to a sub-
neighborhood \( V \subset V_0 \). The map \( \psi \) clearly satisfies the requirements of the
lemma.

**Remark.** If we require the map \( \psi \) above to be only a local homeomor-
phism we can relax the nondegeneracy conditions to the following. Let
\( f(x) = (x, A_\psi(x)) + f(p) \), \( A: U \rightarrow L_c(E, E_0) \) the bilinear representative of \( f \).
Then \( p \) is nondegenerate if

\[
(1') \quad A_0 \text{ is injective,}
\]

and there exists a subneighborhood \( W \subset U \) of \( p \) and constant \( C \) so that

\[
(2') \quad \|A_{t_1}y - A_{t_2}y\| \leq C\|t_1 - t_2\| \|A_t y\|
\]

for any \( t_1, t_2, t' \in W \).

We can define the notion of nondegenerate critical point of a \( C^3 \)-map
\( f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) on a \( C^3 \) Banach manifold \( M \) modelled on \( E = E_0^* \). We say that a
critical point \( p \) is nondegenerate if there is a chart \( (\varphi, U) \) about \( p \), \( \varphi(U) = 0 \subset E \) with the property that \( f \circ \varphi^{-1}: \varnothing \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is weak (*) smooth and has
\( \varphi(p) \) as a nondegenerate critical point.

From the last theorem we have

**Theorem.** Let \( f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) be \( C^3 \) with \( M \) modelled on \( E = E_0^* \) and \( p \) a
nondegenerate critical point. Then there exists a local diffeomorphism \( \gamma \)
of a neighborhood of \( \varphi(p) \) with a neighborhood of \( 0 \in E \), \( \gamma(\varphi(p)) = 0 \) and with

\[
f \circ (\varphi^{-1} \circ \gamma)(x) = d^2(f \circ \varphi^{-1})_{\varphi(p)}(x, x) + f(p).
\]

**Corollary.** Nondegenerate critical points are isolated.

**Remark.** It does not seem that this definition of nondegeneracy is
independent of the choice of coordinate chart and hence does not appear
to be a natural geometric notion of nondegeneracy for spaces \( E \) which are
not isomorphic to \( E^* \). The author is at the moment unaware of a modifi-
cation of nondegeneracy for general Banach manifolds, i.e., one which is
independent of the selection of coordinate chart.
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