

EUCLIDEAN NEIGHBORHOODS IN COMPACT SEMIGROUPS

JOHN D. McCHAREN

ABSTRACT. In this paper sufficient conditions are given under which a maximal idempotent in a compact connected semigroup cannot have a two-dimensional Euclidean neighborhood.

1. Introduction. It has been shown by Mostert and Shields [7] that the identity element of a compact connected semigroup cannot have a Euclidean neighborhood unless S is a group. Later Cohen and Koch [1] proved that a right identity in S cannot have a Euclidean neighborhood unless S is left simple. These results are now corollaries to the fact that a right identity in a compact connected semigroup with a zero is peripheral [2, p. 168]. In this paper we use the concept of peripherality to obtain sufficient conditions under which maximal idempotents fail to have two-dimensional Euclidean neighborhoods. For an expository discussion of peripherality and its applications in this context, the reader is referred to [4].

2. Preliminary remarks. The notation of this paper is that of [8]. In particular S will denote a topological semigroup, K its minimal ideal, and E is used to denote the set of idempotents. All spaces are assumed to be compact Hausdorff. The cohomology used is Alexander-Wallace-Spanier theory with coefficient group arbitrary and reduced groups in dimension zero. If A is a closed subset of a space X and $h \in H^n(X)$, then $h|A$ denotes the image of h under the natural homomorphism $H^n(X) \rightarrow H^n(A)$. We recall that a floor for an element h of $H^n(X)$ is a closed subspace F of X such that $h|F \neq 0$ but $h|A = 0$ for each proper closed subset A of F . If $h|B \neq 0$ for some closed set B in X , then a floor for h exists and may be chosen as a subset of B . The following lemma gives sufficient conditions that a floor for a nonzero element h of $H^n(X)$ be unique.

2.1 LEMMA. *Let X be a space, A and B closed subsets of X such that $X = A \cup B$ and $A \cap B = \{p\}$. If $h_0 \in H^n(A)$ and A is a floor for h_0 , then there*

Received by the editors April 15, 1971.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 22A15; Secondary 22A99.

Key words and phrases. Semigroups on continua, maximal idempotents.

exists an element h in $H^n(X)$ such that (i) $h|_A = h_0$, and (ii) if C is a closed subset of X such that $h|_C \neq 0$, then $A \subset C$.

PROOF. Consider the Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$\longrightarrow H^{n-1}(A \cap B) \longrightarrow H^n(X) \xrightarrow{J^*} H^n(A) \times H^n(B) \longrightarrow H^n(A \cap B) \longrightarrow.$$

J^* is onto so there exists $h \in H^n(X)$ such that $J^*(h) = (h_0, 0)$; i.e. $h|_A = h_0$ and $h|_B = 0$. Suppose C is a closed subset of X such that $h|_C \neq 0$ and consider the exact sequence

$$\longrightarrow H^{n-1}(A \cap B \cap C) \longrightarrow H^n(C) \xrightarrow{J^*} H^n(A \cap C) \times H^n(B \cap C) \longrightarrow.$$

Since J^* is 1-1, $J^*(h|_C) \neq 0$. Since $h|_{B \cap C} = 0$, we have $h|_{A \cap C} \neq 0$. But A is a floor for h_0 and $h|_{A \cap C} = h_0|_{A \cap C}$, so $A \cap C = A$. This establishes the conclusion of the theorem.

A point p in a space X is peripheral if there exist small open sets V containing p such that the induced mapping $H^n(X) \rightarrow H^n(X \setminus V)$ is an isomorphism for all $n \geq 0$. That is to say there exist small open sets containing p such that $H^n(X, X \setminus V) = 0$ for all $n \geq 0$. By the map excision theorem it follows that p is peripheral if and only if $H^n(V^*, V^* \setminus V) = 0$ for all $n \geq 0$. The local nature of the concept of peripherality is thus clearly seen; i.e. if A is a closed subset of a space X and $p \in A^\circ$, then p is peripheral in A if and only if p is peripheral in X . These remarks together with the aforementioned result concerning a right identity in a semigroup yield the following lemma.

2.2 LEMMA. Let S be a compact connected semigroup with a zero and suppose e and f are idempotents in S such that $S = Se \cup Sf$. Then either $e \in Sf$, in which case $S = Sf$, or e is peripheral in S .

The following theorem is proved in [5] and is of importance to the sequel.

2.3 THEOREM. If S is a compact connected semigroup with a zero satisfying $S = ESE$, and h is a nonzero element of $H^2(S)$, then there exists a pair of idempotents e and f such that $h|_{Se \cup Sf} \neq 0$.

Recall that the $\leq(\mathcal{L})$ & $\leq(\mathcal{J})$ orderings in a semigroup S are defined as follows: for $x, y \in S$, (i) $x \leq(\mathcal{L})y$ if $x \cup Sx \subset y \cup Sy$, and (ii) $x \leq(\mathcal{J})y$ if $x \cup Sx \cup xS \cup SxS \subset y \cup Sy \cup yS \cup SyS$. We say that an element x in S is \mathcal{L} -maximal (\mathcal{J} -maximal) if x is maximal relative to the ordering $\leq(\mathcal{L})$ ($\leq(\mathcal{J})$). It is known that a \mathcal{J} -maximal idempotent is \mathcal{L} -maximal in a compact semigroup. This together with the preceding remarks yield the following corollary to Lemma 2.2.

2.4 COROLLARY. *If S is a continuum with a zero, $S=ESE$, and e is a \mathcal{J} -maximal idempotent of S , then e does not belong to the unique floor of any nonzero element of $H^2(S)$.*

PROOF. Suppose that $h \in H^2(S)$ and F is the unique floor for h . First one should observe that no point of F is peripheral in any closed subset A of S which contains F . Indeed if $p \in F$ and V is any A -open set containing p , then $h|A \setminus V = 0$ since F is the unique floor for h . Therefore the natural homomorphism $H^n(A) \rightarrow H^n(A \setminus V)$ is not 1-1 and so p is not peripheral in A .

Now by Theorem 2.3 there exist idempotents g and f in S such that $h|Sg \cup Sf \neq 0$, and therefore $F \subset Sg \cup Sf$. Thus, if e is a \mathcal{J} -maximal idempotent and $e \in F$, then it may be assumed that $e \in Sg$. Since e is also \mathcal{L} -maximal it follows that $Se = Sg$, so $F \subset Se \cup Sf$. Because $h|Sf = 0$ and $h|Se \cup Sf \neq 0$ it must be that $e \notin Sf$, so by Lemma 2.2, e is peripheral in $Se \cup Sf$. This contradicts the above and the proof is complete.

The following lemma concerns the topology of the plane and a proof is only sketched here.

2.5 LEMMA. *Let \mathbf{R}^2 denote the Euclidean plane. Suppose N is a closed totally disconnected set in \mathbf{R}^2 containing the distinguished point p . Then there exists a compact neighborhood M of p satisfying: (i) $F(M) \cap N = \emptyset$, (ii) $F(M)$ is connected, (iii) if C is any closed connected subset of M containing $F(M)$ and D is any component of $M \setminus C$, then D is homeomorphic to the open unit disk.*

PROOF. Let Ω be the point at infinity. Applying Theorem 20 of R. L. Moore's Chapter IV to the points p and Ω of the closed and totally disconnected subset $N \cup \{\Omega\}$ of the sphere $\mathbf{R}^2 \cup \{\Omega\}$, one obtains a simple closed curve J such that p is in the interior of J and $J \cap (N \cup \{\Omega\}) = \emptyset$. Letting $M = J$ and its interior, claims (i), (ii) and (iii) follow immediately.

3. Principal results. We proceed to give sufficient conditions under which maximal idempotents in compact semigroups fail to have two-dimensional Euclidean neighborhoods. The motivation is to give conditions under which we may find an ideal J in S such that in the Rees quotient S/J , e lies in a 2-sphere neighborhood; thus establishing a contradiction to Corollary 2.4. We give the main theorem now.

3.1 THEOREM. *Let S be a continuum satisfying $S=ESE$. If e is a \mathcal{J} -maximal idempotent of S and $\mathcal{J}e$ is totally disconnected, then e does not lie in a two-dimensional Euclidean neighborhood.*

PROOF. Suppose e is an element of a two-dimensional neighborhood V . Since $\mathcal{J}e$ is totally disconnected, $e \notin K$. Let M be a neighborhood of

e satisfying the conclusion of Lemma 2.5. (Here N is taken to be $\mathcal{J}_e \cap V$ and e the distinguished point.) It is well known that $S \setminus \mathcal{J}_e$ is a maximal ideal of S , and since $F(M) \cap \mathcal{J}_e = \square$, the ideal $SF(M)S$ is contained in $S \setminus \mathcal{J}_e$. Moreover $F(M) \subset SF(M)S$ since $S = ESE$, and because $F(M)$ is connected, the set $C = SF(M)S \cap M$ is connected. Letting D denote the component of $M \setminus C$ containing e , we have that D is homeomorphic to the open unit disk of \mathbf{R}^2 .

Let $J = SF(M)S$, and consider the Rees quotient modulo J with natural map $p: S \rightarrow S/J$. Clearly $p(D)$ is homeomorphic to D and it is easily verified that $p(D)$ is open in S/J , and $p(D)^* \setminus p(D) = p(J)$; i.e. $p(D)$ is homeomorphic to an open disk and its boundary is a point. Therefore $p(D)^*$ is homeomorphic to a 2-sphere. The hypotheses of Lemma 2.1 are satisfied so $p(D)^*$ is the unique floor for some element h in $H^2(p(S))$. The fact that $p(e)$ is a maximal idempotent in S/J , together with the preceding statement, yields a contradiction to Corollary 2.4. Therefore e does not have a two-dimensional Euclidean neighborhood.

There are several instances where a maximal idempotent e fails to have a two-dimensional Euclidean neighborhood. In particular, let S be a continuum satisfying $S^2 = S$ and $S \neq K$. If a maximal idempotent e has a two-dimensional Euclidean neighborhood and H_e is totally disconnected then so is \mathcal{J}_e . If in addition to the above, $S = SeS$, then H_e is necessarily totally disconnected [6]. We thus obtain the following corollaries:

3.2 COROLLARY. *Let S be a continuum with $S = ESE$, and suppose e is a maximal idempotent in S . If \mathcal{H}_e is totally disconnected and e has a two-dimensional Euclidean neighborhood, then $S = K$.*

3.3 COROLLARY. *Let S be a continuum with $S = ESE$ and $S = SeS$ for some (maximal) idempotent e in S . If e has a two-dimensional Euclidean neighborhood, then $S = K$.*

3.4 COROLLARY. *Let S be a continuum satisfying $S = ESE$, and suppose e is a \mathcal{J} -maximal idempotent of S . If S is a subset of the plane \mathbf{R}^2 and $S \neq K$, then e lies on the boundary of S in \mathbf{R}^2 .*

PROOF. If \mathcal{H}_e is totally disconnected, the conclusion follows from Corollary 3.2. Otherwise e lies on a circle subgroup G_0 of H_e which separates \mathbf{R}^2 . But G_0 does not separate S [3], so the conclusion follows.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. H. Cohen and R. J. Koch, *Acyclic semigroups and multiplications on two-manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **118** (1965), 420-427. MR 30 #5283.
2. K. H. Hofmann and P. S. Mostert, *Elements of compact semigroups*, Merrill, Columbus, Ohio, 1966. MR 35 #285.

3. R. J. Koch and A. D. Wallace, *Maximal ideals in compact semigroups*, Duke Math. J. **21** (1954), 681–685. MR **16**, 112.
4. ———, *Compact connected spaces supporting topological semigroups*, Semigroup Forum **1** (1971), no. 2, 95–102. MR **42** #3220.
5. John D. McCharen, *Semigroups on finitely floored spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **156** (1971), 85–89. MR **43** #404.
6. ———, Dissertation, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, La., 1969.
7. P. S. Mostert and A. L. Shields, *Semigroups with identity on a manifold*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **91** (1959), 380–389. MR **21** #4204.
8. A. D. Wallace, *The structure of topological semigroups*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **61** (1955), 95–112. MR **16**, 796.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY, DEKALB, ILLINOIS 60115