

ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF SOLUTIONS OF PERTURBED LINEAR SYSTEMS

L. E. BOBISUD

ABSTRACT. The existence of solutions of the system $y' + Ay = f(t, y)$ having the form $y(t) = Z(t)a(t)$ is proved, where $Z(t)$ satisfies $Z' + AZ = 0$ and the vector $a(t)$ has limit α as t increases. Estimates for the rate of convergence to zero of $a(t) - \alpha$ and of $y(t) - Z(t)\alpha$ are obtained.

Let $Z(t)$ be a fundamental matrix of solutions for

$$(1) \quad z' + Az = 0,$$

where z is an n -vector and A is an $n \times n$ matrix of constants. We shall be concerned with the possibility of writing solutions of

$$(2) \quad y' + Ay = f(t, y)$$

(y, f — n -vectors) in the form

$$(3) \quad y(t) = Z(t)a(t)$$

where the vector $a(t)$ has (finite) limit α as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Our conditions will be such that both $a(t) - \alpha$ and $y(t) - Z(t)\alpha$ converge to zero, and we obtain estimates on the rates of convergence.

Problems of this nature have been investigated ([4]–[7], [10]–[13]) for n th order differential equations; of these papers [4], [5], [13] assume that the linear differential equation corresponding to (1) is disconjugate, and are thus able to obtain more precise results. Only [1], [2], [4], [9] seem to have considered the more general question of systems. The present results are related to these; however, we are able to obtain results for a more general class of functions f (see Corollary 2 and the example preceding it), and our asymptotic estimates are better. The latter is accomplished, in part, by estimating separately each component of f and by restricting consideration to constant matrices A .

For an n -vector a we use the norm $\|a\| = \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|$, we use also the notations $|a| = (|a_1|, |a_2|, \dots, |a_n|)$ and $\mathbf{1} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$. For two n -vectors p, q we write $p \geq q$ if $p_1 \geq q_1, p_2 \geq q_2, \dots, p_n \geq q_n$. For an $n \times n$

Received by the editors March 1, 1971 and, in revised form, February 15, 1972.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 34E05, 34E10.

Key words and phrases. Asymptotic behavior, perturbations.

matrix $B=(b_{ij})$ we shall form an n -vector $\|B\|$ with components $\|B\|_i = \sup_{1 \leq j \leq n} |b_{ij}|$, and we shall denote by $|B|$ the matrix $(|b_{ij}|)$. Note that we have $|Ba| \leq \|B\| \cdot \|a\|$.

THEOREM. *Suppose for a given vector α there exist $T > 0$, a fundamental matrix $Z(t)$ of (1), and functions g, ψ with the following properties:*

- (i) f is continuous on $[T, \infty) \times R^n$;
- (ii) $g(t, s, x)$ is continuous on $[T, \infty) \times [T, \infty) \times R^n$, $0 \leq g(t, s, p) \leq g(t, s, q)$ whenever $0 \leq p \leq q$, and g satisfies $|Z^{-1}(t)f(s, y)| \leq g(t, s, |y - Z(s)\alpha|)$ for $(t, s, y) \in [T, \infty) \times [T, \infty) \times R^n$;
- (iii) $\psi \in C([T, \infty))$, $\psi > 0$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \psi(t) = 0$, and

$$\psi(t) \geq \int_t^\infty g(s, s, |Z(s)| \psi(s)) ds$$

on $[T, \infty)$.

Then there exist solutions y of (2) of the form (3) where $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} a(t) = \alpha$, and we have

$$(4) \quad |a(t) - \alpha| \leq \psi(t),$$

$$(5) \quad |y(t) - Z(t)\alpha| \leq \int_t^\infty g(s - t, s, |Z(s)| \psi(s)) ds$$

on $[T, \infty)$.

PROOF. Define an operator F on $\mathcal{A} \equiv \{x(t) \in C([T, \infty)) : |x(t) - \alpha| \leq \psi(t) \text{ for } t \geq T\}$ by

$$Fx(t) = \alpha - \int_t^\infty Z^{-1}(s)f(s, Z(s)x(s)) ds;$$

we shall use the Schauder-Tychonov theorem [2] to prove that F has a fixed point in \mathcal{A} . Since for $x \in \mathcal{A}$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_t^\infty Z^{-1}(s)f(s, Z(s)x(s)) ds \right| &\leq \int_t^\infty g(s, s, |Z(s)[x(s) - \alpha]|) ds \\ &\leq \int_t^\infty g(s, s, |Z(s)| \psi(s)) ds \leq \psi(t), \end{aligned}$$

F is indeed defined on \mathcal{A} and maps \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{A} . It is easily seen in a similar manner that F is continuous, i.e., if $x_n \in \mathcal{A}$ and $x_n \rightarrow x$ uniformly on compact subsets of $[T, \infty)$, then $Fx_n \rightarrow Fx$ uniformly on compact subsets of $[T, \infty)$. Since the functions in \mathcal{A} are bounded, it remains only to show that the functions of $F\mathcal{A}$ are equicontinuous. Let $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and $t_1 \geq t_2 \geq T$; then

$$|Fx(t_1) - Fx(t_2)| \leq \int_{t_2}^{t_1} |Z^{-1}(s)f(s, Z(s)x(s))| ds \leq \int_{t_2}^{t_1} g(s, s, |Z(s)| \psi(s)) ds,$$

which is small if $|t_1 - t_2|$ is since g is continuous.

Let $\mathbf{a}(t) \in \mathcal{A}$ be a fixed point of F ; then $\mathbf{y}(t) = Z(t)\mathbf{a}(t)$ is a solution of (2) on $[T, \infty)$, and (4) is established. To prove (5) observe that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{y}(t) - Z(t)\boldsymbol{\alpha}| &= \left| Z(t) \int_t^\infty Z^{-1}(s)f(s, Z(s)\mathbf{a}(s)) ds \right| \\ &\leq \int_t^\infty |Z^{-1}(s-t)f(s, Z(s)\mathbf{a}(s))| ds \\ &\leq \int_t^\infty g(s-t, s, |Z(s)| \Psi(s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Two subcases of this theorem are of particular interest, and we discuss them as corollaries. The first deals with the case where $\|Z^{-1}(t)f(t, Z(t)\mathbf{a}(t))\|$ is integrable for any bounded continuous \mathbf{a} .

COROLLARY 1. *Suppose for some fundamental matrix $Z(t)$ of (1) there exists a constant M and a continuous function \mathbf{h} on $[0, \infty)^{n+2}$ such that*

- (i) $0 \leq \mathbf{h}(t, s, \mathbf{p}) \leq \mathbf{h}(t, s, \mathbf{q})$ whenever $0 \leq \mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{q}$;
- (ii) $|Z^{-1}(t)f(s, \mathbf{y})| \leq \mathbf{h}(t, s, |\mathbf{y}|)$;
- (iii) $\int_0^\infty \mathbf{h}(s, s, M \|Z(s)\|) ds < \infty$.

Then for all $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in R^n$ such that $\|\boldsymbol{\alpha}\| < \frac{1}{2}M$ the hypotheses of the theorem are satisfied with

$$\begin{aligned} g(t, s, \mathbf{p}) &\equiv \mathbf{h}(t, s, \mathbf{p} + \frac{1}{2}M \|Z(s)\|), \\ \Psi(t) &\equiv \int_t^\infty g(s, s, \frac{1}{2}M \|Z(s)\|) ds. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. It is necessary to verify (ii) and (iii) of the theorem. But

$|Z^{-1}(t)f(s, \mathbf{y})| \leq \mathbf{h}(t, s, |\mathbf{y} - Z(s)\boldsymbol{\alpha}| + \|Z(s)\| \cdot \|\boldsymbol{\alpha}\|) \leq g(t, s, |\mathbf{y} - Z(s)\boldsymbol{\alpha}|)$,
 verifying (ii). Choosing T so large that $\int_T^\infty \mathbf{h}(s, s, M \|Z(s)\|) ds < (M/2n)\mathbf{1}$, and observing that g is increasing in its last argument, we have

$$\Psi(t) = \int_t^\infty g(s, s, \frac{1}{2}M \|Z(s)\|) ds = \int_t^\infty \mathbf{h}(s, s, M \|Z(s)\|) ds < \frac{M}{2n} \mathbf{1},$$

so $\|\Psi(t)\| < \frac{1}{2}M$, and

$$\int_t^\infty g(s, s, |Z(s)| \Psi(s)) ds \leq \int_t^\infty g(s, s, \frac{1}{2}M \|Z(s)\|) ds = \Psi(t).$$

We remark that the error estimate (5) can be replaced by the weaker but more convenient statement

$$(6) \quad |\mathbf{y}(t) - Z(t)\boldsymbol{\alpha}| \leq \int_t^\infty g(s-t, s, \frac{1}{2}M \|Z(s)\|) ds.$$

This corollary is connected with some results of [2], [4], [5], [7], [11], [12] when specialized to the n th order linear differential equation

$$(7) \quad x^{(n)} = f(t, x, x', \dots, x^{(n-1)})$$

where f satisfies $|f(t, x, \dots, x^{(n-1)})| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} g_i(t) |x^{(i)}|^{r_i}$ with $r_i > 0$ and

$$(8) \quad \int_0^\infty t^{n-1} (1 + t + \dots + t^{n-i-1})^{r_i} g_i(t) dt < \infty \quad (i = 0, \dots, n - 1).$$

Indeed, writing (7) as a first-order system in the usual way (cf. [2]), we obtain the result that for any α there exists a solution x_α of (7) such that

$$\begin{aligned} & |x_\alpha^{(j)} - \alpha_{j+1} - t\alpha_{j+2} - \dots - (t^{n-j-1}/(n-j-1)!) \alpha_n| \\ & \leq \int_t^\infty (s-t)^j \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \|\alpha\|^{r_i} (1+s+\dots+s^{n-i-1})^{r_i} g_i(s) \right\} ds \\ & \quad (j = 0, \dots, n-1). \end{aligned}$$

We turn now to a different application of our main result. Consider the example of (2) given by the single first-order equation

$$(9) \quad y' = f(t, y) \equiv (1/t)(y-1)^2 + 1/t^3;$$

with $\alpha=1, Z(t)=1$, we have $g(t, s, |y-\alpha|) = (1/t)|y-1|^2 + 1/t^3$. Since $(1/t)|y(t)-1|^2$ is not necessarily integrable even when $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = 1$, Corollary 1 is not applicable, and neither are the results of [2], [4]. However, our main theorem can be applied with $\psi(t) = t^{-2+\epsilon}$ for any $\epsilon > 0$, as is readily seen, and from (5) we conclude that there exist solutions $y(t)$ of (9) such that $|y(t)-1| \leq 1/t^2$. In this example the right-hand side f has the form

$$(10) \quad f(t, y) = f_1(t, y - Z(t)\alpha) + f_2(t, y),$$

where $f_2 (= t^{-3})$ is integrable for bounded y but f_1 is *not* integrable even for all y tending to $Z(t)\alpha$. We generalize this situation in the following corollary.

COROLLARY 2. *Suppose for some fundamental matrix $Z(t)$ of (1) and some α we have (10) valid, where there exist continuous functions h_1, h_2 on $[0, \infty)^{n+2}$ satisfying*

- (i) $0 \leq h_i(t, s, p) \leq h_i(t, s, q)$ if $0 \leq p \leq q$ ($i = 1, 2$);
- (ii) $|Z^{-1}(t)f_2(s, y)| \leq h_2(t, s, |y|)$, with

$$\int_0^\infty h_2(s, s, \|Z(s)\| \cdot \|\alpha\|) ds < \infty;$$

- (iii) $|Z^{-1}(t)f_1(s, y)| \leq h_1(t, s, |y - Z(s)\alpha|)$.

Suppose finally that there exists a continuous function $\phi(t) > 0$ satisfying, for some $\epsilon > 0$ and all large t , $\phi(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(t) &\geq \int_t^\infty h_1(s, s, (1 + \epsilon) |Z(s)| \phi(s)) ds, \\ \int_t^\infty h_2(s, s, 2 \|Z(s)\| \cdot \|\alpha\|) ds &\leq \epsilon \phi(t). \end{aligned}$$

Then the hypotheses of the theorem are satisfied for large T with

$$\begin{aligned} g(t, s, p) &= h_1(t, s, p) + h_2(t, s, p + \|Z(s)\| \cdot \|\alpha\|), \\ \psi(t) &= \phi(t) + \int_t^\infty h_2(s, s, 2 \|Z(s)\| \cdot \|\alpha\|) ds. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Hypothesis (ii) of the theorem is clearly satisfied; we have only to verify (iii). But for sufficiently large t ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_t^\infty g(s, s, |Z(s)| \psi(s)) ds &\leq \int_t^\infty h_1(s, s, (1 + \epsilon) |Z(s)| \phi(s)) ds \\ &\quad + \int_t^\infty h_2(s, s, |Z(s)| \psi(s) + \|Z(s)\| \cdot \|\alpha\|) ds \\ &\leq \phi(t) + \int_t^\infty h_2(s, s, 2 \|Z(s)\| \cdot \|\alpha\|) ds = \psi(t). \end{aligned}$$

As an application of Corollary 2 we determine conditions on the coefficients of the differential equation

$$(11) \quad u^{(n)} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i(t)(u^{(i)})^{r_i} + b(t)$$

($r_i > 1$) which guarantee the existence of a solution $u(t)$ such that $u^{(j)}(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ ($j=0, 1, \dots, n-1$). If b and all the a_i are integrable, this follows from Corollary 1, hence we assume that not all a_i are integrable. Writing the equation as a system in the usual manner, we have

$$Z(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t & t^2/2! & \cdots & t^{n-1}/(n-1)! \\ 0 & 1 & t & \cdots & t^{n-2}/(n-2)! \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

for fundamental matrix and $\alpha=0$. With

$$h_{2,k}(t, s, |y|) = \frac{t^{n-k}}{(n-k)!} |b(s)| \quad (k = 1, \dots, n),$$

$$h_{1,k}(t, s, |y|) = \frac{t^{n-k}}{(n-k)!} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |a_i(s)| |y_{i+1}|^{r_i}$$

we have that ϕ must satisfy

$$\phi_k(t) \geq \int_t^\infty \frac{s^{n-k}}{(n-k)!} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |a_i(s)| (1 + \varepsilon)^{r_i} \left[\sum_{j=i}^n \frac{s^{j-i}}{(j-i)!} \phi_j(s) \right]^{r_i} ds.$$

For convenience let $C_i = \sum_{j=1}^n ((n-1)!/(j-1)! (n-j)!)$ and $r = \max r_i$. Let $\phi_1(t)$ be any solution of

$$(12) \quad \phi_1(t) \geq (1 + \varepsilon)^r \int_t^\infty \frac{s^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |a_i(s)| \left[\frac{C_i}{s^{i-1}} \phi_1(s) \right]^{r_i} ds$$

and define

$$\phi_j(t) = \frac{(n-1)!}{(n-j)! t^{j-1}} \phi_1(t) \quad (j = 2, \dots, n).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_j(t) &\geq (1 + \varepsilon)^r \int_t^\infty \frac{s^{n-j}}{(n-j)!} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |a_i(s)| \left[\frac{C_i}{s^{i-1}} \phi_1(s) \right]^{r_i} ds \\ &\geq \int_t^\infty \frac{s^{n-j}}{(n-j)!} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |a_i(s)| (1 + \varepsilon)^{r_i} \left[\sum_{k=i}^n \frac{s^{k-i}}{(k-i)!} \phi_k(s) \right]^{r_i} ds, \end{aligned}$$

as required. It thus remains to show that (12) has a suitable solution. Suppose $0 \leq \phi_1(t) \leq 1$, and let $q = \min r_i > 1$, so $\phi_1^{r_i} \leq \phi_1^q$; then if ϕ_1 satisfies

$$(13) \quad \phi_1(t) \geq \int_t^\infty \frac{(1 + \varepsilon)^r}{(n-k)!} s^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |a_i(s)| \left(\frac{C_i}{s^{i-1}} \right)^{r_i} \phi_1^q(s) ds \equiv \int_t^\infty k(s) \phi_1^q(s) ds,$$

it will also satisfy (12). The equality in (13) can be solved to yield

$$\phi_1(t) = \left\{ [\phi_1(t_0)]^{1-q} + (q-1) \int_{t_0}^t k(s) ds \right\}^{-1/(q-1)}$$

provided $\int^\infty k(s) ds = \infty$ and the positive constant $\phi_1(t_0)$ is chosen less than 1. Thus (11) has solutions tending to zero with their first $n-1$ derivatives if

$$\int_t^\infty \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |a_i(s)| s^{r_i(1-i)+n-1} ds \rightarrow \infty$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and $b(t)$ satisfies $\int_t^\infty s^{n-1} |b(s)| ds \leq \varepsilon(n-1)! \phi_1(t)$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$.

I wish to thank the referee for pointing out an error in an earlier version and for improvement in the statement of the theorem.

REFERENCES

1. J. W. Bebernes and N. X. Vinh, *On the asymptotic behavior of linear differential equations*, Amer. Math. Monthly **72** (1965), 285–287. MR **31** #6011.
2. F. Brauer and J. S. W. Wong, *On asymptotic behavior of perturbed linear systems*, J. Differential Equations **6** (1969), 142–153. MR **39** #570.
3. W. A. Coppel, *Stability and asymptotic behavior of differential equations*, Heath, Boston, Mass., 1965. MR **32** #7875.
4. J. K. Hale and N. Onuchic, *On the asymptotic behavior of solutions of a class of differential equations*, Contributions to Differential Equations **2** (1963), 61–75. MR **28** #2281.
5. T. G. Hallam, *Asymptotic behavior of the solution of an n th order nonhomogeneous ordinary differential equation*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **122** (1966), 177–194. MR **32** #6000.
6. I. N. Katz, *Asymptotic behavior of solutions to some n th order linear differential equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **21** (1969), 657–662.
7. P. Locke, *On the asymptotic behavior of solutions of an n th-order nonlinear equation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **18** (1967), 383–390. MR **35** #3167.
8. ———, *Correction to a paper by Brauer and Wong*, J. Differential Equations **9** (1971), 380. MR **42** #7987.
9. M. Ráb, *Les formules asymptotiques pour les solutions d'un système des équations différentielles*, Arch. Math. (Brno) **1** (1965), 199–212. MR **33** #6026.
10. W. F. Trench, *On the asymptotic behavior of solutions of second order linear differential equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **14** (1963), 12–14. MR **26** #412.
11. P. Waltman, *On the asymptotic behavior of solutions of a nonlinear equation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (1964), 918–923. MR **31** #445.
12. ———, *On the asymptotic behavior of solutions of an n th order equation*, Monatsh. Math. **69** (1965), 427–430. MR **32** #2686.
13. D. Willett, *Asymptotic behavior of disconjugate n th order differential equations*, Canad. J. Math. **23** (1971), 293–314.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF IDAHO, MOSCOW, IDAHO 83843