

## ON THE CHARACTERIZATION OF ABELIAN $W^*$ -ALGEBRAS

J. W. JENKINS<sup>1</sup>

ABSTRACT. In this note we present an elementary proof that an Abelian  $W^*$ -algebra is generated by the range of some real spectral measure.

Let  $H$  denote a separable Hilbert space and  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  the algebra of all bounded operators on  $H$ . A subalgebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  is called a  $W^*$ -algebra if  $I \in \mathcal{A}$ , if  $T^* \in \mathcal{A}$  whenever  $T \in \mathcal{A}$  and if  $\mathcal{A}$  is closed in the weak operator topology.

Suppose  $E$  is a real compact spectral measure. Let  $\mathcal{R}(E)$  denote the range of  $E$  and let  $\mathcal{A}(E)$  be the  $W^*$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{R}(E)$ . (Note that  $A \in \mathcal{A}(E)$  if and only if  $A = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(\lambda) dE(\lambda)$  where  $\phi$  is an essentially bounded, complex-valued, borel measurable function on  $\mathcal{R}$ .) One obviously has that  $\mathcal{A}(E)$  is an Abelian  $W^*$ -algebra. One of the fundamental theorems in the analysis of  $W^*$ -algebras states that all Abelian  $W^*$ -algebras arise in this manner (see Dixmier [1], Naimark [2], or Schwartz [3]). In this paper we present an elementary method for constructing a spectral measure whose range generates a given Abelian  $W^*$ -algebra.

Throughout this discussion,  $H$  will represent a fixed, separable Hilbert space. Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the lattice of all projections (selfadjoint) on  $H$ , and assume that  $\mathcal{L}$  inherits the weak operator topology.

Let  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots\}$  be a countable dense subset of  $\{x \in H \mid \|x\| = 1\}$ . For each  $P$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  set  $\alpha(P) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \|P(e_n)\|^2$ .

LEMMA 1. *The mapping  $\alpha: P \rightarrow \alpha(P)$  is continuous from  $\mathcal{L}$  into  $[0,1]$  and satisfies*

- (i)  $\alpha(0) = 0$  and  $\alpha(I) = 1$ ,
- (ii) if  $P \geq Q$  then  $\alpha(P) \geq \alpha(Q)$ ,
- (iii) if  $\alpha(P_n) \rightarrow \alpha(P)$  and  $P_n \leq P$  for each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , then  $P_n \rightarrow P$ .

PROOF. One can easily check that  $\alpha$  is continuous,  $\alpha(0) = 0$  and  $\alpha(I) = 1$ .

---

Received by the editors October 18, 1971.

AMS 1969 subject classifications. Primary 4665, 4660.

Key words and phrases. Abelian  $W^*$ -algebras, spectral measure, lattice of projections, chain of projections.

<sup>1</sup> Partially supported by National Science Foundation Grants GP-28925 and GU-3171.

If  $P \geq Q$  then  $\|P(x)\|^2 \geq \|Q(x)\|^2$  for all  $x$  in  $H$ . Hence

$$\alpha(P) = \sum 2^{-n} \|P(e_n)\|^2 \geq \sum 2^{-n} \|Q(e_n)\|^2 =: \alpha(Q).$$

Suppose that  $\alpha(P_n) \rightarrow \alpha(P)$  and  $P_n \leq P$  for each  $n=1, 2, \dots$ . Then

$$\lim_n \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-i} (\|P(e_i)\|^2 - \|P_n(e_i)\|^2) = 0.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \|P(e_i)\|^2 - \|P_n(e_i)\|^2 &= (Pe_i, e_i) - (P_n e_i, e_i) \\ &= ((P - P_n)e_i, e_i) = \|(P - P_n)e_i\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\|(P - P_n)e_i\| \rightarrow 0$  for each  $i=1, 2, \dots$ , and hence  $P_n \rightarrow P$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ .

LEMMA 2. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a chain in  $\mathcal{L}$ . There is a real spectral measure  $E_{\mathcal{C}}$  with  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{R}(E_{\mathcal{C}})$ .

PROOF. Let  $\mathcal{C}'$  be the chain that results by adjoining (if necessary) 0 and  $I$  to  $\mathcal{C}$ . For each  $t$  in  $[0, 1]$  let

$$E_t = \sup\{P \in \mathcal{C}' \mid \alpha(P) \leq t\}.$$

Then  $E_0=0$  and  $E_1=I$ . Also, for  $s, t$  in  $[0, 1]$ ,  $E_s E_t = E_{\min(s,t)}$ . (This follows since  $\alpha$  is order preserving.) By applying (iii) of Lemma 1, we have  $E_t = \inf\{E_s \mid s > t\}$  for each  $t$  in  $[0, 1)$ . Therefore  $\{E_t \mid 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$  is a resolution of the identity. We define the spectral measure  $E_{\mathcal{C}}$  in the usual manner by setting  $E_{\mathcal{C}}([s, t]) = E_t - E_s$  for  $[s, t] \subset [0, 1]$  and then extending to the borel subsets of  $[0, 1]$ .

Note that for each  $P$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $E_{\mathcal{C}}([0, \alpha(P)]) = P$ . Hence  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{R}(E_{\mathcal{C}})$ .

LEMMA 3. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the chain of projections  $0 < P_1 < \dots < P_n < I$ , and suppose  $P$  is a projection that commutes with  $P_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}' = \{PP_i, P + (I - P)P_i, 0, I \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ .  $\mathcal{C}'$  is a chain, and if  $E_{\mathcal{C}}, E_{\mathcal{C}'}$  are the spectral measures associated to  $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}'$  respectively as in Lemma 2 then  $\mathcal{R}(E_{\mathcal{C}}) \subset \mathcal{R}(E_{\mathcal{C}'})$  and  $P \in \mathcal{R}(E_{\mathcal{C}'})$ .

PROOF. Each element of  $\mathcal{C}'$  is obviously a projection, and one has  $0 \leq PP_1 \leq \dots \leq PP_n \leq P \leq P + (I - P)P_1 \leq \dots \leq P + (I - P)P_n \leq I$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{C}'$  is a chain.

If  $Q \in \mathcal{R}(E_{\mathcal{C}'})$  then  $PQ, (I - P)Q \in \mathcal{R}(E_{\mathcal{C}'})$ . Hence  $Q = PQ + (I - P)Q \in \mathcal{R}(E_{\mathcal{C}'})$ . Also,  $P = E_{\mathcal{C}}([0, \alpha(P)]) \in \mathcal{R}(E_{\mathcal{C}'})$ .

Let  $P_1, P_2, \dots$  be a fixed commuting family of projections on  $H$ . For each  $n=1, 2, \dots$ , let  $\mathcal{C}_n$  denote the chain obtained by starting with  $0 < P_n < I$  and successively adjoining  $P_{n-1}, P_{n-2}, \dots, P_1$  as in Lemma 3. Let  $E_{\mathcal{C}_n}$  be the spectral measure associated to  $\mathcal{C}_n$  as Lemma in 2.

LEMMA 4. For each  $n=1, 2, \dots, \{P_1, \dots, P_n\} \subset \mathcal{R}(E_{\mathcal{C}_n})$  and  $\mathcal{C}_n \subset \mathcal{C}_{n+1}$ .

PROOF. That  $\{P_1, \dots, P_n\} \subset \mathcal{C}_n$  follows by repeated applications of Lemma 3.

To see that  $\mathcal{C}_n \subset \mathcal{C}_{n+1}$ , observe that  $\mathcal{C}_n$  is obtained by adjoining  $P_{n-1}, \dots, P_1$  to  $\mathcal{C}: 0 < P_n < I$  and that  $\mathcal{C}_{n+1}$  is obtained by adjoining  $P_{n-1}, \dots, P_1$  to  $\mathcal{C}': 0 \leq P_{n+1}P_n \leq P_n \leq P_n + (I - P_n)P_{n+1} \leq I$ . Since  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{C}'$ , the result follows immediately.

LEMMA 5. Let  $\mathcal{C} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}_n$ .  $\mathcal{C}$  is a chain and if  $E_{\mathcal{C}}$  is the spectral measure associated to  $\mathcal{C}$  as in Lemma 2,  $P_n \in \mathcal{R}(E_{\mathcal{C}})$  for each  $n=1, 2, \dots$ .

PROOF. Suppose  $P, Q \in \mathcal{C}$ ; then there exist  $n, m$  such that  $P \in \mathcal{C}_n$  and  $Q \in \mathcal{C}_m$ . If  $N = \max\{n, m\}$ , then  $P, Q \in \mathcal{C}_N$ . Hence, either  $P=Q$ ,  $P < Q$  or  $P > Q$ .

Since  $P_n \in \mathcal{R}(E_{\mathcal{C}_n})$ , there is a borel set  $M_n$ , determined by intervals with endpoints in  $\{\alpha(P) \mid P \in \mathcal{C}_n\}$ , such that  $P_n = E_{\mathcal{C}_n}(M_n)$ . But then, since  $\mathcal{C}_n \subset \mathcal{C}$ ,  $E_{\mathcal{C}}(M_n) = E_{\mathcal{C}_n}(M_n) = P_n$ . Hence,  $P_n \in \mathcal{R}(E_{\mathcal{C}})$  for each  $n=1, 2, \dots$ .

We can now easily prove

THEOREM 6. Suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  is a commutative selfadjoint subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ . There is a real spectral measure  $E$  such that the  $W^*$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{R}(E)$  contains  $\mathcal{A}$ .

PROOF. Let  $\mathcal{A}_r$  be the hermitian elements of  $\mathcal{A}$ . For each  $A$  in  $\mathcal{A}_r$ , let  $E_A$  be the spectral measure such that  $A = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda dE_A(\lambda)$ . Finally, let  $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}_r} \mathcal{R}(E_A)$ , and let  $\{P_1, P_2, \dots\}$  be a dense subset of  $\mathcal{P}$ . If  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $E_{\mathcal{C}}$  are as in Lemma 5, with respect to  $\{P_1, P_2, \dots\}$ , then clearly  $\mathcal{A}$  is in the  $W^*$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{R}(E_{\mathcal{C}})$ .

REMARK. If the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of Theorem 6 is a  $W^*$ -algebra, the set of projections  $\mathcal{P}$  is contained in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Hence, the  $W^*$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{R}(E)$  coincides with  $\mathcal{A}$ . It also follows in this case, that if  $A = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda dE(\lambda)$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  is the  $W^*$ -algebra generated by  $A$  and  $I$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. J. Dixmier, *Les algèbres d'opérateurs dans l'espace hilbertien (Algèbre de von Neumann)*, Cahiers scientifiques, fasc. 25, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1957. MR 20 #1234.
2. M. A. Naïmark, *Normed rings*, GITTL, Moscow, 1956; English transl., Noordhoff, Groningen, 1959. MR 19, 870; MR 22 #1824.
3. J. T. Schwartz, *W\*-algebras*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1967. MR 38 #547.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT ALBANY, ALBANY, NEW YORK 12203