

## A THEOREM ON HOLOMORPHIC MAPPINGS INTO BANACH SPACES WITH BASIS

RICHARD ARON AND JOSEPH A. CIMA<sup>1</sup>

ABSTRACT. Let  $F$  be a mapping from a complex Banach space into another complex Banach space with a Schauder basis, such that each coordinate composition mapping is holomorphic. Necessary and sufficient conditions are given that  $F$  be holomorphic.

1. **Introduction and definitions.** A mapping  $F$  from an open subset  $U$  of a complex Banach space  $X$  into a complex Banach space  $Y$  is said to be analytic if, for each  $x_0 \in U$ , there is a bounded linear mapping  $L_{x_0}$  ( $\in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ ) from  $X$  to  $Y$  such that

$$\frac{\|F(x) - F(x_0) - L_{x_0}(x - x_0)\|}{\|x - x_0\|} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \|x - x_0\| \rightarrow 0.$$

We will consider Banach spaces  $Y$  with a Schauder basis. That is, there is a sequence  $\{y_j\}$  in  $Y$  such that for every  $y \in Y$ , there exists a unique sequence of scalars  $\{\alpha_j\}$  with  $\|y - \sum_{j=1}^J \alpha_j y_j\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $J \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence if  $F$  maps  $U$  into  $Y$ , a Banach space with basis, we will write  $F(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} g_j(x) y_j$ .

A mapping  $F$  from  $U$  into  $Y$  is said to be locally bounded if, for each  $x_0 \in U$ , there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $B_\delta(x_0) \subset U$  and

$$\sup\{\|F(x)\| : x \in B_\delta(x_0)\} < \infty.$$

Again assuming  $Y$  has the basis  $\{y_j\}$ , we say that the mapping  $F: U \rightarrow Y$  is normal if, for each compact set  $K \subset U$  and each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $J_0$  such that  $\|\sum_{j=J}^{\infty} g_j(x) y_j\| < \varepsilon$  for each  $J \geq J_0$  and all  $x \in K$ .

The space of continuous linear functionals on  $Y$  is written  $Y^*$ . In particular, the projection functionals  $y = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j y_j \in Y \rightarrow \alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}$  will be written as  $e_j$ . A closed linear subspace  $T \subset Y^*$  is a determining space for  $Y$  if the following equation is valid for all  $y \in Y$ :

$$\|y\| = \sup\{|t(y)| : t \in T, \|t\| \leq 1\}.$$

---

Received by the editors December 17, 1971.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 58C20, 46E40.

Key words and phrases. Banach spaces, basis, holomorphic functions, determining manifolds.

<sup>1</sup> The second author was supported by the U.S. Army Research Office, Durham, N.C. under Grant DA-ARO-D-31-124-G1151.

© American Mathematical Society 1972

**2. The principal theorem.** As above,  $U$  is an open subset of a complex Banach space  $X$  and  $Y$  is a Banach space with basis  $\{y_j\}$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $F:U \rightarrow Y$  and assume that, for each  $j$ , the complex valued functions  $e_j \circ F(x)$  are analytic. Then, the following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $F$  is analytic.
- (b)  $F$  is continuous.
- (c)  $F$  is a normal mapping.
- (d)  $F$  is weakly continuous.
- (e)  $F$  is locally bounded.

**PROOF.** (a) implies (b) is trivial. Assume that (b) holds but not (c). Then there is a compact set  $K \subset U$  and an  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  such that, for every  $n$ , there is a  $j(n) \geq n$  and an  $x_n \in K$  such that  $\|\sum_{j=j(n)}^\infty g_j(x_n)y_j\| \geq \epsilon_0$ . By replacing the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  by a subsequence, we may assume that  $x_n$  converges to  $x^*$  which must be in  $K$ . By [3, p. 19], we can replace the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  of  $Y$  with

$$(*) \quad \|\|y\|\| = \sup_{1 \leq J < \infty} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^J \alpha_j y_j \right\|,$$

where  $y = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \alpha_j y_j$ . The norm  $\|\| \cdot \|$  is equivalent to  $\|\cdot\|$ , say  $k_1 \|\|y\|\| \leq \|y\| \leq k_2 \|\|y\|\|$ . For notational purposes, we will set  $S_J(x) = \sum_{j=1}^J g_j(x)y_j$  and  $E_J(x) = \sum_{j=J+1}^\infty g_j(x)y_j$ , so that  $F(x) = S_J(x) + E_J(x)$  for all  $J$ . For all  $x$  and  $x'$  in  $U$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|E_J(x) - E_J(x')\| &= \|(F(x) - S_J(x)) - (F(x') - S_J(x'))\| \\ &\leq \|F(x) - F(x')\| + k_2 \|S_J(x) - S_J(x')\| \\ &\leq \|F(x) - F(x')\| + k_2 \|F(x) - F(x')\| \\ &\leq k \|F(x) - F(x')\|, \text{ for some constant } k. \end{aligned}$$

Returning to the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $K$ , we obtain the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} k \|F(x_n) - F(x^*)\| &\geq \|E_{j(n)}(x_n) - E_{j(n)}(x^*)\| \\ &\geq \|E_{j(n)}(x_n)\| - \|E_{j(n)}(x^*)\| \geq \epsilon_0 - \|E_{j(n)}(x^*)\|. \end{aligned}$$

The continuity of  $F$  yields a contradiction, hence (b) implies (c).

To show (c) implies (d), we must prove that  $\phi \circ F: U \rightarrow C$  is continuous for each  $\phi \in Y^*$ . If  $x_n \rightarrow x$  in  $U$ , then since  $\{x_n\} \cup \{x\}$  is compact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi \circ F(x) - \phi \circ F(x_n)| &= |\phi(S_J(x) + E_J(x)) - \phi(S_J(x_n) + E_J(x_n))| \\ &\leq \|\phi\| \|S_J(x) - S_J(x_n)\| \\ &\quad + \|\phi\| \{\|E_J(x)\| + \|E_J(x_n)\|\}. \end{aligned}$$

The right-hand side can be made arbitrarily small for sufficiently large  $n$ , proving (c) implies (d).

To prove (d) implies (e), let  $x \in U$  and assume that for some sequence  $x_n \rightarrow x$ ,  $\|F(x_n)\| \rightarrow \infty$ . Consider the evaluation mappings  $(F(x_n))^\wedge \in Y^{**}$  and use the weak continuity to see that

$$|(F(x_n))^\wedge(\phi)| \leq M = M(\phi)$$

for all  $n$ . The uniform boundedness principle implies that  $\|(F(x_n))^\wedge\| = \|F(x_n)\|$  cannot be arbitrarily large.

Finally, we show that (e) implies (a). Without loss of generality, we may assume that the norm of  $Y$  is given by (\*). Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the linear subspace of  $Y^*$  spanned by the projection functionals  $\{e_j\}$ . If  $\phi$  is any function in the closure of  $\mathcal{S}$ , we claim that  $\phi \circ F: U \rightarrow C$  is analytic. For this we must show that if  $x_0 \in U$ , then  $\phi \circ F$  is analytic near  $x_0$ . Assume that  $\|F(x)\| \leq M$  for all  $x \in B_\delta(x_0) \subset U$ . There is a sequence  $\sum \alpha_j^{(n)} e_j = \phi_n \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $\|\phi - \phi_n\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ; that is, given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is  $N$  such that

$$\sup_{\|y\| \leq 1} |\phi_n(y) - \phi(y)| < \varepsilon$$

for all  $n \geq N$ . In our situation, this yields  $|\phi_n(F(x)) - \phi(F(x))| < \varepsilon M$ , if  $\|x - x_0\| < \delta$ . Each  $\phi_n \circ F$  is analytic, and hence so too is  $\phi \circ F$ .

We will prove next that  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$  is determining for  $Y$ , which will imply (a). First, N. Dunford has shown that a function  $f$ , mapping a domain in the complex plane into a Banach space  $Y$ , is holomorphic if and only if  $\phi \circ f$  is holomorphic for all  $\phi$  in a determining manifold of  $Y^*$  [1, p. 354]. The required result then follows from the local boundedness of  $F$  [2, p. 112].

To prove that  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$  is determining, we let  $y = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \alpha_j y_j \in Y$ . Letting  $\varepsilon > 0$  be arbitrary, there is an integer  $J$  such that  $\|S_J\| > \|y\| - \varepsilon$ . Consider the subspace of  $Y$  spanned by  $\{y_1, \dots, y_J\}$ ,  $Y_J$ , and the subspace of  $Y^*$  spanned by  $\{e_1, \dots, e_J\}$ ,  $Y_J^*$ . It is clear that by the Hahn Banach Theorem, we can choose  $T \in Y_J^*$  such that  $T(S_J) = \|S_J\|$ ,  $\|T\| = 1$ . Of course, we can consider  $T$  as a member of  $\mathcal{S}$  and this implies that  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$  is determining. This completes the proof.

To illustrate the sharpness of Theorem 1, we give the following example. Let  $F$  be the mapping from the unit disc  $\Delta$  of  $C$  into  $l^2$  defined as follows. Let  $z_n = \frac{1}{2}e^{i\theta_n}$ , where  $\theta_n \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\theta_n > 0$ . Choose a wedge  $B_n = \{re^{i\theta} : 0 < r < 1, |\theta - \theta_n| < \varepsilon_n\}$ , where the  $\varepsilon_n$  are chosen so small that the  $B_n$  are disjoint. Let  $A_n$  be the complement of  $B_n$  in  $\Delta$ . By the Runge approximation theorem, there are polynomials  $p_n$  which satisfy

$$|p_n(z)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{ on } A_n, \quad p_n(z_n) = 2.$$

Define  $F(z) = (p_n^n(z))$ . For all  $z \in \Delta$ ,  $F(z) \in l^2$ . However,  $\|F(z_n)\| \geq 2^n$ , and so  $F$  is not locally bounded at  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Hence, even though  $e_j \circ F(z)$  is a polynomial for all  $j$ ,  $F$  is not holomorphic.

3. **Some comments and a problem.** Theorem 1 was initially done for  $l^p$  spaces, with cases for  $p = \infty$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , and finally  $p = 1$ . Let  $F: U \rightarrow l^p$  ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ) with  $F(x) = (g_j(x))$  and each  $g_j: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  holomorphic. If  $x_0 \in U$  and  $B_\delta(x_0) \subset U$ , we can define the following set  $C_n$  for each positive integer  $n$ :

$$C_n = \{x: \|x - x_0\| < \delta \text{ and } \|F(x)\| \leq n\}.$$

$C_n$  is closed and  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n = B_\delta(x_0)$ . By the Baire category theorem, some  $C_{n_0}$  has nonempty interior, and so, by Theorem 1,  $F$  is analytic on  $\text{int}(C_{n_0})$ . Hence, there is an open dense subset of  $U$  on which  $F$  is analytic.

A result of Theorem 1(e) in the case where  $X = \mathbb{C}$  and  $Y = l^p$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ) is that if  $F: U \rightarrow l^p$  is holomorphic, then  $F(z)$  is locally bounded. Therefore  $\{g_j(z)\}$  is a normal family in the sense of Montel and  $g_j(z) \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $U$ . We ask whether the normality of the sequence  $\{g_j\}$  is sufficient to imply that  $F: U \rightarrow l^p$  is holomorphic.

For  $p = \infty$ , the answer is affirmative. For, if  $z_0 \in U$  and  $|g_j(z)| \leq M$  on  $B_\delta(z_0)$ , then  $|g'_j(z_0)| \leq M/\delta$ . Hence, for all  $z_0 \in U$ ,  $\{g'_j(z_0)\} \in l^\infty$ . The linear transformation  $z \rightarrow (g'_j(z_0) \cdot z)$  is the Fréchet derivative of  $F$  at  $z_0$ . To see this, consider

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{g_j(z) - g_j(z_0)}{z - z_0} - g'_j(z_0) \right| &= \left| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{g_j^{(n)}(z_0)}{n!} (z - z_0)^{n-1} \right| \\ &\leq |z - z_0| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{M}{\delta^n} |z - z_0|^{n-2}. \end{aligned}$$

The last sum is independent of  $j$ , which gives the result.

The authors would like to acknowledge several useful discussions about this problem with Professor Ted Suffridge.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. N. Dunford, *Uniformity in linear spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **44** (1938), 305-356.
2. E. Hille and R. S. Phillips, *Functional analysis and semi-groups*, rev. ed., Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 31, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1957. MR **19**, 664.
3. I. Singer, *Bases in Banach space*. I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1970.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY, LEXINGTON, KENTUCKY 40506

*Current address* (Joseph A. Cima): Department of Mathematics, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514