

UNIQUENESS OF SOLUTIONS OF THE DIRICHLET PROBLEM FOR SINGULAR ULTRAHYPERBOLIC EQUATIONS

EUTIQIO C. YOUNG¹

ABSTRACT. The paper gives necessary and sufficient conditions for uniqueness of solutions of the Dirichlet problem for ultrahyperbolic partial differential equations with multiple singular lines.

1. **Introduction.** In [1], Diaz and Young obtained necessary and sufficient conditions for the uniqueness of solutions of certain improperly posed problems for the ultrahyperbolic equation

$$(1) \quad \Delta u - \sum_{j,k=1}^n (a_{jk}u_{y_j})_{y_k} + cu = 0$$

where the symbol Δ denotes the Laplace operator in the variables x_1, \dots, x_m . Their results have been extended recently in [2] to the singular ultrahyperbolic equation

$$(2) \quad u_t + \frac{\alpha}{t} + \Delta u - \sum_{j,k=1}^n (a_{jk}u_{y_j})_{y_k} + cu = 0$$

where α is a real parameter, $-\infty < \alpha < \infty$.

The purpose of this paper is to present some results on the uniqueness of the Dirichlet problem for the class of ultrahyperbolic equations

$$(3) \quad Lu \equiv \sum_{i=1}^m \left(u_{x_i x_i} + \frac{\alpha_i}{x_i} u_{x_i} \right) - \sum_{j,k=1}^n (a_{jk}u_{y_j})_{y_k} + cu = 0$$

with multiple singular lines and real parameters α_i , $-\infty < \alpha_i < \infty$.

As usual we consider the boundary value problem in the domain $Q = X \times Y$, where X is a parallelepiped defined by $0 < x_i < a_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq m$) and Y

Presented to the Society, January 18, 1972 under the title *Uniqueness of the Dirichlet problem for ultrahyperbolic equations with multiple singular lines*; received by the editors February 18, 1972.

AMS 1970 subject classifications⁴. Primary 35L10, 35L20; Secondary 35A05.

Key words and phrases. Uniqueness of solution, ultrahyperbolic equations, multiple singular lines, Dirichlet problem, eigenvalues, eigenfunctions, complete set.

¹ Supported by NSF Research Grant GP-11543.

is a bounded domain in the space y_1, \dots, y_n . We assume that the coefficients a_{jk} and c are functions of the variables y_1, \dots, y_n alone with $c \geq 0$ in Y . Further, we assume that the matrix (a_{jk}) is symmetric and positive definite, and that a_{jk} , c and the boundary ∂Y of Y are smooth enough to allow the application of the divergence theorem and the existence of a complete set of eigenfunctions for the eigenvalue problem that we will need below.

2. **A lemma.** An interesting feature of the differential equation (3) is that it possesses several singular lines. As a result of this, every solution of the equation which is smooth on the singular lines satisfies a regularity condition on those lines. This behavior was first observed by Walter [3] in the case of the normal hyperbolic Euler-Poisson-Darboux equation, and later by Fox [4] for a slightly general singular equation. We shall prove this property for our equation (3) as a lemma.

LEMMA. *If $u \in C^2(Q) \cap C^1(\bar{Q})$ satisfies $Lu=0$, then $u_{x_i}=0$ on $x_i=0$ for every index i ($1 \leq i \leq m$) for which $\alpha_i \neq 0$.*

PROOF. Let us assume that $\alpha_m \neq 0$ and set $x'=(x_1, \dots, x_{m-1})$ and $z=x_m$. Denote by X^* the parallelepiped defined by $0 < \varepsilon_i < x_i < a_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq m-1$), $0 < z < t$ ($t < a_m$), and by X' the resulting parallelepiped when the variable z is deleted. We integrate over $Q^*=X^* \times Y$ the identity

$$\begin{aligned} 2z^\beta u_z Lu &= [z^\beta(u_z^2 - u_{x_i}^2 + a_{jk}u_{y_j}u_{y_k} + cu^2)]_z \\ &\quad + (2z^\beta u_z u_{x_i})_{x_i} - (2z^\beta a_{jk}u_z u_{y_j})_{y_k} \\ &\quad - \beta z^{\beta-1}(u_z^2 - u_{x_i}^2 + a_{jk}u_{y_j}u_{y_k} + cu^2) \\ &\quad + 2z^\beta u_z \alpha_i u_{x_i}/x_i + 2\alpha_m z^{\beta-1} u_z^2 \end{aligned}$$

where $\beta > 0$. Here, as well as in the rest of our discussion, we adopt the convention of summing over repeated indices. Applying the divergence theorem and noting that u is of class C^1 in \bar{Q} , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (4) \quad &t^\beta \int_{Q'} F(u) dx' dy + \int_{\partial Q^*} [2z^\beta u_z(u_{x_i} v_{x_i} - a_{jk} u_{y_j} v_{y_k})] dS \\ &- \int_{Q^*} \beta z^{\beta-1} [F(u) - 2z u_z \alpha_i u_{x_i}/(\beta x_i) - 2\alpha_m u_z^2/\beta] dx' dy dz = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$F(u) = u_z^2 - u_{x_i}^2 + a_{jk}u_{y_j}u_{y_k} + cu^2,$$

$Q'=X' \times Y$ and (v_{x_i}, v_{y_k}) is the outward unit normal vector on $\partial Q'$.

Let us divide (4) throughout by t^β and take the limit as t approaches zero. We observe that the second integral drops out in the limit as its integrand is bounded and $(z/t) < 1$. On the other hand, the third integral becomes a

derivative with respect to z^β at $z=0$ as may be seen by writing it in the form

$$\frac{1}{t^\beta} \int_0^{t^\beta} \int_{Q'} [F(u) - 2zu_z \alpha_i u_{x_i} / (\beta x_i) - 2\alpha_m u_z^2 / \beta] dx' dy d(z^\beta).$$

Thus, in the limit, we obtain from (4)

$$(2\alpha_m / \beta) \int_{Q'} u_z^2(x', 0, y) dx' dy = 0.$$

Since $\alpha_m \neq 0$, this implies that $u_z(x', 0, y) = 0$ in Q' . By continuity, this holds for $0 \leq x_i \leq a_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq m-1$) and for all y in \bar{Y} .

3. The Dirichlet problem. Let us now consider the homogeneous Dirichlet problem

$$(5) \quad Lu = 0 \text{ in } Q, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial Q.$$

By changing subscripts if necessary, we can assume that $\alpha_i, i=1, \dots, p$, are not necessarily zero and $\alpha_j = 0, j=p+1, \dots, m$ with $0 \leq p \leq m$.

THEOREM 1. *If $\alpha_i > 0$ for all i ($1 \leq i \leq p$), then every solution $u \in C^2(Q) \cap C^1(\bar{Q})$ of the problem (5) vanishes identically in Q .*

PROOF. Suppose that $u \in C^2(Q) \cap C^1(\bar{Q})$ is a solution of (5). By the hypothesis, it follows from the lemma that $u_{x_i} = 0$ on $x_i = 0$ for $i=1, \dots, p$. Now let us integrate over Q the identity

$$\begin{aligned} 2u_{x_r} Lu &\equiv (2u_{x_r} u_{x_i})_{x_i} - (u_{x_i}^2 - a_{jk} u_{y_j} u_{y_k} - cu^2)_{x_r} \\ &\quad - (2a_{jk} u_{x_r} u_{y_j})_{y_k} + 2u_{x_r} \alpha_i u_{x_i} / x_i \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

($1 \leq r \leq p$) and use the divergence theorem. Because $u=0$ on ∂Q and $u_{x_i} = 0$ on $x_i = 0$ for $i=1, \dots, p$, we obtain

$$(6) \quad \int_{X_r \times Y} u_{x_r}^2(a_r, x', y) dx' dy + 2 \int_Q u_{x_r} \alpha_i u_{x_i} / x_i dx dy = 0$$

where X_r denotes the parallelepiped defined by $0 < x_i < a_i, 1 \leq i \leq m, i \neq r$, and x' denotes a point in X_r . Taking the sum of (6) with respect to r leads then to the equation

$$(7) \quad \sum_{r=1}^p \int_{X_r \times Y} u_{x_r}^2(a_r, x', y) dx' dy + 2 \int_Q \left(\sum_{r=1}^p u_{x_r} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i u_{x_i} / x_i \right) dx dy = 0$$

where we observe that each of the summands in the first sum is non-negative.

Now, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$(8) \quad \left(\sum_{i=1}^p u_{x_i} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i u_{x_i} / x_i \right) \geq \left(\sum_{i=1}^p (\alpha_i / x_i)^{1/2} u_{x_i} \right)^2 \geq 0.$$

Therefore, the integrand of the second integral in (7) is also nonnegative. Hence we conclude, from (7) and (8), that

$$(9) \quad \sum_{i=1}^p (\alpha_i / x_i)^{1/2} u_{x_i} = 0.$$

If we multiply (9) by $2u$ and integrate the result over the domain D defined by $0 < x_i < a_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq p$), we finally obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^p \int_D (\alpha_i^{1/2} u^2 / x_i^{3/2}) dx_1 \cdots dx_p = 0$$

since $u=0$ on ∂D . This yields the conclusion of the theorem.

When the parameters α_i are all nonpositive, we have the following result.

THEOREM 2. *Let λ_k ($k=1, 2, \dots$) be the eigenvalues of the problem*

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} (a_{jk} v_{y_j})_{y_k} - cv + \lambda v &= 0 \quad \text{in } Y, \\ v &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial Y. \end{aligned}$$

If $\alpha_i \leq 0$ for $i=1, \dots, p$, then every solution $u \in C^2(Q) \cap C^1(\bar{Q})$ of the problem (5) vanishes identically in Q if, and only if, for all nonzero real numbers μ_1, \dots, μ_p and for all nonzero integers b_{p+1}, \dots, b_m satisfying the condition

$$(11) \quad \sum_{i=1}^p \mu_i + \sum_{i=p+1}^m (b_i \pi x_i / a_i)^2 = \lambda_k$$

there exists μ_r ($1 \leq r \leq p$) such that

$$(12) \quad J_{(1-\alpha_r)/2}(\mu_r^{1/2} a_r) \neq 0$$

where $J_p(t)$ denotes the Bessel function of the first kind of order p .

PROOF. The necessity part follows easily. Let λ_s be an eigenvalue of (10) and v_s a corresponding eigenfunction. Suppose that there exist nonzero real numbers v_1, \dots, v_p and nonzero integers q_{p+1}, \dots, q_m satisfying (11) such that

$$J_{(1-\alpha_i)/2}(v_i^{1/2} a_i) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, p.$$

Then the function

$$u(x, y) = \prod_{i=1}^p x_i^{(1-\alpha_i)/2} J_{(1-\alpha_i)/2}(v_i^{1/2} x_i) \phi(x; q) v_s(y)$$

where

$$(13) \quad \phi(x; q) = \prod_{i=p+1}^m \sin(q_i \pi x_i / a_i)$$

is a nontrivial solution of the problem (5) as is readily verified.

Conversely, suppose that condition (12) holds. Let λ_k be an eigenvalue of (10) and let μ_i be any nonzero real numbers such that

$$(14) \quad J_{(1-\alpha_i)/2}(\mu_i^{1/2} a_i) = 0$$

for all i ($1 \leq i \leq p$) except for $i=r$. For any nonzero integers b_{p+1}, \dots, b_m , let μ_r be determined by the relation (11). Notice that μ_r may very well be a negative number and that from the hypothesis we have

$$(15) \quad J_{(1-\alpha_r)/2}(\mu_r^{1/2} a_r) \neq 0.$$

Now let

$$(16) \quad w(x, y) = \prod_{i=1}^p x_i^{(1+\alpha_i)/2} J_{(1-\alpha_i)/2}(\mu_i^{1/2} x_i) \phi(x; b) v_k(y)$$

where $\phi(x; b)$ is defined in (13) and v_k is an eigenfunction of (10) corresponding to λ_k . By direct differentiation, making use of (10) and (11), it is readily shown that (16) satisfies the adjoint equation

$$Mw \equiv w_{x_i x_i} - \alpha_i (w/x_i)_{x_i} - (a_{jk} w_{y_j})_{y_k} + cw = 0$$

of (3). Moreover, from (13), (14), and (15), it is clear that (16) vanishes on ∂Q except on $x_r = a_r$.

Consider the Green's formula

$$(17) \quad \int_{Q_s} (wLu - uMw) dx dy = \int_{\partial Q_s} [(wu_{x_i} - uw_{x_i} + (\alpha_i/x_i)uw)v_{x_i} - a_{jk}(wu_{y_j} - uw_{y_j})v_{y_k}] dS$$

where $Q_s = X_s \times Y$, X_s being the parallelepiped defined by $0 < s_i < x_i < a_i$ for $i=1, \dots, p$, and $0 < x_i < a_i$ for $i=p+1, \dots, m$, and (v_{x_i}, v_{y_k}) is the outward unit normal vector on ∂Q_s . If u is a solution of (5) and w is chosen as the function (16), then substitution of these functions in (17) yields

$$(18) \quad \int_{\partial Q_s} (wu_{x_i} - uw_{x_i} + (\alpha_i/x_i)uw)v_{x_i} dS = 0.$$

Now let s_i ($1 \leq i \leq p$) approach zero. Since w_{x_i} and w/x_i are bounded at $x_i=0$ for $i=1, \dots, p$, and $u=0$ on $x_i=0$, $x_i=a_i$ for all i , $1 \leq i \leq m$, it

follows from (13), (14), and (16) that (18) reduces to

$$(19) \quad a_r^{(1+\alpha_r)/2} J_{(1-\alpha_r)/2}(\mu_r^{1/2} a_r) \cdot \int u_{x_r}(a_r, x', y) \psi(x; \mu) \phi(x; b) v_k(y) dx' dy = 0$$

where

$$\psi(x; \mu) = \prod_{i=1; i \neq r}^p x_i^{(1+\alpha_i)/2} J_{(1-\alpha_i)/2}(\mu_i^{1/2} x_i).$$

X' is the subspace of X with x_r deleted and x' denotes a point in X' . In view of (15), we conclude that

$$(20) \quad \int_{X' \times Y} u_{x_r}(a_r, x', y) \psi(x; \mu) \phi(x; b) v_k(y) dx' dy = 0$$

for all nonzero μ_i numbers satisfying (14), for all nonzero integers b_{p+1}, \dots, b_m , and for all eigenfunctions v_k . By the completeness of the sets of eigenfunctions $\{\psi(x; \mu)\}$, $\{\phi(x; b)\}$, and $\{v_k\}$ in the respective spaces $\{x_i | 0 < x_i < a_i, 1 \leq i \leq p, i \neq r\}$, $\{x_i | 0 < x_i < a_i, p+1 \leq i \leq m\}$, and Y , we conclude that

$$(21) \quad u_{x_r} = 0 \quad \text{on } x_r = a_r.$$

Finally, by integrating the identity

$$\begin{aligned} (2x_r u_{x_r} + u)Lu &= [x_r(-u_{x_i}^2 + a_{jk} u_{y_j} u_{y_k} + cu^2)]_{x_r} \\ &\quad + [2x_r u_{x_r} u_{x_i} + uu_{x_i} + (\alpha_i u^2)/(2x_i)]_{x_i} \\ &\quad - [a_{jk}(2x_r u_{x_r} + u)u_{y_j}]_{y_k} \\ &\quad - (2u_{x_r}^2 - (\alpha_i u^2)/(2x_i^2) - 2x_r u_{x_r}(\alpha_i u_{x_i}/x_i)) \end{aligned}$$

over Q and using (21), we obtain

$$(22) \quad \int_Q \left(2u_{x_r}^2 - \frac{u^2 \alpha_i}{2x_i^2} - 2x_r u_{x_r} \frac{\alpha_i}{x_i} u_{x_i} \right) dx dy = 0.$$

Since r is arbitrary, summing (22) with respect to r from 1 to p yields

$$(23) \quad \int_Q \left[2 \sum u_{x_i}^2 - \frac{pu^2}{2} \sum (\alpha_i/x_i^2) - 2 \sum (x_i u_{x_i}) \sum (\alpha_i u_{x_i}/x_i) \right] dx dy = 0$$

where we have dropped the limits of summation for convenience. Since $\alpha_i \leq 0, 1 \leq i \leq p$, we see by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that

$$\left(\sum (-\alpha_i)^{1/2} u_{x_i} \right)^2 \leq - \sum (x_i u_{x_i}) \sum (\alpha_i u_{x_i}/x_i)$$

so that $\sum (x_i u_{x_i}) \sum (\alpha_i u_{x_i}/x_i) \leq 0$. It follows that the integrand in (23) is

nonnegative from which we conclude that u vanishes identically in Q . This completes the proof of the theorem.

REFERENCES

1. J. B. Diaz and E. C. Young, *Uniqueness of solutions of certain boundary value problems for ultrahyperbolic equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **29** (1971), 569–574.
2. E. C. Young, *Uniqueness of solutions of improperly posed problems for singular ultrahyperbolic equations*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. (to appear).
3. W. Walter, *Über die Euler-Poisson-Darboux-Gleichung*, Math. Z. **67** (1957), 361–376. MR **19**, 1059.
4. D. W. Fox, *The solution and Huygens' principle for a singular Cauchy problem*, J. Math. Mech. **8** (1959), 197–219. MR **21** #762.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY, TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32306