HOMOTOPY FOR FUNCTORS

MING-JUNG LEE

ABSTRACT. We show that natural transformations play the role of homotopy for (covariant) functors. Homotopic functors are shown to induce identical maps between the homology groups of categories. For a space \( X \), there is an associated category \( \Lambda S(X) \). We show that the classifying space of \( \Lambda S(X) \) has the same homotopy type as \( X \) if \( X \) is a CW complex. Moreover, we prove that, for CW complexes \( X \) and \( Y \), \( f \) and \( g : X \to Y \) are homotopic if and only if \( \Lambda S(f) \) and \( \Lambda S(g) \) are.

1. Introduction. It is known that a simplicial complex is topologically determined by a partially ordered set. In particular, this partially ordered set determines the homotopy type of the simplicial complex. It is also known that the homotopy type of a CW complex \( K(G, n) \) is determined by the group \( G \). Partially ordered sets and groups are related in the sense that they both are small categories. In this paper, we show that the homotopy type of a CW complex is determined by a small category, the singular category of the complex, where natural transformations play the role of homotopy for (covariant) functors. Our approach is closely related to simplicial sets. Functors will always be covariant.

Let \( K \) be a simplicial set. We associate to \( K \) a small category \( \Lambda(K) \) as follows. The objects of \( \Lambda(K) \) are simplexes of \( K \). The set of morphisms from simplex \( v \) to simplex \( u \) consists of all the face maps \( x \) from \( \Delta^m \) to \( \Delta^n \), where \( m = \text{dim } v \) and \( n = \text{dim } u \), such that \( ux = v \). (A face map is an injective monotone function from \([m] = \{0, 1, \ldots, m\}\) to \([n] = \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}\) and it operates on \( u \) via the face maps of \( K \).) For a simplicial map \( f : K \to L \), we define \( \Lambda(f) = \Lambda(K) \to \Lambda(L) \) to be the obvious functor with \( \Lambda(f)(u) = f(u) \) for objects. Thus \( \Lambda \) becomes a functor from \( \mathcal{S} \), the category of simplicial sets, to \( \mathcal{C} \), the category of small categories and functors. Composing \( \Lambda \) with \( S \), the total singular complex functor, one obtains a functor from \( \mathcal{S} \), the category of topological spaces, to \( \mathcal{S} \). The category \( \Lambda S(X) \) is called the singular category of the space \( X \). We prove the functor \( \Lambda S \) preserves homotopy.
There is also a functor $M$ from $\mathcal{C}$ to $\mathcal{S}$ which preserves homotopy. The functor $M$ was studied by Anderson [1] and Segal [7]. In general $M(\Lambda)$ may not be a Kan complex (where $\Lambda$ is any category); we prove that $M(\Lambda)$ is a Kan complex if and only if $\Lambda$ is a groupoid. We also show $M\Lambda(K)$ is precisely the subdivision (see Kan [2]) of the simplicial set $K$. For a category $\Lambda$, the geometric realization of $M(\Lambda)$ is called the classifying space of $\Lambda$ and denoted by $B\Lambda$. It is well known that the classifying space of a group $G$ is a CW $K(G, 1)$ and the classifying spaces of finite partially ordered sets are simplicial complexes. We prove that the classifying space of the category $\Lambda S(X)$ has the same homotopy type as $X$ if $X$ is a CW complex.

Our main theorem can be stated as follows.

**Theorem 1.** Let $X$ and $Y$ be two CW complexes.

(a) For any two maps $f$ and $g: X \to Y$, $f \simeq g$ if and only if $\Lambda S(f) \simeq \Lambda S(g)$.

(b) $X$ and $Y$ have the same homotopy type if and only if $\Lambda S(X)$ and $\Lambda S(Y)$ have the same homotopy type.

We can also show that homotopic functors induce same maps between the homology groups of small categories with constant coefficients. (It was shown in Lee [3] that the homology groups of a space $X$ coincide with the homology groups of its singular category.)
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2. Relations between small categories and simplicial sets.

**Definition 2.** Let $\Lambda$ be a small category. We define the morphism complex $M\Lambda$ of $\Lambda$ to be a simplicial set where

- $M_0\Lambda = \{u \mid u$ is an object of $\Lambda\}$,
- $M_p\Lambda = \{[x_{p-1}, \cdots, x_0] \mid x_i$ is a morphism in $\Lambda$ and $x_{p-1} \circ \cdots \circ x_0$ is defined $\}$, $p \geq 1$.

(We use $u$ for both object and identity morphism, thus $(u) \in M_0\Lambda$ and $[u] \in M_1\Lambda$.) Face and degeneracy operators are defined by

$\partial_i[x_{p-1}, \cdots, x_0] = [x_{p-1}, \cdots, x_i \circ x_{i-1}, \cdots, x_0]$ and $s_i[x_{p-1}, \cdots, x_0] = [x_{p-1}, \cdots, x_i, u_i, x_{i-1}, \cdots, x_0]$ for $p \geq 1$ where $u_i = \text{Domain } x_i = \text{Range } x_{i-1}$. For $p = 1$, we let $\partial_0[x_0] = (u_0)$, $\partial_1[x_0] = (u_1)$ and $s_0(u) = [u]$.

It is not hard to check that $M\Lambda$ is indeed a simplicial set. For a functor $f: \Lambda \to \Gamma$ of small categories, we let $Mf: M\Lambda \to M\Gamma$ be the simplicial map defined by $Mf([x_{p-1}, \cdots, x_0]) = [f(x_{p-1}), \cdots, f(x_0)]$. It is readily verified that $M$ is in fact a functor from $\mathcal{C}$ to $\mathcal{S}$.
In general, $M\Lambda$ may not be a Kan complex (e.g. when $\Lambda$ is a partially ordered set). However, $M\Lambda$ is a Kan complex if $\Lambda$ is a groupoid. In fact, we have

**Theorem 3.** $M\Lambda$ is a Kan complex (i.e., satisfies the extension condition) if and only if $\Lambda$ is a groupoid.

**Proof.** (a) Only if part: We will show that if $z$ and $y$ are morphisms of $\Lambda$ with Range $z=$ Range $y$ or Domain $z=$ Domain $y$ then there is a morphism $x$ such that $zx=y$ or $xz=y$ respectively. Suppose Range $z=\ast=$ Range $y$. Consider $s_0[z]$ and $s_1[y]$ in $M\Lambda$. Since $\partial_0s_1=\partial_0s_0$, by the extension condition there is a $[x_1, x_0]$ in $M_2\Lambda$ such that $[x_1]=[\partial_0[x_1, x_0]=s_0=[z]$ and $[x_1, x_0]=\partial_1[x_1, x_0]=s_1=[y]$. Hence $x_1=z$ and $x_1x_0=y$, i.e., there is an $x=x_0$ with $zx=y$. The other part can be similarly proved by considering $s_1=[y]$ and $s_2=[z]$.

(b) If part: Let $s_0, \cdots, s_{k-1}, s_k, \cdots, s_{p+1}$ be simplexes of $M_p\Lambda$ ($p \geq 1$) which satisfy the compatibility conditions $\partial_j s_i = \partial_{i-1} s_j$ for $i < j$, $i \neq k$ and $j \neq k$. Write

$$s_j = [x_{j-1}, \cdots, x_0]$$

We may suppose $k \neq 0$ (if $k=0$, then $k \neq p+1$ and the proof proceeds in a similar way). Since $\partial_j s_i = \partial_{i-1} s_j$ for $j > 0$ and $j \neq k$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_j &= [x_{p-1}, \cdots, x_{j-2}, x_{j-1}, x_0, x_j] \quad \text{for } 2 \leq j \leq p \text{ and } j \neq k \\
\sigma_i &= [x_{p-1}, \cdots, x_0, x_j] \quad \text{if } k \neq 1 \\
\sigma_{p+1} &= [x_{p-2}, \cdots, x_0, x_{p+1}] \quad \text{if } k \neq p + 1.
\end{align*}
$$

We divide our proof into three parts.

(1) Suppose $p \geq 3$. Then there is a number $l \geq 3$ and $k \neq l$ and it follows from the compatibility conditions ($\partial_j s_i = \partial_{i-1} s_j$ for $l < j$ and $\partial_j s_i = \partial_{l-1} s_j$, for $j < l$) that

$$x_0^j = x_0$$

for $2 \leq j \leq p + 1$, $j \neq k$,

and

$$x_0^1 = x_0^{0x_0}$$

if $k \neq 1$ (use $\partial_4 s_1 = \partial_{l-1} s_1$ and $\partial_0 s_1 = s_{l-1} s_0$).

Denote $x_0^j$ by $x_0$, $x_0^{j-1}$ by $x_j$. Then the $(p+1)$-simplex $\sigma = [x_0, \cdots, x_0]$ has the properties that $\partial_j s_i = s_j$ for all $j \neq k$.

(2) Suppose $p = 2$. Then there is a number $l \geq 2$ and $k \neq l$. If $l=3$, then the proof is the same as case (1). If $l=2$, then again by the compatibility conditions we have

$$x_0^j = x_0^2$$

for $2 \leq j \leq p + 1$, $j \neq k$,

and

$$x_0^{0x_0} = x_0^{0x_0x_0}$$

if $k \neq 1$ (use also $\partial_0 s_1 = \partial_0 s_0$).
Since $\Lambda$ is groupoid, $x^0_1x^0_2 = x^0_1x^0_3x^0_4$ implies $x^0_2 = x^0_3x^0_4$ and the proof coincides with that of (1).

(3) Suppose $p = 1$. Then the proof is the reverse of the arguments in part (a).

(In fact, we have shown that the extension condition is always satisfied for $p \geq 3$) Q.E.D.

We now show that the classifying space of $\Lambda S(X)$ has the same homotopy type as $X$ if $X$ is a CW complex. We first observe that for any simplicial set $K$ the simplicial set $SA(K)$ is just the subdivision $SdK$ (see Kan [2]). In fact, $SdK$ is defined to be the simplicial set $K/\sim$, where $K_p = \{ [u, x_p, \ldots, x_0] | u = x_p \circ \cdots \circ x_0 \text{ defined in } \Lambda(K) \}$ and $[u, x_p, \ldots, x_0] \sim [v, y_p, \ldots, y_0]$ if $y_i = x_i$ for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1$ and $ux_p = vy_p$. Therefore, the simplicial map $q : SdK \to SA(K)$ with $q_p [u, x_p, \ldots, x_0] = [x_{p-1}, \ldots, x_0]$ is an isomorphism and

Proposition 4. $SdK$ and $SA(K)$ are isomorphic as simplicial sets.

Proposition 5. There is a natural transformation $D$ from the functor $B_{\Lambda S} = TM_{\Lambda S} : T \to T$ to the functor $1_T$ such that $D(X) : B_{\Lambda S}(X) \to X$ is a weak homotopy equivalence for every topological space $X$ and hence a homotopy equivalence if $X$ is a CW complex. ($T$ is the geometric realization functor.)

Proof. By Kan [2], there is a natural transformation $d$ from $Sd$ to $1_T$. Composing $d$ with $T$ and $S$, we obtain a natural transformation $d'$ from $TSdS$ to $TS$. On the other hand, there is an adjunction natural transformation $\psi$ from $TS$ to $1_T$ (cf. May [5]). Combining with the isomorphism of Proposition 4, we get a natural transformation $D = \psi \circ d' : B_{\Lambda S} = TM_{\Lambda S} \to 1_T$. The map $D(X) : B_{\Lambda S}(X) \to X$ is a weak homotopy equivalence because both $d'$ and $\psi$ are weak homotopy equivalences (see Kan [2] and May [5]). Q.E.D.

3. Invariance of homotopies.

Definition 6. Let $\varphi$ and $\varphi' : \Lambda \to \Gamma$ be covariant functors of small categories. We say that $\varphi$ is homotopic to $\varphi'$ if there are covariant functors $\varphi_i : \Lambda \to \Gamma$, $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n$, with $\varphi_0 = \varphi$ and $\varphi_n = \varphi'$ such that for each $i$ there is a natural transformation between $\varphi_i$ and $\varphi_{i+1}$. Homotopy will be denoted by $\simeq$.

Remark. The homotopy relation defined above is obviously an equivalence relation. It is also easy to see that $\varphi \simeq \varphi'$ and $\psi \simeq \psi'$ imply $\varphi \circ \psi \simeq \varphi' \circ \psi'$. In case $\Lambda$ and $\Gamma$ are partially ordered sets, the homotopy coincides with the one defined by Okamoto [6]. For monoids $\Lambda$ and $\Gamma$, two functors (i.e., homomorphisms) $\varphi$ and $\varphi'$ are homotopic if they are
y_i and \varphi_i for i=0,1,\ldots,n such that \varphi = \varphi_0, \varphi' = \varphi_n and y_i \circ \varphi_i(x) = \varphi_{i-1}(x) \circ y_i. Furthermore, if \Gamma is a group, then \varphi and \varphi' are homotopic if and only if there is a \gamma \in \Gamma with \varphi(x) = \gamma^{-1} \varphi(x) \gamma, i.e., homotopy coincides with conjugation.

**Proposition 7.** If \varphi and \varphi' : \Lambda \to \Gamma are homotopic functors, then \text{M\varphi} and \text{M\varphi'} are also homotopic as simplicial maps.

**Proof.** This proposition was proved by G. Segal \cite{7} for topological categories. We will give a different proof here. Suppose \eta is a natural transformation from \varphi' to \varphi, we construct a homotopy \text{h} = \text{M\varphi'} - \text{M\varphi} as follows. For each \rho \geq 0 and 0 \leq j \leq \rho, we define \text{h}_j : \text{M}_{\rho+1} \Lambda \to \text{M}_{\rho+1} \Gamma by

\[ h_j[x_{\rho-1}, \ldots, x_0] = [\varphi(x_{\rho-1}), \ldots, \varphi(x_j), \eta(u_j), \varphi'(x_{j-1}), \ldots, \varphi'(x_0)] \]

where \text{u}_j = \text{Domain} x_j = \text{Range} x_{j-1} (for \rho = 0, h_0(u) = [\eta(u)]) Using the hypothesis that \eta is a natural transformation, one can check \text{h} is indeed a homotopy between \varphi' and \varphi (see May \cite[5, p. 12]{5} for definition of homotopy). Q.E.D.

**Corollary 8.** If \varphi and \varphi' : \Lambda \to \Gamma are homotopic, then so are the continuous maps \text{B\varphi} and \text{B\varphi'}.

**Corollary 9.** If \varphi and \varphi' : \Lambda \to \Gamma are homotopic, then \varphi_* = \varphi'_* : H_*(\Lambda) \to H_*(\Gamma) where \text{H}_*(\Lambda) is the homology group of \Lambda with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} (i.e., \text{Tor}_*(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z})).

**Proof.** The chain complex \text{CM}\Lambda of \text{M}\Lambda is exactly the chain complex induced by the bar resolution of \Lambda with coefficient \mathbb{Z}. (Cf. Lee \cite{3} and \cite{4}.) Q.E.D.

**Remark.** Suppose \Lambda is the singular category of a space \Lambda and \Lambda' is the full subcategory whose objects consist of nondegenerate simplexes. Then \Lambda and \Lambda' are homotopic equivalent in the sense that there are functors \varphi : \Lambda \to \Lambda' and \varphi' : \Lambda' \to \Lambda with \varphi \circ \varphi' \simeq 1_{\Lambda'} and \varphi' \circ \varphi \simeq 1_{\Lambda}.

**Theorem 10.** Let \text{f} and \text{g} : \Lambda \to \Gamma be continuous maps. If \text{f} \simeq \text{g}, then \text{AS}(\text{f}) \simeq \text{AS}(\text{g}) : \text{AS}(\Lambda) \to \text{AS}(\Gamma).

We first prove

**Lemma 11.** For each \text{n} \geq 0, there is a continuous map \text{l}_n : \Delta^{2n+1} \to \Delta^{n} \times I such that the following diagram commutes for \text{k}=0,1.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Delta^{2n+1} & \xrightarrow{l_n} & \Delta^{n} \times I \\
\downarrow{y_k(n)} & & \downarrow{z_k(n)} \\
\Delta^n & & \end{array}
\]
where \( z_k(t) = (t, k) \) for \( t \in \Delta^n \); \( y_0(t_0, \ldots, t_n) = (t_0, \ldots, t_n, 0, \ldots, 0) \in \Delta^{2n+1} \) and \( y_1(s_0, \ldots, s_n) = (0, \ldots, 0, s_0, \ldots, s_n) \in \Delta^{2n+1} \) for \( (t_0, \ldots, t_n) \) and \( (s_0, \ldots, s_n) \) in \( \Delta^n \).

Furthermore, for each face map \( x : \Delta^m \to \Delta^n \), there is a corresponding face map \( \bar{x} : \Delta^{m+1} \to \Delta^{n+1} \) such that \( l_n \circ \bar{x} = (x \times I) \circ l_m \) and \( y_k(n) \circ x = \bar{x} \circ y_k(m) \) for \( k = 0, 1 \), i.e., the diagrams below are commutative.

![Commutative Diagram]

**Proof.** Define \( l_n \) by

\[
l_n(t_0, \ldots, t_n, s_0, \ldots, s_n) = ((t_0 + s_0, \ldots, t_n + s_n), 1 - \sum t_i)
\]

for \( (t_0, \ldots, t_n, s_0, \ldots, s_n) \) in \( \Delta^{2n+1} \). The image of \( l_n \) is in \( \Delta^n \times I \) because \( \sum (t_i + s_i) = 1 \) and \( 0 \leq 1 - \sum t_i \leq 1 \). It is not hard to see that \( l_n \) is the desired map.

Without loss of generality we may assume

\[
x(t_0, \ldots, t_m) = (t_0, \ldots, t_m, 0, \ldots, 0) \in \Delta^n.
\]

Define

\[
\bar{x}(t_0, \ldots, t_m, s_0, \ldots, s_m) = (t_0, \ldots, t_m, 0, \ldots, 0, s_0, \ldots, s_m, 0, \ldots, 0)
\]

for \( (t_0, \ldots, t_m, s_0, \ldots, s_m) \) in \( \Delta^{2m+1} \) and it works. Q.E.D.

**Proof of Theorem 10.** It suffices to show \( \Delta S(i_0) \cong \Delta S(i_1) : \Delta S(X) \to \Delta S(X \times I) \) where \( i_0(t) = (t, 0) \) and \( i_1(t) = (t, 1) \) for \( t \in X \). We shall denote \( \Delta S(i_k) \) again by \( i_k \) for \( k = 0, 1 \), and denote \( \Delta S(X) \) by \( \Delta \), \( \Delta S(X \times I) \) by \( \Gamma \). For \( u \) in \( |\Lambda| \) with \( \dim u = n \), we let \( h(u) \) to be the \((2n+1)\)-singular simplex \((u \times I) \circ l_n \) in \( |\Gamma| \).

\[
\Delta^{2n+1} \xrightarrow{l_n} \Delta^n \times I \xrightarrow{u \times I} X \times I.
\]

For any morphism \( x \) in \( \Lambda(v, u) \), i.e., \( x \) is a face map from \( \Delta^m \) to \( \Delta^n \) with \( u \circ x = v \), we let \( h(x) : \Delta^{2m+1} \to \Delta^{2n+1} \) be the face map \( \bar{x} \) of Lemma 11. Then we have \( h(v) = (v \circ x) \circ l_m = (u \circ x) \circ l_m = (u \times I) \circ l_n \circ \bar{x} = h(u) \circ h(x) \), i.e., \( h(x) \) is a morphism from \( h(v) \) to \( h(u) \). Thus we have defined, modulo some minor verifications, a covariant functor from \( \Lambda \) to \( \Gamma \).

To complete the proof, we need only exhibit two natural transformations \( \eta_k : i_k \Rightarrow h \) for \( k = 0, 1 \). For \( u \in |\Lambda| \) with \( \dim u = n \), we let \( \eta_k(u) \) to be the...
face map $y_k(n): \Delta^n \to \Delta^{2n+1}$ of Lemma 11. Since $i_k(u) = i_k \circ u = (u \times I) \circ z_k = (u \times I) \circ f_n \circ y_k = h(u) \circ y_k$, it follows that $\eta_k(u)$ is a morphism from $i_k(u)$ to $h(u)$. $\eta_k$ is indeed a natural transformation because for any $x \in \Lambda(v, u)$ we have $\eta_k(u) \circ i_k(x) = y_k(n) \circ x$, $h(x) \circ \eta(v) = \tilde{x} \circ y_k(m)$, where $n = \dim u$ and $m = \dim v$, $y_k(n) \circ x = \tilde{x} \circ y_k(m)$ and hence $\eta(u) \circ i_k(x) = h(x) \circ \eta(v)$. Q.E.D.

Proof of Theorem 1. (a) Theorem 10 shows that $f \simeq g$ implies $\Lambda S(f) \simeq \Lambda S(g)$. Conversely we suppose $\Lambda S(f) \simeq \Lambda S(g)$, then by Corollary 8 we have $TM\Lambda A(f) \simeq TM\Lambda A(g)$ as continuous maps. It follows from Proposition 5 that the following diagram is commutative.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
TM\Lambda A(f) & \xrightarrow{TM\Lambda A(f)} & TM\Lambda A(Y) \\
D(X) & \downarrow & D(Y) \\
X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y
\end{array}
$$

Since $X$ and $Y$ are CW complexes, $D(X)$ and $D(Y)$ are homotopy equivalences. Thus $f$ and $g$ are homotopic because $TM\Lambda A(f)$ and $TM\Lambda A(g)$ are. (b) is a direct consequence of (a). Q.E.D.

Open Questions. (1) For a given functor $\varphi: \Lambda S(X) \to \Lambda S(Y)$, is there a continuous map $f: X \to Y$ such that $\Lambda S(f) \simeq \varphi$? (2) Does the functor $\Lambda$ preserve homotopy? We expect to have a negative answer for (1), but (2) should be true at least for maps between Kan complexes.
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