

ADJOINTS OF MULTIPOINT-INTEGRAL BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS

R. C. BROWN AND ALLAN M. KRALL

ABSTRACT. The dual system to $Ly=y'+Py$,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i y(t_i) + \int_0^1 K(t)y(t) dt = 0$$

is found when the setting is $L_n^p(0, 1)$, $1 < p < \infty$.

Introduction. Let X denote the Banach space $L_n^p(0, 1)$, consisting of n -dimensional vectors under the norm

$$\|x\| = \left[\int_0^1 \left[\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i(t)|^2 \right]^{p/2} dt \right]^{1/p},$$

$1 < p < \infty$. In X and X^* ($=L_n^q(0, 1)$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$) let us define the following subspaces:

- (a) Let D' denote those vectors in X which are absolutely continuous.
- (b) Let D_0^+ denote those vectors in X^* which are absolutely continuous and vanish at $t=0$, $t=1$.
- (c) Let A_i , $i=0, \dots$, be $m \times n$ constant matrices satisfying $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \|A_i\| < \infty$ ($\|\cdot\|$ here denotes a convenient norm) and $\bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} \ker A_i^* = 0$. Let $\{t_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ be a collection of points in $[0, 1]$ ($t_0=0$, $t_1=1$), and let $K(t)$ be an $m \times n$ matrix valued function whose rows are in X^* . For all y in D' we define the (discontinuous) boundary functional V by

$$Vy = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i y(t_i) + \int_0^1 K(t)y(t) dt,$$

and denote by D the kernel of this functional. $Vy=0$ for all $y \in D$.

(d) Let D^+ denote those vectors z in X^* for which there exists an $m \times 1$ matrix valued functional $\phi(z)$ such that

- (1) $z(t) + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i^* \phi(z) \lambda(t_i, 1)$ is absolutely continuous on $[0, 1]$. ($\lambda(t_i, 1)$ is the characteristic function of $(t_i, 1]$.)

Presented to the Society, January 18, 1972; received by the editors November 1, 1971 and, in revised form, March 20, 1972.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 34B05, 34B10, 34B25; Secondary 44A60.

© American Mathematical Society 1973

(2) If $P(t)$ is a continuous $n \times n$ matrix valued function, then the expression $l^+z = -z' + P^*z + K^*\phi(z)$ exists a.e. and is in X^* .

We note that ϕ is uniquely defined, for if two such ϕ, ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 , exist, then by subtraction $\sum_{i=0}^\infty A_i^*(\phi_1 - \phi_2)\lambda(t_i, 1]$ would be absolutely continuous. This is impossible. Further note that the range of ϕ is all of R^m , that $D_0^+ \subset D^+$, and that $\phi(z) = 0$ if and only if $z \in D_0^+$. Hence D^+ is non-empty.

Finally, letting $ly = y' + Py$, l^+z as given above, we define the following operators:

- (a) L' is given by $L'y = ly$ for all y in D' .
- (b) L_0^+ is given by $L_0^+z = l^+z$ for all z in D_0^+ .
- (c) L is given by $Ly = ly$ for all y in D .
- (d) L^+ is given by $L^+z = l^+z$ a.e. for all z in D^+ .

Our principal result is that L and L^+ are dual operators. Brown [1] has previously shown that D is dense in X , so L^* exists. Further Bryan [2] used L^+ as the basis of a definition of L^* . The result is well known under the classical endpoint conditions. However, because of the possible density of the boundary points $\{t_i\}$ in the interval $[0, 1]$, the techniques now used are different from those used previously.

The result also generalizes similar results recently obtained by Green and Krall [4] and Krall [5].

The closure of L^+ .

THEOREM 1. *The operator L^+ , defined by $L^+z = -z' + P^*z + K^*\phi(z)$, for all $z \in D^+$, is closed.*

PROOF. We recall that the operator L^+ is closed if when $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} z_k = z$ ($z_k \in D^+$), and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} L^+z_k = y$, then $z \in D^+$, and $L^+z = y$.

Let $\varepsilon(s) = \sum_{i=0}^\infty A_i^*\lambda(t_i, 1](s)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} z_k(s) - z_l(s) &= \int_0^s (z'_k - z'_l) d\xi + \varepsilon(s)\phi(z_l - z_k) \\ &= \int_0^s L^+(z_l - z_k) d\xi + \int_0^s P^*(z_k - z_l) d\xi \\ &\quad + \left[\int_0^s K^* d\xi - \varepsilon(s) \right] \phi(z_k - z_l). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\left\| \int_0^s L^+(z_k - z_l) d\xi \right\|_{X^*} \leq \|L^+(z_l - z_k)\|_{X^*}$$

and

$$\left\| \int_0^s P^*(z_k - z_l) d\xi \right\|_{X^*} \leq \|P^*\| \|z_k - z_l\|_{X^*}$$

by Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left[\int_0^s K^* d\xi - \varepsilon(s) \right] \phi(z_k - z_l) \right\|_{X^*} \\ & \leq \|z_k - z_l\|_{X^*} + \|P^*\| \|z_k - z_l\|_{X^*} + \|L^+(z_l - z_k)\|_{X^*}. \end{aligned}$$

By assumption, each of the terms on the right approaches 0 as k, l approach ∞ . Therefore the functions

$$F_k(s) = \left[\int_0^s K^* d\xi - \varepsilon(s) \right] \phi(z_k)$$

converge in measure. Hence there is a subsequence $F_{k_j}(s)$ which converges almost everywhere. Letting s approach t_i from above and below implies $F_{k_j}(t_i^+) - F_{k_j}(t_i^-) = A_i^* \phi_{k_j}$ converges. Therefore $\phi_{k_j} - \phi_{k_l}$ approaches the kernel of A_i^* as k_j, k_l approach ∞ . Since this holds for all i , and $\bigcap_{i=0}^\infty \ker A_i^* = 0$, we conclude that $\phi_{k_j} - \phi_{k_l}$ approaches 0. In other words ϕ_{k_j} converges.

Since

$$z_{k_j}(s) = - \int_0^s L^+ z_{k_j} d\xi + \int_0^s P^* z_{k_j} d\xi + \left[\int_0^s K^* d\xi - \varepsilon(s) \right] \phi(z_{k_j})$$

and $\phi(z_{k_j})$ converges, we may take limits to find

$$z(s) = - \int_0^s y d\xi + \int_0^s P^* z d\xi + \left[\int_0^s K^* d\xi - \varepsilon(s) \right] \phi,$$

or

$$z(s) + \varepsilon(s)\phi = - \int_0^s y d\xi + \int_0^s P^* z d\xi + \int_0^s K^* d\xi \phi.$$

Since the right is absolutely continuous, z satisfies the first requirement of elements in D^+ . Differentiating, we find $z' = -y + P^*z + K^*\phi$, or $y = l^+z$ a.e., the second condition.

The duals of L and L^+ . Let us denote the dual of an operator A by A^* .

LEMMA. $(L_0^+)^* = L'$.

PROOF. This is well known. See Goldberg [3, p. 51].

THEOREM 2. $(L^+)^* = L$.

¹ The matrix A_i^* defines an isomorphism f_i from the factor space $R^m/\ker A_i^*$ onto the range of A_i^* . Thus $A_i^* \phi_k$ approaches 0 if and only if $\phi_k + \ker A_i^*$ approaches $\ker A_i^*$.

PROOF. Trivial modifications of a computation found in Green and Krall [4] show that if $y \in D$ and $z \in D^+$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (Ly, z) - (y, L^+z) &= \int_0^1 [z^*(Ly) - (L^+z)^*y] ds \\ &= -\phi^*(z) \left[\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i y(t_i) + \int_0^1 Ky ds \right] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $L \subset (L^+)^*$.

To show the reverse inclusion, let $z \in D_0^+$. Then, since $D_0^+ \subset D^+$ and $\phi(z)=0$, we find $L_0^+ \subset L^+$. This implies $(L^+)^* \subset (L_0^+)^* = L'$. Hence the domain of $(L^+)^*$ is contained in D .

For arbitrary $z \in D^+$, the calculation of the first part of the proof shows

$$\phi^*(z) \left[\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i y(t_i) + \int_0^1 Ky ds \right] = 0.$$

Since the range of ϕ is R^m , it is the term in brackets which vanishes. Thus the domain of $(L^+)^*$ satisfies the boundary condition and is in D . Therefore $(L^+)^* \subset L$, and the two are equal.

THEOREM 3. $L^* = L^+$.

PROOF. Since L^+ is closed by Theorem 1, $(L^+)^{**} = L^+$. But since $(L^+)^* = L$ by Theorem 2, we find $L^* = (L^+)^{**} = L^+$.

REFERENCES

1. R. C. Brown, *The existence of the adjoint in linear differential systems with discontinuous boundary conditions*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (to appear).
2. R. N. Bryan, *A linear differential system with general linear boundary conditions*, J. Differential Equations 5 (1969), 38-48. MR 38 #1312.
3. S. Goldberg, *Unbounded linear operators: Theory and applications*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966. MR 34 #580.
4. G. B. Green and A. M. Krall, *Linear differential systems with infinitely many boundary points*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 91 (1972), 53-67.
5. A. M. Krall, *Differential-boundary operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 154 (1971), 429-458. MR 42 #6328.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY PARK, PENNSYLVANIA 16802

Current address (R. C. Brown): Mathematics Research Center, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706