

DIRECT DECOMPOSITION OF TENSOR PRODUCTS INTO SUBTENSOR PRODUCTS

I. Y. CHUNG

ABSTRACT. A subtensor product of a family of modules is defined by using a subdirect product of the family of modules considered as sets. A tensor product of modules can be decomposed into a direct sum of subtensor products of the modules. Subtensor products of graded modules and graded algebras are also studied. As an application of these, a certain subtensor product of a family (not necessarily finite) of anticommutative algebras is shown to be a coproduct of this family in the category of unitary anticommutative algebras, and it can be imbedded as a direct summand into a tensor product of the family as modules.

1. Subtensor product of modules. Let $(M_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ be a family of modules over a commutative ring R with unit, and U a subset of the cartesian product $\prod_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha$ as sets. For an R -module N , a mapping $\varphi: U \rightarrow N$ will be called a *multilinear mapping of U into N* if for any $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$, $(y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ and $(z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ in U such that $x_\beta = \lambda y_\beta + \mu z_\beta$, where λ and μ are in R , for one $\beta \in I$, and $x_\alpha = y_\alpha = z_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in I$ with $\alpha \neq \beta$,

$$\varphi((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}) = \lambda \varphi((y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}) + \mu \varphi((z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I})$$

holds. A multilinear mapping of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha$ into N is a usual multilinear mapping; and a restriction mapping of this to U is an example of a multilinear mapping in our sense. By a similar construction to that of a tensor product of modules [1, Theorem 37, p. 87], the following theorem can be proved.

THEOREM 1. *For any $U \subseteq \prod_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha$, there exist an R -module A and a multilinear mapping σ of U into A such that for any R -module N and for any multilinear mapping φ of U into N there exists a unique linear mapping f of A into N such that $f \circ \sigma = \varphi$.*

PROOF. Let F be a free R -module with U as its basis; and J be the submodule of F generated by the elements $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I} - \lambda(y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I} - \mu(z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$, where

Presented to the Society, January 21, 1972; received by the editors September 28, 1971 and, in revised form, February 28, 1972.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1969). Primary 1580; Secondary 1610, 1590.

Key words and phrases. Tensor product, subtensor product, graded module, graded algebra, anticommutative algebra, coproduct, category.

$(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$, $(y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ and $(z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ are in U , $\lambda, \mu \in R$, such that $x_\beta = \lambda y_\beta + \mu z_\beta$ for one $\beta \in I$, and $x_\alpha = y_\alpha = z_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in I$ with $\alpha \neq \beta$. Put $A = F/J$, and let σ be the restriction mapping of the natural homomorphism of F onto A to U . Q.E.D.

DEFINITIONS. Let $U \subseteq \prod_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha$ be a subdirect product, i.e., for any $\beta \in I$, the mapping $U \rightarrow M_\beta$, $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I} \mapsto x_\beta$ is onto. A pair (A, σ) , where A and σ are as in Theorem 1, will be called a *subtensor product of $(M_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ with respect to a subdirect product of $(M_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$* . A will be denoted by ${}_{U \otimes} \otimes_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha$; and $\sigma((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I})$ by ${}_{U \otimes} \otimes x_\alpha$, or ${}_{U \otimes} \otimes_{\alpha \in I} x_\alpha$. f is called the *linearization of φ* .

REMARK 1. Let $(N_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ be a family of R -modules such that M_α is a submodule of N_α for each $\alpha \in I$. If a subtensor product were defined for an arbitrary subset U of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha$, i.e., U is not necessarily a subdirect product, then ${}_{U \otimes} \otimes_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha \cong {}_{U \otimes} \otimes_{\alpha \in I} N_\alpha$. This we do not want.

As usual, a subtensor product of $(M_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ with respect to U is uniquely determined up to unique isomorphisms, and it is generated by the set of all elements ${}_{U \otimes} \otimes x_\alpha$ such that $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I} \in U$.

2. Direct decompositions into subtensor product. Let U be a subset of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha$ satisfying the following condition (2.1).

(2.1) For any $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$, $(y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$, and $(z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ in $\prod_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha$ such that $x_\beta = \lambda y_\beta + \mu z_\beta$, $\lambda, \mu \in R$, for one $\beta \in I$, and $x_\alpha = y_\alpha = z_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in I$ with $\alpha \neq \beta$, if $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ is in U , both $(y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ and $(z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ are in U .

Then U is a subdirect product of $(M_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$. In fact, let $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I} \in U$. For any $y \in M_\beta$, let $(y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ be an element of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha$ such that $y_\beta = y$ and $y_\alpha = x_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \neq \beta$; $(z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ such that $z_\beta = x_\beta - y$ and $z_\alpha = x_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \neq \beta$. Then $(y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I} \in U$ by (2.1). It follows that the mapping $U \rightarrow M_\beta$, $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I} \mapsto x_\beta$ is onto. Hence U is a subdirect product.

THEOREM 2. Suppose that $\prod_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha = \bigcup_{j \in J} U_j$ be a partition of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha$ into mutually disjoint subsets U_j satisfying (2.1) for each $j \in J$. Let S_j be the submodule of $T = \otimes_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha$ generated by the elements $\otimes x_\alpha$ such that $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I} \in U_j$. Then

(1) $T = \sum_{j \in J} S_j$ (direct),

(2) $S_j \cong {}_{U_j \otimes} \otimes_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha$, for each $j \in J$.

More precisely, the linear mapping $q_j: {}_{U_j \otimes} \otimes_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha \rightarrow T$, ${}_{U_j \otimes} \otimes x_\alpha \mapsto \otimes x_\alpha$, is one-to-one and onto S_j .

PROOF. Let $q: \bigoplus_{j \in J} ({}_{U_j \otimes} \otimes_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha) \rightarrow T$ be the linear mapping defined by $q((y_j)_{j \in J}) = \sum_{j \in J} q_j(y_j)$, where $y_j \in {}_{U_j \otimes} \otimes_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha$. Also, for each $i \in J$, let $p_i: U_i \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j \in J} ({}_{U_j \otimes} \otimes_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha)$ be the multilinear mapping defined by $p_i((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}) = (y_j)_{j \in J}$ such that $y_i = {}_{U_i \otimes} \otimes x_\alpha$ and $y_j = 0$ for all $j \neq i$. Let $p': \prod_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j \in J} ({}_{U_j \otimes} \otimes_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha)$ be the mapping extending each p_i , $i \in J$. p' is a multilinear mapping, since every U_j satisfies (2.1). If p is the

linearization of p' , then $q \circ p$ and $p \circ q$ are identity mappings. Hence q is an isomorphism. Also, it is clear that $q_j(U_j \otimes_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha) = S_j$. (1) and (2) are immediate consequences of these. Q.E.D.

EXAMPLE 1. Define an equivalent relation on $\prod_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha$ by $(w_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I} \sim (w'_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ iff $w_\alpha = w'_\alpha$ for almost all $\alpha \in I$. Let $\Phi = \prod_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha / \sim$, the quotient set. Then every $W \in \Phi$ satisfies (2.1). Hence, if we denote by S_W the submodule of T generated by the elements $\otimes x_\alpha$ such that $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I} \in W$, for each $W \in \Phi$, it follows from Theorem 2 that

$$T = \sum_{W \in \Phi} S_W \quad (\text{direct});$$

$$S_W \cong W \otimes_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha, \quad \text{for each } W \in \Phi.$$

LEMMA. Let U be a subset of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha$; and let $\Phi' = \{W \in \Phi : W \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$, where Φ is as in Example 1. The following are equivalent.

- (1) U satisfies (2.1).
- (2) $U = \bigcup_{W \in \Phi'} W$.
- (3) $U' = \prod_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha - U$, the complementary set of U in $\prod_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha$, satisfies (2.1).

PROOF. Let $(w_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I} \in W \cap U$. Then for any $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I} \in W$, $x_\alpha = w_\alpha$ for almost all $\alpha \in I$; say, $x_{\alpha_i} \neq w_{\alpha_i}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and $x_\alpha = w_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in I$ with $\alpha \neq \alpha_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Let $(y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I} \in \prod_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha$ such that $y_{\alpha_1} = x_{\alpha_1}$, $y_\alpha = w_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in I$ with $\alpha \neq \alpha_1$; $(z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ such that $z_{\alpha_1} = w_{\alpha_1} - x_{\alpha_1}$, $z_\alpha = w_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in I$ with $\alpha \neq \alpha_1$. Then $w_\alpha = y_\alpha + z_\alpha$ for one $\alpha = \alpha_1$, and $w_\alpha = y_\alpha = z_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \neq \alpha_1$. If U satisfies (2.1), $(y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I} \in U$. Continue this process for $\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$. It follows from this that $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I} \in U$. This implies that $W \subseteq U$ for all $W \in \Phi'$. Hence (1) implies (2). It is obvious that (2) implies (1). Similarly, we can prove that (2) if and only if (3).

THEOREM 3. Let $I = \bigcup_{k \in K} J_k$ be a partition of I into mutually disjoint sets J_k ; $\pi_k : \prod_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha \rightarrow \prod_{\alpha \in J_k} M_\alpha$ a mapping defined by $\pi_k((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}) = (x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in J_k}$; and $\tau_k : \prod_{\alpha \in J_k} M_\alpha \rightarrow \otimes_{\alpha \in J_k} M_\alpha$ the universal multilinear mapping. Let U be a subset of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha$ satisfying (2.1); $U_k = \pi_k(U)$; $G_k = \tau_k(U_k)$; and $V = \{(y_k)_{k \in K} \in \prod_{k \in K} (U_k \otimes_{\alpha \in J_k} M_\alpha) : y_k \in G_k \text{ for almost all } k \in K\}$. Then the R -homomorphism

$$(2.2) \quad \theta : \bigotimes_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha \rightarrow \bigvee_{k \in K} (U_k \otimes_{\alpha \in J_k} M_\alpha), \text{ such that}$$

$$\bigotimes_{\alpha \in I} x_\alpha \mapsto \bigvee_{k \in K} (U_k \otimes_{\alpha \in J_k} x_\alpha)$$

is an isomorphism.

PROOF. Let F_k be the free R -module with U_k as basis, for which $U_k \otimes_{\alpha \in J_k} M_\alpha$ is a quotient in its construction (cf. Theorem 1), $\nu_k : F_k \rightarrow U_k \otimes_{\alpha \in J_k} M_\alpha$ the natural homomorphism, and S_k the kernel of ν_k . We first

consider the case where $U \in \Phi$ (Φ as in Example 1). Let

$$\bar{U} = \left\{ (z_k)_{k \in K} \in \prod_{k \in K} F_k : z_k \in U_k \text{ for almost all } k \in K \right\}$$

and let

$$f: \bar{U} \otimes_{k \in K} F_k \rightarrow V \otimes_{k \in K} (U_k \otimes_{\alpha \in J_k} M_\alpha)$$

be the linearization of $\bar{U} \rightarrow V \otimes_{k \in K} (U_k \otimes_{\alpha \in J_k} M_\alpha)$, $(z_k)_{k \in K} \mapsto V \otimes_{k \in K} v_k(z_k)$. Let S be the submodule of $\bar{U} \otimes_{k \in K} F_k$ generated by the elements $\bar{U} \otimes_{k \in K} z_k$, where, for at least one $k \in K$, $z_k \in S_k$. Then $S \subseteq \text{Ker } f$ clearly. We will show that $S = \text{Ker } f$. Let $\bar{U} \otimes z_k, \bar{U} \otimes z'_k \in \bar{U} \otimes_{k \in K} F_k$ such that $z_k - z'_k \in S_k$ for all $k \in K$. Since $U \in \Phi$ and $z_k, z'_k \in U_k$ for almost all $k \in K$, $z_k = z'_k$ for almost all $k \in K$. Let $z_{k_i} \neq z'_{k_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then $\bar{U} \otimes z_k - \bar{U} \otimes z'_k = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i$, where $w_i = \bar{U} \otimes_{k \in K} v_k$; $v_k = z_k - z'_k$ for $k \neq k_1, \dots, k_n$; $v_{k_j} = z_{k_j}$, if $j < i$, $v_{k_i} = z_{k_i} - z'_{k_i}$, $v_{k_j} = z'_{k_j}$, if $j > i$. Since each $w_i \in S$, $\bar{U} \otimes z_k - \bar{U} \otimes z'_k \in S$. It follows from this that $V \rightarrow \bar{U} \otimes_{k \in K} F_k / S, (y_k)_{k \in K} \mapsto \bar{U} \otimes z_k + S$, where $z_k \in v_k^{-1}(y_k)$ for all $k \in K$, is a well-defined multilinear mapping. Let

$$g: V \otimes_{k \in K} (U_k \otimes_{\alpha \in J_k} M_\alpha) \rightarrow \bar{U} \otimes_{k \in K} F_k / S$$

be the linearization of this, then $g \circ f = v$, where v is the natural homomorphism of $\bar{U} \otimes_{k \in K} F_k$ onto $\bar{U} \otimes_{k \in K} F_k / S$. Hence $\text{Ker } f = S$. Any element $z_k \in F_k$ can be uniquely expressed as $\sum_{i_k} r_{i_k} (x_{i_k, \alpha})_{\alpha \in J_k}$, where $r_{i_k} \in R$ and $(x_{i_k, \alpha})_{\alpha \in J_k} \in \prod_{\alpha \in J_k} M_\alpha$. Consider

$$\bar{U} \rightarrow U \otimes_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha, \quad (z_k)_{k \in K} \mapsto \sum_k \left(\prod_{i_k} r_{i_k} \right) \bar{U} \otimes_{\alpha \in I} x_{i_k, \alpha},$$

where the sum is over all $(i_k)_{k \in K}$. Then this mapping is properly defined, since $z_k \in U_k$ for almost all $k \in K$. Let h be the linearization of this. If $z_k \in S_k$,

$$z_k = \sum \pm ((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in J_k} - (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in J_k} - (z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in J_k}),$$

where $x_\beta = y_\beta + z_\beta$ for one $\beta \in J_k$ and $x_\alpha = y_\alpha = z_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in J_k$, $\alpha \neq \beta$. Hence if $(z_k)_{k \in K} \in S$, $h((z_k)_{k \in K}) = 0$. It follows that $h(S) = 0$. Thus, there exists an R -homomorphism $\eta: V \otimes_{k \in K} (U_k \otimes_{\alpha \in J_k} M_\alpha) \rightarrow \otimes_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha$ such that $\theta \circ \eta$ and $\eta \circ \theta$ are identity mappings. We now consider the case where U is a subset of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha$ satisfying (2.1). Let $U = \bigcup_{W \in \Phi'} W$ (Φ' as in the Lemma). Then by Theorem 2, the Lemma, and the previous case,

$$U \otimes_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha \cong \bigoplus_{W \in \Phi'} (W \otimes_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha) \cong \bigoplus_{W \in \Phi'} (V_W \otimes_{k \in K} (W_k \otimes_{\alpha \in J_k} M_\alpha)),$$

where W, W_k and V_W correspond to U, U_k and V in the previous case of $U \in \Phi$ respectively; and isomorphisms are canonical. $W_k \otimes_{\alpha \in J_k} M_\alpha \subseteq U_k \otimes_{\alpha \in J_k} M_\alpha$ and $V_W \otimes_{k \in K} (W_k \otimes_{\alpha \in J_k} M_\alpha) \subseteq V \otimes_{k \in K} (U_k \otimes_{\alpha \in J_k} M_\alpha)$ canonically, by Theorem 2, and the Lemma. V_W 's are mutually disjoint, and

hence

$$\bigoplus_{W \in \Phi'} (V_W \otimes_{k \in K} (W_k \otimes_{\alpha \in J_k} M_\alpha)) \subseteq V \otimes_{k \in K} (U_k \otimes_{\alpha \in J_k} M_\alpha)$$

canonically. Hence $\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha$ can be canonically imbedded into $V \otimes_{k \in K} (U_k \otimes_{\alpha \in J_k} M_\alpha)$. This canonical imbedding can be easily seen to be θ , hence θ is one-to-one. θ is clearly onto. Q.E.D.

EXAMPLE 2. Let $I = \bigcup_{k \in K} J_k$ be a partition of I into mutually disjoint sets J_k . If K is a finite set, it is well known [1, Theorem 39, p. 92] that

$$(2.3) \quad \bigotimes_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha \cong \bigotimes_{k \in K} \left(\bigotimes_{\alpha \in J_k} M_\alpha \right)$$

by the canonical isomorphism. However, if K is infinite, the situation is slightly different. As a special case of Theorem 3, if we put $U = \prod_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha$, it is easy to see that $\bigotimes_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha$ is isomorphic to a direct summand of $\bigotimes_{k \in K} \left(\bigotimes_{\alpha \in J_k} M_\alpha \right)$.

Let $I = \bigcup_{k \in K} J_k = \bigcup_{k' \in K'} J_{k'}$ be two partitions of I into mutually disjoint sets J_k and $J_{k'}$, respectively. If K and K' are finite sets, it is clear from the isomorphism (2.3) that

$$(2.4) \quad \bigotimes_{k \in K} \left(\bigotimes_{\alpha \in J_k} M_\alpha \right) \cong \bigotimes_{k' \in K'} \left(\bigotimes_{\alpha \in J_{k'}} M_\alpha \right)$$

canonically. However, if at least one of K and K' are infinite, (2.4) is no longer true. Let U be a subset of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha$ satisfying (2.1). Let V and U_k be as in Theorem 3, V' and $U_{k'}$ be defined for the partition $\bigcup_{k' \in K'} J_{k'}$ in the same way as V_k and U_k respectively.

COROLLARY 1. *Similar to (2.4),*

$$(2.5) \quad V \otimes_{k \in K} (U_k \otimes_{\alpha \in J_k} M_\alpha) \cong V' \otimes_{k' \in K'} (U_{k'} \otimes_{\alpha \in J_{k'}} M_\alpha)$$

canonically.

3. **Subtensor product of graded modules.** In this section, we assume that, for each $\alpha \in I$, $M_\alpha = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\alpha} M_{\alpha, \gamma}$ (direct) is a graded R -module with Γ_α as its set of degrees; $M_{\alpha, \gamma}$ being the submodule of homogeneous elements of degree γ . For any $f \in \prod_{\alpha \in I} \Gamma_\alpha = P$, let T_f be the submodule of $T = \bigotimes_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha$ generated by the elements $\bigotimes x_\alpha$ such that $x_\alpha \in M_{\alpha, f(\alpha)}$ for all $\alpha \in I$. If I is finite, it is well known [1, Theorem 40, p. 94] that

$$(3.1) \quad T = \sum_{f \in P} T_f \quad (\text{direct}),$$

and that

$$T_f = \bigotimes_{\alpha \in I} M_{\alpha, f(\alpha)}, \quad \text{for each } f \in P.$$

Hence T is a graded module with P as a set of degrees. However, if I is infinite, (3.1) is not necessarily true.

Let T_H be the submodule of T generated by the elements $\otimes x_\alpha \in T$ such that x_α is homogeneous for all $\alpha \in I$ (notice that T_H is also generated by the elements $\otimes x_\alpha \in T$ such that x_α is homogeneous for almost all $\alpha \in I$); $T_{H'}$ generated by the elements $\otimes x_\alpha \in T$ such that x_α is not homogeneous for infinitely many $\alpha \in I$. Then by Theorem 2,

$$(3.2) \quad T = T_H + T_{H'} \quad (\text{direct});$$

$$T_H \cong_H \otimes_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad T_{H'} \cong_{H'} \otimes_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha,$$

where $H = \{(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I} \in \prod_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha : x_\alpha \text{ is homogeneous for almost all } \alpha \in I\}$, and $H' = \{(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I} \in \prod_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha : x_\alpha \text{ is not homogeneous for infinitely many } \alpha \in I\}$.

THEOREM 4. $T_H = \sum_{f \in P} T_f$ (direct), and $T_f \cong \otimes_{\alpha \in I} M_{\alpha, f(\alpha)}$, for each $f \in P$.

PROOF. Let $p_{\alpha, f(\alpha)}: M_\alpha \rightarrow M_{\alpha, f(\alpha)}$ be the linear mapping such that the restriction of $p_{\alpha, f(\alpha)}$ to $M_{\alpha, \gamma}$, $\gamma \in \Gamma_\alpha$, is the identity mapping on $M_{\alpha, \gamma}$ if $\gamma = f(\alpha)$, zero for all $\gamma \neq f(\alpha)$. Suppose that p_f is the restriction mapping of $\otimes_{\alpha \in I} p_{\alpha, f(\alpha)}$ to T_H , and define $p: T_H \rightarrow \bigoplus_{f \in P} (\otimes_{\alpha \in I} M_{\alpha, f(\alpha)})$ by $p(x) = (p_f(x))_{f \in P}$ for $x \in T_H$. $p_f(x) = 0$ for almost all $f \in P$, and hence p is properly defined. On the other hand, let $i_f: \otimes_{\alpha \in I} M_{\alpha, f(\alpha)} \rightarrow T_H$ be the linearization of the multilinear mapping $\prod_{\alpha \in I} M_{\alpha, f(\alpha)} \rightarrow T_H$, $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I} \mapsto \otimes x_\alpha$. Let

$$i: \bigoplus_{f \in P} \left(\otimes_{\alpha \in I} M_{\alpha, f(\alpha)} \right) \rightarrow T_H$$

be a linear mapping defined by

$$i((y_f)_{f \in P}) = \sum_{f \in P} i_f(y_f), \quad y_f \in \otimes_{\alpha \in I} M_{\alpha, f(\alpha)}.$$

$p \circ i$ and $i \circ p$ are identity mappings. Hence p is an isomorphism. (1) and (2) follow from this immediately. Q.E.D.

THEOREM 5. Let U be a subset of H satisfying (2.1), where H is as in Theorem 4. Then

$$(3.3) \quad U \otimes_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha \cong \bigoplus_{f \in P} (U_f \otimes_{\alpha \in I} M_{\alpha, f(\alpha)}),$$

where $U_f = U \cap \prod_{\alpha \in I} M_{\alpha, f(\alpha)}$. (This is a slightly generalized form of Theorem 4.)

PROOF. Essentially the same as the proof of Theorem 4.

4. Generalized definition. We would like to have a generalized definition of subtensor product so that $U_k \otimes_{\alpha \in J_k} M_\alpha$ (in (2.2)), $V \otimes_{k \in K} (U_k \otimes_{\alpha \in J_k} M_\alpha)$

(in (2.2)) and $U_f \otimes_{\alpha \in I} M_{\alpha, f(\alpha)}$ (in (3.3)) can be expressed as $U \otimes_{\alpha \in J_k} M_\alpha$, $U \otimes_{k \in K} (U \otimes_{\alpha \in J_k} M_\alpha)$ and $U \otimes_{\alpha \in I} M_{\alpha, f(\alpha)}$, respectively. If we have one such, those properties which are true for a tensor product of a finite family also are true for a subtensor product of an infinite family. Namely, (2.2), (2.5) and (3.3) are

$$(4.1) \quad U \otimes_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha \cong U \otimes_{k \in K} (U \otimes_{\alpha \in J_k} M_\alpha),$$

$$(4.2) \quad U \otimes_{k \in K} (U \otimes_{\alpha \in J_k} M_\alpha) \cong U \otimes_{k' \in K'} (U \otimes_{\alpha \in J'_k} M_\alpha), \quad \text{and}$$

$$(4.3) \quad U \otimes_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha \cong \bigoplus_{f \in I'} (U \otimes_{\alpha \in I} M_{\alpha, f(\alpha)}),$$

respectively. The following definition serves the purpose.

DEFINITION. Let $(M_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ be a family of R -modules, $J \subseteq I$ a subset, $J = \bigcup_{k \in K} J_k$ a partition of J into mutually disjoint subsets, N_k a submodule of $\otimes_{\alpha \in J_k} M_\alpha$ for each $k \in K$; $\pi_k: \prod_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha \rightarrow \prod_{\alpha \in J_k} M_\alpha$ a mapping defined by $\pi_k((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}) = (x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in J_k}$ for each $k \in K$, $\tau_k: \prod_{\alpha \in J_k} M_\alpha \rightarrow \otimes_{\alpha \in J_k} M_\alpha$ the universal multilinear mapping for each $k \in K$. Let U be a subset of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha$, $U_k = \pi_k(U)$, $G_k = \tau_k(U_k)$, and $V = \{(y_k)_{k \in K} \in \prod_{k \in K} N_k : y_k \in G_k \cap N_k\}$. $V \otimes_{k \in K} N_k$ will be called a generalized *subtensor product of a family* $(N_k)_{k \in K}$ with respect to a subdirect product U of $(M_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$, and will be denoted by $U \otimes_{k \in K} M_k$.

REMARK 2. We will demonstrate that $U_k \otimes_{\alpha \in J_k} M_\alpha$ (in (2.2)) can be expressed as $U \otimes_{\alpha \in J_k} M_\alpha$, in generalized definition. For any subset J of I , denote $\pi(U)$, where $\pi: \prod_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha \rightarrow \prod_{\alpha \in J} M_\alpha$, $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I} \mapsto (x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in J}$, by U_J . Consider the special case where $J=K$, $J_\alpha = \{\alpha\}$ for $\alpha \in K$, $N_\alpha = M_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in K$, then $V = \{(y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in J} \in \prod_{\alpha \in J} M_\alpha : y_\alpha \in G_\alpha\} = U_J$. Hence

$$(4.4) \quad U_J \otimes_{\alpha \in J} M_\alpha = V \otimes_{k \in K} N_k = U \otimes_{k \in K} N_k.$$

J_k is a subset of I . It follows from (4.4) that $U_k \otimes_{\alpha \in J_k} M_\alpha = U \otimes_{\alpha \in J_k} M_\alpha$.

REMARK 3. The others follow similarly to Remark 2.

5. Application: coproduct of anticommutative algebras. Let $(A_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ be a family of unitary graded algebras over a commutative ring R , all admitting the additive group Z of integers as their group of degrees. We shall denote by $A_{\alpha, k}$ the submodule of A_α of all homogeneous elements of degree k . In case I is finite, $T = \otimes_{\alpha \in I} A_\alpha$ is a graded R -algebra with Z as its group of degrees [1, pp. 154–156]. But if I is infinite, it is not possible to define a multiplication in T analogously to the finite case so that T is a graded algebra with Z as its group of degrees. However, we shall consider the submodule A of $T = \otimes_{\alpha \in I} A_\alpha$ generated by the set of all elements $\otimes a_\alpha$ in T such that $a_\alpha = 1_\alpha$ for almost all $\alpha \in I$, where 1_α is the identity element of Z_α for each $\alpha \in I$.

DEFINITION. For a family $(A_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ of unitary R -algebras, a subtensor product with respect to

$$W = \left\{ (x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I} \in \prod_{\alpha \in I} A_\alpha : x_\alpha = 1_\alpha \text{ for almost all } \alpha \in I \right\}$$

in the generalized definition will be called a *restricted tensor product* and will be denoted by $\otimes_{\alpha \in I}^w A_\alpha$.

In fact, $A \cong \otimes_{\alpha \in I}^w A_\alpha$, and $A = \sum_{f \in Q} S_f$ (direct) by Theorem 5, where $Q = \{f \in Z^I : f(\alpha) = 0 \text{ for almost all } \alpha \in I\}$, and $S_f, f \in Z^I$, is the submodule of A generated by the elements $\otimes a_\alpha \in A$ such that $a_\alpha \in A_{\alpha, f(\alpha)}$ for all $\alpha \in I$. $S_f = 0$ for $f \notin Q$, and $S_f \cong \otimes_{\alpha \in I}^w A_{\alpha, f(\alpha)}$.

We now define a multiplication on A so that A becomes a graded algebra. Let $\mu_\alpha : A_\alpha \otimes A_\alpha \rightarrow A_\alpha$ be the linearization of the multiplication in A_α ; and for $f, g \in Q$, let $\mu_{\alpha, f, g}$ be the restriction of μ_α to $A_{\alpha, f(\alpha)} \otimes A_{\alpha, g(\alpha)}$; this last module considered as a submodule of $A_\alpha \otimes A_\alpha$. There is a canonical isomorphism $\omega_{f, g}$ of $S_f \otimes S_g$ onto $\otimes_{\alpha \in I}^w (A_{\alpha, f(\alpha)} \otimes A_{\alpha, g(\alpha)})$ which maps the element $(\otimes_{\alpha \in I}^w a_\alpha) \otimes (\otimes_{\alpha \in I}^w b_\alpha)$ to $\otimes_{\alpha \in I}^w (a_\alpha \otimes b_\alpha)$ (cf. (4.2)), considering that S_f is canonically identified with $\otimes_{\alpha \in I}^w A_{\alpha, f(\alpha)}$, for each $f \in Q$. Let I be totally ordered by \leq , and set $N(f, g) = \sum_{\alpha > \beta} f(\alpha)g(\beta)$ ($N(f, g)$ is properly defined, since $f(\alpha)$ and $g(\alpha)$ are zero for almost all $\alpha \in I$), $\varphi_{f, g} = (-1)^{N(f, g)} \omega_{f, g}$, and $\mu_{f, g} = (\otimes_{\alpha \in I}^w \mu_{\alpha, f, g}) \circ \varphi_{f, g}$, where $\otimes_{\alpha \in I}^w \mu_{\alpha, f, g}$ is the restriction of $\otimes_{\alpha \in I} \mu_{\alpha, f, g}$ to $\otimes_{\alpha \in I}^w (A_{\alpha, f(\alpha)} \otimes A_{\alpha, g(\alpha)})$. Then $\mu_{f, g}$ is a linear mapping of $S_f \otimes S_g$ into S_{f+g} . We may assume that the modules $S_f \otimes S_g$ are taken to be submodules of $A \otimes A$ and that $A \otimes A$ is their direct sum (cf. (4.3)). Let μ be the linear mapping of $A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ which extends all the mappings $\mu_{f, g}$. If a, a' are any elements of A , we set

$$aa' = \mu(a \otimes a');$$

this gives a multiplication in A , and we have

$$\left(\otimes_{\alpha \in I}^w a_\alpha \right) \left(\otimes_{\alpha \in I}^w b_\alpha \right) = (-1)^{N(f, g)} \otimes_{\alpha \in I}^w (a_\alpha b_\alpha).$$

It can be shown that A is an R -algebra, in the same way as [1, pp. 155–156]. For any integer p , let $A_p = \sum_{s(f)=p} S_f$, where $s(f) = \sum_{\alpha \in I} f(\alpha)$. Then $A = \sum_p A_p$ (direct) is a graded algebra with Z as its group of degrees. Similarly to the proof of [1, Theorem 14, p. 161], it can be shown that if each A_α is an anticommutative algebra, then $A = \otimes_{\alpha \in I}^w A_\alpha$ is also an anticommutative algebra.

THEOREM 6. For a family $(A_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ of anticommutative R -algebras, a pair $(\otimes_{\alpha \in I}^w A_\alpha, (\theta_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I})$, where $\theta_\beta : A_\beta \rightarrow \otimes_{\alpha \in I}^w A_\alpha$ is a linear mapping such that $\theta_\beta(a) = \otimes a_\alpha$ with $a_\beta = a, a_\alpha = 1_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in I, \alpha \neq \beta$, is a coproduct of $(A_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$

in the category of unitary anticommutative R -algebras and unitary homogeneous homomorphisms of degree zero.

PROOF. Similar to the proof of [2, Theorem 1].

REFERENCES

1. C. Chevalley, *Fundamental concepts of algebra*, Academic Press, New York, 1956. MR 18, 553.
2. I. Y. Chung, *Derivation modules of free joins and m -adic completions of algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 34 (1972), 49–56.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CINCINNATI, CINCINNATI, OHIO 45221