

## ON $L^p$ NORMS AND THE EQUIMEASURABILITY OF FUNCTIONS

KENNETH F. ANDERSEN<sup>1</sup>

ABSTRACT. For measurable functions  $f$  and  $g$ , necessary and sufficient conditions are given for the equality of certain  $L^p$  norms of  $f$  and  $g$  to imply that  $f$  and  $g$  are equimeasurable.

Two Lebesgue measurable functions  $f$  and  $g$  defined on  $I=[0, 1]$  are said to be *equimeasurable* (or are rearrangements of each other) if they have the same distribution function, that is, if  $m$  denotes Lebesgue measure on  $I$  and

$$D_f(y) = m\{t: |f(t)| > y\} \quad (y \geq 0)$$

then  $D_f = D_g$ . It is very well known that the equimeasurability of  $f$  and  $g$  ensures that the  $L^p$  norms of  $f$  and  $g$  are equal for every  $p$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Here, as usual, the  $L^p$  norm of  $f$  is defined by

$$\|f\|_p = \begin{cases} \left( \int_0^1 |f(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p}, & 1 \leq p < \infty, \\ \text{ess sup}_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |f(t)|, & p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

In this paper we determine necessary and sufficient conditions in order that the equality of certain  $L^p$  norms of  $f$  and  $g$  will ensure that  $f$  and  $g$  are equimeasurable. More precisely we have the following results:

**THEOREM 1.** *Suppose  $f$  and  $g$  are essentially bounded functions on  $I$  and let  $P = P(f, g) = \{p \geq 1: \|f\|_p = \|g\|_p\}$ . If  $P$  contains a sequence of distinct points  $\{p_n\}$  with the property that  $\sum_1^\infty (1/p_n) = \infty$  then  $f$  and  $g$  are equimeasurable, and in particular,  $P = \{p: p \geq 1\}$ . Conversely, given a sequence  $\{p_n\}$  with  $p_n \geq 1$  and  $\sum_1^\infty (1/p_n) < \infty$ , there exist bounded measurable functions  $f$  and  $g$  on  $I$  which are not equimeasurable, but  $\|f\|_{p_n} = \|g\|_{p_n}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ .*

**COROLLARY.** *If  $P$  has a finite limit point, in particular if  $P$  is uncountable, then  $f$  and  $g$  are equimeasurable.*

---

Received by the editors December 11, 1972.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 46E30; Secondary 44A50, 44A10, 28A40.

<sup>1</sup> Research supported in part by NRC grant A-8185.

© American Mathematical Society 1973

**THEOREM 2.** *Suppose  $f$  and  $g$  are measurable functions on  $I$  for which  $\|f\|_p$  and  $\|g\|_p$  are finite if and only if  $1 \leq p \leq p_\infty < \infty$ . If  $P = P(f, g) = \{p : 1 \leq p \leq p_\infty, \|f\|_p = \|g\|_p\}$  contains a sequence of distinct points  $\{p_n\}$  such that  $\sum_1^\infty (p_\infty - p_n) = \infty$ , then  $f$  and  $g$  are equimeasurable. Conversely, given  $p_\infty, 1 < p_\infty < \infty$ , and a sequence  $\{p_n\}, 1 \leq p_n \leq p_\infty$ , such that  $\sum_1^\infty (p_\infty - p_n) < \infty$ , there exist measurable functions  $f$  and  $g$  defined on  $I$  with finite  $L^p$  norms if and only if  $1 \leq p \leq p_\infty$  which are not equimeasurable but  $\|f\|_p = \|g\|_p$  if and only if  $p \in \{p_n\}$ .*

The proof we give of Theorem 1 is an elementary application of the Hahn-Banach Theorem and the Theorem of Müntz. A second proof can be given which follows the line of our proof of Theorem 2, and which yields a slightly stronger conclusion in the “converse” part of Theorem 1, namely, the equality of the  $L^p$  norms of  $f$  and  $g$  if and only if  $p \in \{p_n\}$ . However, since Theorem 1 appears to be the most useful for applications, it seems desirable to sacrifice the additional strength in favour of a simple proof.

For the proof we require the following well-known result (see, for example, [1, Lemma 3.3.2, p. 182]):

$$(1) \quad \|f\|_p^p = p \int_0^\infty y^{p-1} D_f(y) dy \quad (1 \leq p < \infty).$$

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.** (Sufficiency) By considering, if necessary,  $cf$  and  $cg$  where  $c$  is a constant, we may assume that both  $f$  and  $g$  are essentially bounded in absolute value by 1. Now if  $C(I)$  denotes, as usual, the space of continuous functions on  $I$  with the  $L^\infty$  norm, then

$$L(h) = \int_0^1 h(t)(D_f(t) - D_g(t)) dt \quad (h \in C(I))$$

defines a bounded linear functional on  $C(I)$  which by (1) vanishes on the functions  $h_p(t) = t^p, p \in \{p_n - 1\}$ . Now by Müntz’ theorem (see, for example, [2, p. 305]) the functions  $h_p, p > 0$ , belong to the closure of the set  $\{h_{p_n-1} : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  and hence  $L$  vanishes on  $h_p, p > 0$ , by continuity of  $L$ . But then by the theorem of dominated convergence it follows that

$$L(h_0) = \int_0^1 \lim_{p \rightarrow 0+} t^p (D_f - D_g)(t) dt = \lim_{p \rightarrow 0+} L(h_p) = 0$$

and hence we must have  $L = 0$ , that is  $D_f = D_g$ .

(Necessity) Suppose that  $\{p_n\}$  is given with  $\sum_1^\infty (1/p_n) < \infty$ . Then, again by Müntz’ theorem, the set of functions  $S = \{h_p : p = 0 \text{ or } p = p_n, n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  is not dense in  $C(I)$ , and hence there is a bounded linear functional  $L, \|L\| \leq 1$ , on  $C(I)$  which vanishes on  $S$  but  $L(h_{p_0}) \neq 0$  for some  $p_0 > 1$ .

Now, according to the representation theorem for bounded linear functionals on  $C(I)$ , there exist nonnegative, nonincreasing functions  $\alpha, \beta$ , defined on  $I$  such that

$$L(h) = \int_0^1 h(t) d(\alpha - \beta)(t) \quad (h \in C(I))$$

and  $\alpha(1) = \beta(1) = 0$ . Moreover, we have  $\alpha \leq 1$  and  $\beta \leq 1$  since  $\alpha(0) + \beta(0) = \text{Total Variation of } (\alpha - \beta) = \|L\| \leq 1$ . Hence, if we define  $f$  and  $g$  to be, respectively, the right continuous inverse of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , then  $D_f = \alpha, D_g = \beta$  and if  $p \geq 1$  integration by parts yields

$$L(h_p) = \int_0^1 t^p d(D_f - D_g) = -p \int_0^1 t^{p-1} (D_f - D_g) dt = \|g\|_p^p - \|f\|_p^p$$

so that  $\|f\|_p = \|g\|_p$  for  $p \in \{p_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  but  $\|f\|_{p_0} \neq \|g\|_{p_0}$  and in particular,  $D_f \neq D_g$ .

The proof of Theorem 2 requires the following lemma:

LEMMA. *Let  $F(s)$  be an analytic function in the strip  $S = \{s : -\infty < a < \text{Re } s < b < \infty\}$  and suppose  $F$  is bounded in  $S$ . If  $F$  has real zeros,  $\{p_n\}$  in  $S$ , a necessary condition in order that  $F \neq 0$  is that  $\sum_1^\infty d(p_n, \partial S) < \infty$  where  $d(p_n, \partial S)$  denotes the distance from  $p_n$  to the boundary of  $S$ . Conversely, given a real sequence  $\{p_n\}, p_n \in S$ , with  $\sum_1^\infty d(p_n, \partial S) < \infty$ , there exists a function which is analytic in  $S$ , bounded in  $S$  and whose zeros in  $S$  are precisely  $\{p_n\}$ .*

PROOF. The function defined by

$$s(z) = a + \frac{b-a}{\pi i} \log \left[ i \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right]$$

maps the unit disc  $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$  conformally onto  $S$ , and if  $\alpha_n$  is the zero of  $f(z) = F(s(z))$  corresponding to  $p_n$ , we must have

$$|\alpha_n|^2 = \frac{1 - \sin \pi \gamma_n}{1 + \sin \pi \gamma_n} \quad \left( \gamma_n = \frac{p_n - a}{b - a} \right).$$

Now, since  $\sum_1^\infty (1 - |\alpha_n|)$  converges if and only if  $\sum_1^\infty (1 - |\alpha_n|^2)$  converges, one readily verifies that  $\sum_1^\infty (1 - |\alpha_n|) < \infty$  if and only if  $\sum_1^\infty d(p_n, \partial S) < \infty$  and hence the lemma follows from Theorems 15.21 and 15.23 of [2, pp. 302-303].

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Let

$$F(s) = \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} (D_f - D_g)(t) dt \quad \left( \frac{1}{2} \leq \text{Re } s \leq p_\infty \right).$$

Then  $F(s)$  is the Mellin transform of  $D_f - D_g$  which is analytic in  $\frac{1}{2} < \text{Re } s < p_\infty$ , and since

$$\begin{aligned} |F(s)| &\leq \left( \int_0^1 + \int_1^\infty \right) t^{\text{Re } s - 1} (D_f + D_g)(t) dt \\ &\leq \int_0^1 t^{-1/2} \cdot 2 \cdot dt + \int_0^\infty t^{p_\infty - 1} (D_f + D_g)(t) dt \\ &\leq 4 + \|f\|_{p_\infty}^{p_\infty} + \|g\|_{p_\infty}^{p_\infty} \end{aligned}$$

for  $\frac{1}{2} \leq \text{Re } s \leq p_\infty$ ,  $F$  is bounded in the closed strip. Hence, by the lemma,  $\sum_1^\infty (p_\infty - p_n) = \infty$  implies  $F \equiv 0$  and hence  $D_f - D_g = 0$  by the well-known inversion theorem for the Mellin transform (see [3, Theorem 9a, pp. 246–247]).

Conversely, given  $1 \leq p_n \leq p_\infty < \infty$  such that  $\sum_1^\infty (p_\infty - p_n) < \infty$ , the lemma implies the existence of an analytic function  $F(s) \not\equiv 0$  for which  $|F(s)| \leq M < \infty$ ,  $-1 \leq \text{Re } s \leq p_\infty$ , and the zeros of  $F$  are precisely the real numbers  $p_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Define

$$G(s) = e^{s^2} F(s), \quad -1 \leq \text{Re } s \leq p_\infty.$$

Then with  $s = \sigma + it$ ,  $G(s)$  tends to zero uniformly in  $-1 \leq \sigma \leq p_\infty$  as  $|t| \rightarrow \infty$ , and

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty |G(\sigma + it)| dt \leq M \sqrt{\pi} e^{\sigma^2}.$$

Hence, according to Theorem 19a of [3, p. 265],

$$G(s) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-sx} \phi(x) dx$$

where

$$(2) \quad \phi(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma - i\infty}^{\sigma + i\infty} G(s) e^{xs} ds$$

provided  $-1 < \sigma < p_\infty$ ,  $-\infty < x < \infty$ ; moreover (2) does not depend on  $\sigma$ . For  $x > 0$ , we put  $\psi(x) = \phi(-\log x)$ . Then

$$|\psi'(x)| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} M e^{\sigma^2} \int_0^\infty (\sigma^2 + t^2)^{1/2} e^{-t^2} x^{-(\sigma+1)} dt \leq A x^{-(\sigma+1)}$$

where  $A$  is a constant independent of  $\sigma$ ,  $-1 \leq \sigma \leq p_\infty$ , and since  $\psi$  is independent of  $\sigma$ , we must have

$$|\psi'(x)| \leq A \min_{-1/2 \leq \sigma \leq 1} \{x^{-(\sigma+1)}\}$$

so that  $\int_0^\infty |\psi'(x)| dx < \infty$  which shows that  $\psi$  is of bounded variation on  $(0, \infty)$ . Let  $\psi = \psi_1 - \psi_2$  where  $\psi_i$  are nonnegative and nonincreasing.

Define  $f$  and  $g$  to be, respectively, the right continuous inverse of the functions  $\psi_1/c$  and  $\psi_2/c$  where  $c = \sup|\psi(x)| > 0$ . Then  $D_f = \psi_1/c$ ,  $D_g = \psi_2/c$  and, if  $1 \leq p \leq p_\infty$ ,

$$\frac{1}{c} G(p) = \int_0^\infty t^{p-1} \left( \frac{\psi_1}{c} - \frac{\psi_2}{c} \right) (t) dt = \frac{1}{p} (\|f\|_p^p - \|g\|_p^p)$$

and since  $G$  has zeros precisely at  $p_n$ ,  $n=1, 2, \dots$ , we have  $\|f\|_p = \|g\|_p$  if and only if  $p \in \{p_n\}$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. P. L. Butzer and H. Berens, *Semi-groups of operators and approximation*, Die Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften, Band 145, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1967. MR 37 #5588.
2. W. Rudin, *Real and complex analysis*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966. MR 35 #1420.
3. D. V. Widder, *The Laplace transform*, Princeton Math. Series, vol. 6, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1941. MR 3, 232.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA, EDMONTON, ALBERTA, CANADA