

ON HYPERFINITE W^* ALGEBRAS

PAUL WILLIG

ABSTRACT. If \mathcal{A} is a W^* algebra on separable Hilbert space H , and if $\mathcal{A}(\lambda)$ are the factors in the direct integral decomposition of \mathcal{A} , then $\mathcal{I} = \{\lambda | \mathcal{A}(\lambda) \text{ is hyperfinite}\}$ is μ -measurable, and \mathcal{A} is hyperfinite if and only if $\mathcal{A}(\lambda)$ is hyperfinite μ -a.e.

Let \mathcal{A} be a W^* algebra on separable Hilbert space H . \mathcal{A} is *hyperfinite* if there is an increasing sequence of finite dimensional W^* subalgebras \mathcal{A}_n of \mathcal{A} whose union generates \mathcal{A} . Let $\mathcal{A} = \int_{\Lambda} \oplus \mathcal{A}(\lambda) \mu(d\lambda)$ denote the direct integral decomposition of \mathcal{A} into factors, and let $\mathcal{I} = \{\lambda | \mathcal{A}(\lambda) \text{ is hyperfinite}\}$. We prove in this paper that \mathcal{I} is μ -measurable, and that \mathcal{A} is hyperfinite if and only if $\mu(\Lambda - \mathcal{I}) = 0$.

In dealing with direct integrals, we use the following notation (see [2], [3] for details). K will denote the underlying separable Hilbert space of H . Letting d denote a metric on $\mathcal{B}(K)$ which induces the strong operator topology on bounded subsets of $\mathcal{B}(K)$ [2, Lemma I.4.9], define $M(T) = d(T, 0)$. Then a bounded sequence $T_n \in \mathcal{B}(K)$ converges strongly to 0 if and only if $M(T_n) \rightarrow 0$.

By \mathcal{S} we denote the unit ball of $\mathcal{B}(K)$ taken with the strong * topology. \mathcal{S}_n , n an integer, denotes the n -fold Cartesian product of \mathcal{S} . Finally, let $B_n \in \mathcal{A}$ be a sequence in the unit ball of \mathcal{A} such that $\{B_n(\lambda)\}$ is strong- * dense in the unit ball of $\mathcal{A}(\lambda)$ μ -a.e., and such that $B_n(\lambda)$ is strong- * continuous in λ .

Before proving our main results, we consider the structure of a finite dimensional W^* algebra \mathcal{B} . Since any finite dimensional linear space of operators is strongly closed, \mathcal{B} is finite dimensional if and only if there is a finite set of operators T_1, \dots, T_n such that each product $T_i T_j$ and each adjoint T_k^* is a linear combination of the T_M .

By the Kaplansky Density Theorem, it suffices for these operators to be strong limits of such linear combinations having bounded norms and coefficients in \mathbb{C}_0 , the set of complex numbers with rational real and imaginary parts. We apply this idea to define hyperfiniteness through countably many conditions. Indeed, if \mathcal{A} is hyperfinite, for each n there

Received by the editors October 23, 1972.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 46L10.

Key words and phrases. Hyperfinite, W^* algebra, direct integral decomposition.

© American Mathematical Society 1973

are n operators whose linear span is a finite dimensional W^* algebra \mathcal{A}_n ; such that the \mathcal{A}_n form an increasing sequence (although not necessarily strictly increasing) whose union generates \mathcal{A} . This explains the conditions in Theorem 1.

THEOREM 1. *Let $\mathcal{J} = \{\lambda \mid \mathcal{A}(\lambda) \text{ is hyperfinite}\}$. Then \mathcal{J} is μ -measurable.*

PROOF. Let $\mathcal{P} = \Lambda \times \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{S}_n$, let π denote the projection of \mathcal{P} onto Λ , and let $T(n) = (T(n, 1), \dots, T(n, n))$ denote a typical element of \mathcal{S}_n . Consider the following conditions on elements $[\lambda, T(n)]$ of \mathcal{P} :

- (1) $T(n, m) \in \mathcal{A}(\lambda)$.
- (2) For some $T = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k T(n, k)$, $a_k \in \mathbf{C}_0$, $T \in \mathcal{S}$, and $M(T(n, i)T(n, j) - T) < 1/r$.
- (3) For some $T = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k T(n, k)$, $b_k \in \mathbf{C}_0$, $T \in \mathcal{S}$, and $M(T(n, i)^* - T) < 1/r$.
- (4) For some $T = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} c_k T(n+1, k)$, $c_k \in \mathbf{C}_0$, $T \in \mathcal{S}$, and $M(T(n, i) - T) < 1/r$.
- (5) For some $T = \sum_{k=1}^p d_k T(p, k)$, $d_k \in \mathbf{C}_0$, $T \in \mathcal{S}$, and $M(B_n(\lambda) - T) < 1/r$.

It is easy to see that if \mathcal{J}' is the subset of \mathcal{P} for which condition (1) holds for every m and n and the remaining conditions hold for every r , etc. for appropriate coefficients, then \mathcal{J}' is μ -measurable and $\pi(\mathcal{J}')$ differs from \mathcal{J} by a μ -null set. Hence, by [2, Lemma I.4.6], \mathcal{J} is μ -measurable. Q.E.D.

THEOREM 2. *\mathcal{A} is hyperfinite if and only if $\mu(\Lambda - \mathcal{J}) = 0$.*

PROOF. Suppose \mathcal{A} is hyperfinite. Then for each n there is a finite dimensional W^* subalgebra \mathcal{A}_n of \mathcal{A} which is the linear span of $T(n, 1), \dots, T(n, n) \in \mathcal{A}$; these algebras form an increasing sequence whose union generates \mathcal{A} . Now let $\mathcal{A}_n(\lambda)$ be the W^* algebra generated by $T(n, i)(\lambda)$, $i=1, \dots, n$. By [5, Lemma 1] it follows that $\mathcal{A}_n(\lambda)$ is an increasing sequence of finite dimensional W^* algebras contained in $\mathcal{A}(\lambda)$ for μ -a.e. λ . Since $B_n \in \mathcal{A}$ and the \mathcal{A}_n generate \mathcal{A} , a second application of [5, Lemma 1] shows that the $\mathcal{A}_n(\lambda)$ generate $\mathcal{A}(\lambda)$ μ -a.e. Thus $\mu(\Lambda - \mathcal{J}) = 0$.

Conversely, suppose that $\mu(\Lambda - \mathcal{J}) = 0$. Using the proof of Theorem 1 and [2, Lemma I.4.7] we can construct an increasing sequence of finite dimensional W^* subalgebras \mathcal{A}_n of \mathcal{A} such that $\mathcal{A}_n(\lambda)$ generate $\mathcal{A}(\lambda)$ μ -a.e. It follows that \mathcal{A} is generated by the \mathcal{A}_n and \mathcal{Z} , the center of \mathcal{A} . But \mathcal{Z} is hyperfinite [4, Lemma 2], and if \mathcal{C}_n is an increasing sequence of finite dimensional W^* algebras generating \mathcal{Z} , then clearly for each n the algebra \mathcal{D}_n generated by \mathcal{A}_n and \mathcal{C}_n is finite dimensional. Since \mathcal{A} is generated by the increasing sequence \mathcal{D}_n , the result is proved. Q.E.D.

We remark in conclusion that the idea of hyperfinite algebras was introduced by Murray and von Neumann in [1] to treat factors of type II_1 . They proved that in this case the \mathcal{A}_n could be chosen to be factors of type I_{2^n} . This result has recently been extended to hyperfinite factors of types II_∞ and III by E. J. Woods and G. Elliott (private communication). It is easy to see that our methods could then show that, modulo the center \mathcal{Z} , \mathcal{A} hyperfinite is generated by a sequence of factors of type I_{2^n} .

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. F. J. Murray and J. von Neumann, *On rings of operators*. IV, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **44** (1943), 716–808. MR **5**, 101.
2. J. T. Schwartz, *W*-algebras*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1967. MR **38** #547.
3. P. Willig, *Trace norms, global properties, and direct integral decompositions of W*-algebras*, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **22** (1969), 839–862. MR **42** #5063.
4. ———, *Property P and direct integral decomposition of W*-algebras*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **29** (1971), 494–498. MR **43** #5321.
5. ———, *Property L and direct integral decompositions of W*-algebras*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **30** (1971), 87–91. MR **44** #3137.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STEVENS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, HOBOKEN,
NEW JERSEY 07030