

ON COMPACT OPERATORS IN THE WEAK CLOSURE OF THE RANGE OF A DERIVATION

HONG W. KIM¹

ABSTRACT. It is shown that if K is a compact operator which commutes with a bounded operator A on a Hilbert space H and if K is contained in the weak closure of the range of the derivation induced by A , then K is quasinilpotent.

Introduction and notations. Let H be a fixed separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space over the complex field \mathbb{C} , and let $\delta_A(X) = AX - XA$ be an inner derivation on the algebra $\mathcal{L}(H)$ of all bounded operators on H . $R(\delta_A)^-$ will denote the norm closure of the range of δ_A in $\mathcal{L}(H)$, and $R(\delta_A)^{-w}$ will denote the closure of $R(\delta_A)$ in the weak operator topology. $\{A\}'$ will denote the commutant of A .

Kleinecke (1957) and Shirokov (1956) independently proved I. Kaplansky's conjecture that $R(\delta_A) \cap \{A\}'$ consists entirely of quasinilpotent operators.

In fact, using their result, it is rather simple to show that if $AX_n - X_nA$ converges to B in $\{A\}'$ in the norm topology for $\|X_n\| \leq M$, ($M > 0$), then B is again quasinilpotent, as Percy has noted in [6].

Recently, J. Anderson [1] proved that there exists an operator A in $\mathcal{L}(H)$ such that $I \in R(\delta_A)^-$. Therefore, an operator B in $R(\delta_A)^- \cap \{A\}'$ is not necessarily quasinilpotent.

The purpose of this paper is to prove that if $\{X_n\}$ is a sequence of operators such that $(AX_n - X_nA) \rightarrow K$ in the weak operator topology where K is a compact operator in $\{A\}'$, then K is quasinilpotent.

LEMMA. *Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ and let $\{X_n\}$ be a sequence of operators in $\mathcal{L}(H)$ such that the sequence $\{AX_n - X_nA\}$ converges weakly to an operator C in*

Presented to the Society, October 28, 1972 under the title *On compact operators in the norm closure of the range of an inner derivation*; received by the editors October 9, 1972 and, in revised form, December 20, 1972.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 47B47, 47B05.

Key words and phrases. Range of a derivation, eigenvalues, quasinilpotent operators, norm closure, weak operator topology, commutant of an operator, compact operator.

¹ The author wishes to express his gratitude to Professor Carl Percy for many helpful suggestions concerning this paper during his stay at Bucknell University as a Distinguished Visiting Professor. This research was supported, in part, by a Bucknell University Summer Research Grant in 1972.

$\{A\}'$. Then, $(A-\lambda)^j X_n - X_n(A-\lambda)^j \rightarrow j(A-\lambda)^{j-1}C$ in the weak operator topology for every positive integer j and every fixed scalar λ . (It is assumed that $(A-\lambda)^j = I$ if $j=0$.)

PROOF BY INDUCTION. The case $j=1$ is obvious.

For $j=2$, multiply the commutator $AX_n - X_nA = (A-\lambda)X_n - X_n(A-\lambda)$ on the left by $A-\lambda$ and add it to the commutator multiplied on the right by $A-\lambda$. Then, $(A-\lambda)^2 X_n - X_n(A-\lambda)^2 \rightarrow 2(A-\lambda)C$ weakly. Suppose $(A-\lambda)^k X_n - X_n(A-\lambda)^k \rightarrow k(A-\lambda)^{k-1}C$ weakly for all $k \leq j$ where $j \geq 2$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &(A-\lambda)\{(A-\lambda)^j X_n - X_n(A-\lambda)^j\} + \{(A-\lambda)^j X_n - X_n(A-\lambda)^j\}(A-\lambda) \\ &= (A-\lambda)^{j+1} X_n - X_n(A-\lambda)^{j+1} \\ &\quad + (A-\lambda)\{(A-\lambda)^{j-1} X_n - X_n(A-\lambda)^{j-1}\}(A-\lambda) \\ &\rightarrow 2j(A-\lambda)^j C \text{ weakly.} \end{aligned}$$

Since $(A-\lambda)^{j-1} X_n - X_n(A-\lambda)^{j-1} \rightarrow (j-1)(A-\lambda)^{j-2}C$ weakly by the induction assumption, $(A-\lambda)^{j+1} X_n - X_n(A-\lambda)^{j+1} \rightarrow (j+1)(A-\lambda)^j C$ weakly.

THEOREM 1. Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ and let $\{X_n\}$ be a sequence of operators in $\mathcal{L}(H)$ such that the sequence $\{AX_n - X_nA\}$ converges weakly to an operator C of finite rank in $\{A\}'$. Then C is nilpotent.

PROOF. Let the range of C be $R(C)$ and let $\dim R(C) = k \geq 1$. If $R(C^{i+1}) \neq R(C^i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$, then clearly C is nilpotent. Hence, we assume the existence of the smallest positive integer q such that $R(C^q) = R(C^{q+1})$. Then, $R(C^q) = R(C^r) \neq \{0\}$ for all $r \geq q$ and C restricted to $R(C^q)$ is nonsingular. Also, A may be assumed to be nonsingular by replacing A by $A - \lambda I$ if necessary. For notational convenience, we let $R(C^q) = W$. Since $C \in \{A\}'$, W is invariant under A and $A(W) = W$.

Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_p$ be the distinct eigenvalues of $A|_W$ (i.e., A restricted to W) with respective algebraic multiplicities m_1, m_2, \dots, m_p . Then, by Jordan's theorem W is a direct sum (not necessarily orthogonal) of p subspaces M_1, M_2, \dots, M_p with respective dimensions m_1, m_2, \dots, m_p such that $AM_i \subseteq M_i$ and $A - \lambda_i$ is nilpotent on M_i with index $j_i \leq m_i$ for $i=1, 2, \dots, p$.

Since the index of $A - \lambda_1$ on M_1 is $j_1 \geq 1$, there exists a vector $y \in M_1$ such that $(A - \lambda_1)^{j_1-1}y \neq 0$ and $(A - \lambda_1)^{j_1}y = 0$. The fact that $AX_n - X_nA \rightarrow C$ weakly implies that $C^q AX_n - C^q X_n A = A(C^q X_n) - (C^q X_n)A \rightarrow C^{q+1}$ weakly. By the previous lemma,

$$(A - \lambda_1)^{j_1}(C^q X_n) - (C^q X_n)(A - \lambda_1)^{j_1} \rightarrow j_1(A - \lambda_1)^{j_1-1}C^{q+1}$$

weakly.

Since $(C^q X_n)y \in W$, it is obvious that

$$(A - \lambda_1)^{j_1}(C^q X_n)y \in M_2 \oplus M_3 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_p.$$

Now $j_1 C^{q+1}(A - \lambda_1)^{j_1-1}y$ is a nonzero vector in M_1 , because of the way y was chosen and the nonsingularity of $C^{q+1}|W$.

Since M_1, M_2, \dots, M_p are fixed and $(A - \lambda_1)^{j_1}y = 0$,

$$\inf_n \|(A - \lambda_1)^{j_1}(C^q X_n)y - j_1 C^{q+1}(A - \lambda_1)^{j_1-1}y\| > 0.$$

On the other hand, since W is finite dimensional and is invariant under $(A - \lambda_1)^{j_1}(C^q X_n)$, $(C^q X_n)(A - \lambda_1)^{j_1}$, and $(A - \lambda_1)^{j_1-1}C^{q+1}$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$, $(A - \lambda_1)^{j_1}(C^q X_n) - (C^q X_n)(A - \lambda_1)^{j_1}|W$ converges strongly to

$$j_1(A - \lambda_1)^{j_1-1}C^{q+1}|W.$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_n \|(A - \lambda_1)^{j_1}(C^q X_n)y - j_1 C^{q+1}(A - \lambda_1)^{j_1-1}y\| = 0.$$

This is an obvious contradiction. Hence, all operators of finite rank in $R(\delta_A)^{-w} \cap \{A\}'$ are nilpotent.

This result allows us to obtain the following stronger theorem.

THEOREM 2. *If $A \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ and $\{X_n\}$ is a sequence of operators in $\mathcal{L}(H)$ such that $(AX_n - X_nA)$ converges weakly to a compact operator K in $\{A\}'$, then K is quasinilpotent.*

PROOF. Suppose K is not quasinilpotent. Then, there exists an isolated nonzero eigenvalue λ_0 in the spectrum $\sigma(K)$ of K . By a theorem of Riesz [7, p. 183], the root space $M(\lambda_0)$ corresponding to λ_0 of K (i.e., the subspace $\{f \in H : (K - \lambda_0)^n f = 0 \text{ for some positive integer } n\}$) is finite dimensional, is invariant under K , and has the property that $K|M(\lambda_0)$ has singleton spectrum $\{\lambda_0\}$. Since $\sigma(K)$ is denumerable with 0 the only possible point of accumulation, it is possible to choose two disjoint simply connected open sets \mathcal{U}_1 and \mathcal{U}_2 such that $\lambda_0 \in \mathcal{U}_1$ and $\sigma(K) - \{\lambda_0\} \subset \mathcal{U}_2$. Let γ_1 be a simple closed rectifiable curve lying in \mathcal{U}_1 containing λ_0 in its interior, and let γ_2 be a simple closed rectifiable curve lying in \mathcal{U}_2 containing $\sigma(K) - \{\lambda_0\}$ in its interior.

By a theorem of Riesz [7, p. 421],

$$(1) \quad E = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_1} (z - K)^{-1} dz$$

is an idempotent which commutes with K , and the range of E is $M(\lambda_0)$. Let $f(z)$ be the analytic function defined on $\mathcal{U}_1 \cup \mathcal{U}_2$ which is identically 1 on \mathcal{U}_1 and identically 0 on \mathcal{U}_2 . It follows from the definition of $f(K)$ [7, p. 431] and (1) that $f(K)=E$. Since the complement of $\mathcal{U}_1 \cup \mathcal{U}_2$ is connected in the extended complex plane, it follows from Rung's theorem [4, p. 317] that there exists a sequence of polynomials $P_n(z)$ which converges uniformly to $f(z)$ on $\gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2$.

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} P_n(K) - E &= P_n(K) - f(K) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_1} (P_n(z) - f(z))(z - K)^{-1} dz \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_2} P_n(z)(z - K)^{-1} dz. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|(z-K)^{-1}\|$ is uniformly bounded on $\gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2$, it follows that $\|P_n(K) - E\| \rightarrow 0$. Now observe that the sequence $K(AX_n - X_nA) = A(KX_n) - (KX_n)A$ converges weakly to K^2 . A similar argument shows that if P is any polynomial, then $P(K)$ belongs to $R(\delta_A)^{-w} \cap \{A\}'$. In particular, if $P_n(z)$ is the sequence determined above, then $P_n(K) \in R(\delta_A)^{-w} \cap \{A\}'$. Therefore, $f(K)=E \in R(\delta_A)^{-w} \cap \{A\}'$. Since E is an operator of finite rank and $1 \in \sigma(E)$, this contradicts Theorem 1. Therefore all compact operators K in $R(\delta_A)^{-w} \cap \{A\}'$ are quasinilpotent.

Examination of the proof of Theorem 2 shows that the hypothesis that K is compact was used only to conclude that the idempotent E defined by (1) is an operator of finite rank. Thus, we have actually proved the following stronger result.

THEOREM 3. *Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ and let T be any operator in $R(\delta_A)^{-w} \cap \{A\}'$. If λ_0 is any isolated point in $\sigma(T)$, and γ is a simple closed rectifiable curve in the resolvent set of T containing, in its interior, no other points of $\sigma(T)$ except λ_0 , then the idempotent $E = (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{\gamma} (z - T)^{-1} dz$ must have infinite dimensional range.*

Some remarks. In [10] J. P. Williams proves that if A and B belong to $\mathcal{L}(H)$ and are not scalar multiples of the identity, then $R(\delta_A) \cap R(\delta_B) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, $R(\delta_A)$ is in general large and contains a subset of compact operators. But, in [9], Stampfli proved that no $R(\delta_A)$ is large enough to contain the ideal F of all finite rank operators. The problem of classifying all noncompact operators in $R(\delta_A)^{-w} \cap \{A\}'$ for a given A in $\mathcal{L}(H)$ is still an open problem.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. J. Anderson, *Derivation ranges and the identity* (to appear).
2. I. C. Gohberg and M. G. Kreĭn, *Introduction to the theory of linear nonselfadjoint operators in Hilbert space*, "Nauka", Moscow, 1965; English transl., Transl. Math. Monographs, vol. 18, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1969. MR 36 #3137; 39 #7447.
3. P. Halmos, *A Hilbert space problem book*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1967. MR 34 #8178.
4. M. Heins, *Complex function theory*, Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 28, Academic Press, New York, 1968. MR 39 #413.
5. D. C. Kleinecke, *On operator commutators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1957), 535–536. MR 19, 435.
6. C. Pearcy, *Some unsolved problems on operator theory*, Studies in Operator Theory, Math. Assoc. Amer. (to appear).
7. F. Riesz and B. Sz.-Nagy, *Leçons d'analyse fonctionnelle*, 2nd ed., Akad. Kiadó, Budapest, 1953; English transl., Ungar, New York, 1955. MR 15, 132; 17, 175.
8. F. V. Širokov, *Proof of a conjecture of Kaplansky*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk 11 (1956), no. 4 (70), 167–168. (Russian) MR 19, 435.
9. J. G. Stampfli, *Derivations on $B(H)$: The range* (to appear).
10. J. P. Williams, *On the range of a derivation*, Pacific J. Math. 38 (1971), 273–279.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BUCKNELL UNIVERSITY, LEWISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA
17837