

HOCHSCHILD DIMENSION OF A SEPARABLY GENERATED FIELD

B. L. OSOFSKY¹

ABSTRACT. Let K be an \aleph_k -generated field extension of the field F with transcendence degree n . Set $\text{bidim}(K)$ = the projective dimension of K as a $K \otimes_F K^{\text{op}}$ K -module. Then K locally separably generated implies $\text{bidim}(K) \leq k+n+1$, and K separably generated implies $\text{bidim}(K) = k+n+1$.

Let F be a commutative ring, K an F -algebra. The Hochschild or bidimension of K over F , written $\text{bidim}(K)$, is the projective dimension of K as a module over the ring $R = K \otimes_F K^{\text{op}}$. In [3], Hochschild showed that if K is finite over a field F , then $\text{bidim}(K) = 0$ if and only if K is separable over F , i.e., for all field extensions L of F , $K \otimes_F L$ is semi-simple. Noether [8] had done this for commutative K earlier. Rosenberg and Zelinsky [12] showed $\text{bidim}(K) = 0$ implies $[K:F] < \infty$. They also obtained results in the case K is a field relating $\text{bidim}(K)$ and the transcendence degree of K over F . MacRae [6] took their work and characterized all fields K of bidimension 1 over the field F by showing they are countably generated and so, by Rosenberg and Zelinsky, either separable algebraic extensions of dimension \aleph_0 or finite separable extensions of rational function fields in one variable. The purpose of this note is to compute the bidimension of any separably generated extension field K of the field F . If K is not locally separably generated, Rosenberg and Zelinsky showed $\text{bidim}(K)$ is infinite, but only an upper bound will be obtained in this paper on the locally separably generated case.

Let \aleph_{-1} denote any finite cardinal and \aleph_{∞} any cardinal $\geq \aleph_{\omega}$. $\#B$ will denote the cardinality of the set B , and $[K:F]$ the dimension of the vector space K over the field F . We show the

THEOREM. *Let K be a field extension of the field F with separating transcendence basis B . Let $[K:F(B)] = \aleph_m$. Then $\text{bidim}(K) = \#B + m + 1$.*

Received by the editors March 7, 1973.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 18H20, 13D05; Secondary 16A62.

Key words and phrases. Hochschild dimension of fields, bidimensions of fields, cohomology of algebras.

¹ The author gratefully acknowledges partial support from the NSF under grant GP-32734.

© American Mathematical Society 1973

The method of proof is based on the computation in [10] or [11] of the global dimension of a direct product of fields, but with an appropriate substitute for the "nice sets of idempotents" used in that case.

1. **Elementary remarks.** Throughout the rest of this paper, F will be a fixed field, and K an extension field of F . All fields will be subfields of K containing F . \otimes will mean \otimes_F , R will denote the ring $K \otimes K$, and I_K will denote the kernel of the multiplication map $R \rightarrow K$. Then, since $0 \rightarrow I_K \rightarrow R \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$ is exact, $\text{bidim}(K) \neq 0$ implies $\text{bidim}(K) = \text{p.d.}(I_K) + 1$. The reader is referred to any standard reference, such as [2] or [5], for the definition of projective dimension. Hence we need only calculate $\text{p.d.}(I_K)$ to get our result. We will do that in the algebraic case. Our initial reduction is to that case.

1. **LEMMA.** *Let S be any ring, x a central nonunit, nonzero divisor of S . Let $\bar{S} = S/xS$. If $M_{\bar{S}}$ is an \bar{S} -module of finite projective dimension, then $\text{p.d.}_S(M) = \text{p.d.}_{\bar{S}}(M) + 1$.*

PROOF. See [4] or perhaps [11].

2. **LEMMA.** *Let $x \in K$ be transcendental over F . Then*

$$K \otimes_F K / (x \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x) \simeq K \otimes_{F(x)} K$$

and $\bar{x} = x \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x$ is not a zero divisor in $K \otimes_F K = R$.

PROOF. The natural map $R \rightarrow K \otimes_{F(x)} K$ has kernel Z generated by

$$\{up \otimes v - u \otimes pv \mid u, v \in K, p \in F(x)\}.$$

But $up \otimes v - u \otimes pv = (p \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes p)(u \otimes v)$, so $\{p \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes p \mid p \in F(x)\}$ generates Z . In particular, $\bar{x} \in Z$. Now let $p/q \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes p/q$ be a generator of Z , with $p, q \neq 0 \in F[x]$. Let \equiv denote congruence modulo (\bar{x}) . Then $x \otimes 1 \equiv 1 \otimes x$, so $p \otimes 1 \equiv 1 \otimes p$ and $q \otimes 1 \equiv 1 \otimes q$. Then $(q \otimes 1)^{-1} = 1/q \otimes 1 \equiv (1 \otimes q)^{-1} = 1 \otimes 1/q$, so $p/q \otimes 1 \equiv 1 \otimes p/q$, and $Z = (\bar{x})$. Let $0 \neq \sum u_i \otimes v_i \in R$. If $\sum u_i \otimes xv_i = \sum xu_i \otimes v_i$, then for all i , $xv_i = \sum \alpha_{ij} v_j$ with $\alpha_{ij} \in F$, so $\det(xI - (\alpha_{ij})) = 0$, contradicting the transcendental property of x .

3. **LEMMA.** *Let K be a field extension of F with separating transcendence basis B and $[K:F(B)] = \aleph_m$. If $\#B = 0$ implies $\text{bidim}(K) = m + 1$, then for any K , $\text{bidim}(K) = \#B + m + 1$.*

PROOF. If both $\#B$ and m are finite, we use induction on $n = \#B$. The basis is assumed. If $\#B > 0$, let $x \in B$. Then K is a $K \otimes K / (x \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x) \simeq K \otimes_{F(x)} K$ -module of projective dimension $(n-1) + m + 1$ by the induction hypothesis. Apply Lemma 1.

If $n = \#B$ or m is infinite, then, given any natural number k , K contains a purely transcendental subfield of degree $k+1$ and hence bidimension $k+1$ by repeated applications of Lemma 1, or K contains an \aleph_k -dimensional extension of $F(B)$ which has bidimension $n+k+1$ by the finite case. In either case, since Rosenberg and Zelinsky show in [12] that the bidimension of K is equal to or greater than the bidimension of any subfield, $\text{bidim}(K) = \infty = n+m+1$.

We may thus assume for the rest of this paper that K is a separable algebraic extension of F .

4. REMARK. Let L be a finite dimensional separable extension of F . Then the number of subfields of L containing F is finite. For a proof, pick a book—a book—on classical Galois theory.

5. COROLLARY. $[K:F] = \aleph_n$ implies K contains (at most) \aleph_n finite dimensional extensions of F .

PROOF. K may be expressed as an ascending union of \aleph_n smaller dimensional subfields, and every finite extension of F is in one of these. Hence K has at most $\aleph_n \cdot \aleph_n$ finite dimensional subfields by induction on n . It is clear this number is reached.

6. REMARK. Let α be any element of K . Set $\bar{\alpha} = \alpha \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \alpha$. Let $0 \neq x = \sum u_i \otimes v_i$. The set $\{v_i\}$ will be called the “second coordinates” of x . Without loss of generality, these “second coordinates” are linearly independent over F . Then $\bar{\alpha}x = 0$ implies $\{v_i\} \cup \{\alpha v_i\}$ must be linearly dependent, so there exist $\{k_i, l_i\} \subseteq F$ not all zero with $\sum k_i v_i + \alpha \sum l_i v_i = 0$. Since the v_i are linearly independent, $\sum l_i v_i \neq 0$, so $\alpha = \sum k_i v_i / \sum l_i v_i \in F[\{v_i\}]$.

Now let us look at I_K . For any finite extension L of F in K , $I_L = \text{kernel}(L \otimes L \rightarrow L)$ is generated by an idempotent e_L by the Noether-Hochschild result mentioned in the introduction ($L \otimes L \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ splits). Any element in $I_K \cap L \otimes L$ must lie in $e_L R$. This use of L for finite dimensional extensions of F in K and e_L for generating idempotents in $I_L = I_K \cap L \otimes L$ will be maintained throughout the paper, as will the notation $\bar{\alpha} = \alpha \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \alpha$ for all $\alpha \in K$.

7. LEMMA. Let I be any right ideal of a ring S such that I is generated by commuting idempotents $\{e_j \mid j \in J\}$. Then $\#J = \aleph_n \Rightarrow \text{p.d.}(I) \leq n$.

PROOF. If $n=0$, $I = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} e_i \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} (1 - e_j) S$ is projective. Auslander's Proposition 1 of [1] and an easy induction complete the proof (see [10] or [11]).

8. COROLLARY. $[K:F] = \aleph_n \Rightarrow \text{p.d.}(I_K) \leq n$.

PROOF. Any element $\sum u_i \otimes v_i$ of I_K lies in $L \otimes L$ for some finite dimensional L . Hence $\{e_L\}$ generate I_K . Apply 5 and 7.

2. **The lower bound.** To get a lower bound on the bidimension of K , we need a lower bound on the dimension of I_K , still assuming K is algebraic. The machinery of [10] can be used for getting a projective resolution and direct summand of a projective image in that resolution to apply induction. However, the idempotents generating I_K are not “nice” in the sense of [10], so a different basis and induction step are needed. Trying to use induction on the dimension of K over F directly did not seem to work, but led to the following type of ideal which does.

Let Λ be a set of finite dimensional fields L directed under \subseteq . Let f be an idempotent of R such that, for all $L \in \Lambda$, $0 \neq f(1 - e_L)$. Set $I_f(\Lambda) = \sum_{L \in \Lambda} f e_L R$. Note that if Λ is the set of all finite dimensional extensions of F in K and K is infinite dimensional, then $\#\Lambda = [K:L]$, $1 \cdot (1 - e_L) \neq 0$ for all $L \in \Lambda$, and $I_1(\Lambda) = I_K$.

9. PROPOSITION. For any idempotent f and any Λ such that for all $L \in \Lambda$, $0 \neq f(1 - e_L)$, we have

$$\text{p.d.}(I_f(\Lambda)) \leq n \Rightarrow \#\Lambda \leq \aleph_n.$$

PROOF. We use induction on n . The basis is a modification of an argument in Magid [7]. Let $n=0$, so $I_f(\Lambda)$ is projective. By the dual basis lemma (the map $\bigoplus_{L \in \Lambda} R \rightarrow \sum f e_L R \rightarrow 0$ splits) there exist maps

$$\{\theta_L \in \text{Hom}_R(I_f(\Lambda), R) \mid L \in \Lambda\}$$

such that for all $u \in I_f(\Lambda)$, $u = \sum_L \theta_L(u) f e_L$ and $\theta_L(u) = 0$ for almost all $L \in \Lambda$. Since θ_L is an R -map, $\theta_L(u) f e_L = \theta_L(u f e_L) = u \theta_L(f e_L)$. Set $g_L = \theta_L(f e_L)$. Then $u g_L = 0$ for almost all L , and $g_L = f g_L$ for all $L \in \Lambda$.

Case (i). $g_L = 0$ for almost all L . Then $I_f(\Lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^n f e_{L_i} R$, and if L is an element of Λ containing each L_i , $I_f(\Lambda) = e_L f R$. Now let $\alpha \in \bigcup_{L \in \Lambda} L$. Then $f \bar{\alpha} \in f e_L R$, so $f \bar{\alpha} = f e_L \bar{\alpha}$, i.e. $(1 - e_L) f \bar{\alpha} = 0$. By Remark 6, α must belong to the finite dimensional subfield L' generated by “second coordinates” of the nonzero element $(1 - e_L) f$. Hence $\bigcup_{L \in \Lambda} L \subseteq L'$ which has only finitely many subfields, and so $\#\Lambda < \aleph_0$.

Case (ii). There exists a countable set $\{L_i \mid i \in \omega\} \subseteq \Lambda$ such that for all $i \in \omega$, $g_{L_i} \neq 0$. Let L' be the subfield of K generated by all “second coordinates” of $\{g_{L_i} \mid i \in \omega\}$. Then $[L':F] = \aleph_0$ so L' has only \aleph_0 finite dimensional subfields by 5. For all $\alpha \in \bigcup_{L \in \Lambda} L$, $\bar{\alpha} f g_{L_i} = 0$ for almost all i , so by Remark 6, $\alpha \in L'$ and $\bigcup_{L \in \Lambda} L \subseteq L'$. Hence $\#\Lambda = \aleph_0$.

For $n > 0$, the machinery of [10] is available to give our induction step. Since it is written out in reasonable detail in [10] and [11], we just sketch this machinery.

Assume $\#\Lambda > \aleph_n$, and linearly order Λ in such a way that no ordinal

$\leq \aleph_n$ is cofinal in Λ . One gets a projective resolution of $I_f(\Lambda)$ by taking

$$P_k(\Lambda) = \bigoplus_{L_0 < L_1 < \dots < L_k} \langle L_0, L_1, \dots, L_k \rangle \prod_{i=0}^k e_{L_i} f R.$$

$$d_k \langle L_0, L_1, \dots, L_k \rangle = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \langle L_0, \dots, L_{i-1}, L_{i+1}, \dots, L_k \rangle \prod_{j=0}^k e_{L_j} f.$$

$$d_0 \langle L_0 \rangle = e_{L_0} f.$$

A check that this creates an acyclic complex uses the easily checked result that, for all $p \in P_{k-1}(\Lambda)$ and for any M greater than all L 's appearing in tuples of p ,

$$(*) \quad d_k(p^*M) = (-1)^k e_M p + (d_{k-1}p)^*M$$

where $*M$ denotes the homomorphism induced on sums of smaller entries by

$$\langle L_0, \dots, L_{k-1} \rangle^*M = \langle L_0, \dots, L_{k-1}, M \rangle e_M.$$

Since $\text{p.d.}(I_f(\Lambda))=n$, $d_n P_n(\Lambda)$ is projective. Then there exists a directed subset $\Delta \subseteq \Lambda$ such that $\#\Delta = \aleph_n$ and $d_n P_n(\Delta)$ is a direct summand of $d_n P_n(\Lambda)$. There is a very slight change in the proof of 2.49 of [11] involved here—rephrase as in the proof of 2.53 of that paper to get the directed property. Now look at the subfield L' of K generated by all “second coordinates” of $\{f(1-e_J) \mid J \in \Delta\}$. It is \aleph_n -generated and so has at most \aleph_n finite subfields. Hence there exists $M \in \Lambda$, $M > J$ for all $J \in \Delta$, such that M is not contained in L' . Let α generate M over F (every finite dimensional separable extension is generated by a single element). Then $\bar{\alpha}f(1-e_J) \neq 0$ by Remark 6, and since $\bar{\alpha} = \bar{\alpha}e_M$, $e_M f(1-e_J)$ is nonzero for all $J \in \Delta$. Thus we may talk about the ideal $I_{e_M f}(\Delta)$.

Using the relation (*) as in [11], one sees that $e_M d_n P_n(\Delta)$ is actually a direct summand of $P_{n-1}(\Lambda)$ and hence of $e_M P_{n-1}(\Delta)$. Moreover, $\{e_M P_k(\Delta), d_k\}$ is a projective resolution of $I_{e_M f}(\Delta)$ exactly as $\{P_k(\Lambda), d_k\}$ is one of $I_f(\Lambda)$. But $d_n(e_M P_n(\Delta))$ is a direct summand of $e_M P_{n-1}(\Delta)$, so $\text{p.d.}(I_{e_M f}(\Delta)) \leq n-1$ and $\#\Delta = \aleph_n$, contradicting the induction hypothesis.

Putting Lemma 3, Corollary 8, and Proposition 9 together, we obtain

10. THEOREM. *If K is a separably generated extension field of F with separating transcendence basis B , such that $[K:F(B)] = \aleph_m$ then $\text{bidim}(K) = \#B + m + 1$.*

PROOF. If K is separably algebraic, apply induction on m . For $m = -1$, this is the Noether-Hochschild result. If $m \geq 0$,

$\text{bidim}(K) = 1 + \text{p.d.}(I_1(\text{all finite extensions of } F \text{ in } K)) \geq 1 + m$
by 9 and $\leq 1 + m$ by 8. Lemma 3 finishes the proof.

3. **An upper bound in the locally separably generated case.** The reduction to the algebraic case to obtain a lower bound used in §§1 and 2 does not work in the case that K is only locally separably generated but not separably generated. Rosenberg and Zelinsky's example where $K = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} F(x^{1/p^n})$, x transcendental and F of characteristic p , clearly illustrates this. Yet we can still get an upper bound as in the separably generated case by using Auslander's proposition in [1] to extend the argument for \aleph_0 in [12].

11. **LEMMA.** *Let K' be a finitely generated, separably generated subfield of K . Then $\text{p.d.}_{K' \otimes K'}(I_{K'}) = \text{p.d.}_R(I_{K'}R)$.*

PROOF. We use induction on transcendence degree $K' = n$. If $n=0$, $I_{K'}$ is generated by an idempotent, and so is $I_{K'}R$, so both are projective. If $n>0$, let x be an element of a separating transcendence basis for K' . Then (\bar{x}) is projective in $K' \otimes K'$ or $K \otimes K$ but not a direct summand, so for both rings, $\text{p.d.}(\bar{I}_{K'}/(\bar{x})) = \text{p.d.}(\bar{I}_{K'})$ (or $\text{p.d.}(\bar{I}_{K'}) + 1$ if $n=1$), where $\bar{I}_{K'}$ is the appropriate one of $I_{K'}$ or $I_{K'}R$. Since $R/(\bar{x}) \simeq K \otimes_{F(x)} K$ and $I_{K'}/(\bar{x})$ is the kernel of the multiplication map from $K' \otimes_{F(x)} K' \rightarrow K'$, the result follows from the induction hypothesis and Lemma 1.

12. **COROLLARY.** *Let K be locally separably generated, $\text{tr deg}(K) = n$, K \aleph_k -generated. Then $\text{bidim}(K) \leq n + k + 1$.*

PROOF. The finitely generated separably generated subfields K' of K form a directed set under \subseteq , and there are \aleph_k of them. Then $\{I_{K'}R\}$ is a directed system of submodules of I_K , each of projective dimension $\leq n$. By [11, Proposition 2.43], $\text{p.d.}(\sum I_{K'}R) \leq n + k + 1$. Since K is locally separably generated, $\sum I_{K'}R = I_K$.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. M. Auslander, *On the dimension of modules and algebras. III: global dimension*, Nagoya Math. J. **9** (1955), 67-77. MR **17**, 579.
2. H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *Homological algebra*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1956. MR **17**, 1040.
3. G. Hochschild, *On the cohomology groups of an associative algebra*, Ann. of Math. (2) **46** (1945), 58-67. MR **6**, 114.
4. I. Kaplansky, *Commutative rings*, Queen Mary College Lecture Notes, London, 1966.
5. S. Mac Lane, *Homology*, Die Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften, Band 114, Academic Press, New York; Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1963. MR **28** #122.
6. R. MacRae, *On cardinality, cohomology, and a conjecture of Rosenberg and Zelinsky*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **118** (1965), 243-246. MR **31** #2297.
7. A. Magid, *Commutative algebras of Hochschild dimension one*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **24** (1970), 530-532. MR **40** #4259.

8. E. Noether, *Idealdifferentiation und Differenten*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **188** (1950), 1–21. MR **12**, 388.
9. B. Osofsky, *Homological dimension and the continuum hypothesis*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **132** (1968), 217–230. MR **37** #205.
10. ———, *Homological dimension and cardinality*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **151** (1970), 641–649. MR **42** #321.
11. ———, *Homological dimensions of modules*, CBMS Regional Series in Math., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1973.
12. A. Rosenberg and D. Zelinsky, *Cohomology of infinite algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **82** (1956), 85–98. MR **17**, 1181.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, NEW BRUNSWICK, NEW JERSEY 08903